



Air Pollution Control District Governing Board

San Diego County Air Pollution Control District

AGENDA ITEM #D.3

DATE: December 9, 2021

TO: Air Pollution Control District Governing Board

SUBJECT: ADOPTION OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULE 40 - PERMIT AND OTHER FEES AND RULE 42 - HEARING BOARD FEES

REQUESTED ACTION:

1. Find that the requested action is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15273 because the additional fee revenues that would be generated by adjustments that could result from the adoption of proposed amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees and Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees will be used for the purposes set out in that section, including operating expenses and purchasing or leasing supplies, equipment, or materials.
2. Adopt the Resolution entitled: RESOLUTION ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO RULE 40 – PERMITS AND OTHER FEES AND RULE 42 – HEARING BOARD FEES, OF REGULATION III OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

OVERVIEW:

The mission of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District) is to improve air quality to protect public health and the environment. Accordingly, the District operates a county-wide permitting program for stationary (fixed) sources of air pollution pursuant to federal and State law. Stationary sources encompass large industrial facilities including power plants and landfills and smaller commercial establishments such as gas stations and dry cleaners. A facility's permit outlines the actions they must take to comply with air pollution control requirements and protect air quality, the environment, and public health.

District Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees sets the fees for District permitting and other services, such as inspections and source testing, related to the implementation of the stationary source permitting program. Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees sets the fees for petitioning the District Hearing Board for various actions such as permit appeals and variances (i.e., temporary relief) from air pollution control requirements. Proposed amendments to Rule 40 and Rule 42 include modifications to split payment and late fee provisions, minor clarifications, the removal of outdated language, and increases in

various fees consistent with the direction of the Governing Board on May 21, 2021, and the Public Hearing held on October 14, 2021. Increases in stationary source fees are limited as required by Health and Safety Code Section 41512.7. Fee increases are summarized in the table below and are detailed in Attachments D and E.

Fee Category	Permit Application Fixed	Permit Renewal	Source Testing	Asbestos Notifications	Hearing Board	Time & Material	Processing Fee
% Fee Increase	15%	15%	15%	25%	25%	15%	15%

Today’s hearing is to adopt the Resolution entitled: RESOLUTION ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO RULE 40 – PERMITS AND OTHER FEES AND RULE 42 – HEARING BOARD FEES, OF REGULATION III OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT. If adopted, the proposed amendments will become effective on January 1, 2022, which will allow for testing of the new fees, revised invoicing, and outreach to stakeholders prior to implementation.

Rule 40 currently allows permit holders and applicants to submit a written request to split the payment of permit renewal and initial application evaluation fees due to financial hardship. In these instances, the first payment, including an administrative fee, must be deposited prior to the permit renewal due date or with the initial application, as applicable, and the second payment is then due 60 days later. The proposed amendments to Rule 40 include revisions to the split payment provisions that will extend fee payment for annual operating fees into four (4) payments instead of two (2), and clarifications to the split payment provisions for initial application evaluation fees. These proposed revisions will provide greater flexibility for businesses experiencing financial hardship while ensuring that the District receives the full payment for initial application evaluation and annual operating fees.

Additionally, the District has recognized the economic impacts due to the ongoing pandemic and has accepted written requests to waive late fees for businesses facing financial hardships. The proposed revisions to Rule 40 include a provision authorizing the Air Pollution Control Officer to waive late fees due to financial hardship during declared federal, State, or local emergencies on a case-by-case basis.

FISCAL IMPACT:

If adopted, these proposed amendments will result in additional revenues up to \$1.4 million per fiscal year, which would increase the District’s cost recovery percentage for its stationary source permit program from the current 66% to approximately 78% and would reduce annual stationary source permit program revenue deficits from \$4 million to approximately \$2.6 million.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT:

The proposed action is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15273, which exempts projects that involve the establishment or modification of charges by public agencies for the purpose of meeting operating

expenses, purchasing supplies and equipment, or meeting financial reserve needs, as described in the Cost Recovery and Fee Analysis Consolidated Report – April 2021 (Attachment B).

PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOARD ACTIONS:

October 14, 2021 (Item #3), Public Hearing: Receive Presentation for Proposed Amendments to Rule 40 – Permit and Other Fees and Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fee

RECOMMENDED BY:

Marvice Mazyck

CONTACT PERSON(S):

Name

Michael Watt, Deputy Director

Phone

(858) 899-0136

Email

Michael.Watt@sdapcd.org

ATTACHMENTS:

[Attachment A-Rules 40_42 Resolution.pdf](#)

[Attachment B-Rules 40_42 Cost Recovery & Fee Analysis Report.pdf](#)

[Attachment C-Rules 40_42 Public Meeting Report.pdf](#)

[Attachment D-Rule 40 Change Copy.pdf](#)

[Attachment E-Rule 42 Change Copy.pdf](#)

[Attachment F-Rules 40_42 Current & Proposed Fee Schedules.pdf](#)

Resolution No: 21-011
Meeting Date: 12/9/2021 (D.3)

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO
RULE 40 – PERMITS AND OTHER FEES AND
RULE 42 – HEARING BOARD FEES, OF REGULATION III
OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE
SAN DIEGO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT**

On motion of Member Bush, seconded by Member Medina, the following resolution is adopted:

WHEREAS, the San Diego County Air Pollution Control Board, pursuant to Section 40702 of the Health and Safety Code, adopted Rules and Regulations of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District); and

WHEREAS, the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District Governing Board (Governing Board) now desires to amend said Rules and Regulations; and

WHEREAS, notice has been given and a public hearing has been held relating to the amendments of said Rules and Regulations pursuant to Sections 40725 and 42311 of the Health and Safety Code; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Board has found that the revenues being generated by the fee rates currently set forth in Rule 40 – Permit & Other Fees and Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees are insufficient to fully recover the costs of District programs to which revenues from those fees are applied; and

WHEREAS, the additional revenues that would be generated by the proposed rule revisions would be used for District operating expenses and costs including employee wage rates and fringe benefits, purchasing supplies and equipment, or meeting the financial reserve needs of the District, and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 40727 of the Health and Safety Code, the Governing Board makes the following findings:

- (1) (Necessity) The adoption of amendments to the permit and other fees in Rule 40 and to hearing board fees in Rule 42 is necessary to recover the increased District costs of operating permit-related and hearing board programs;
- (2) (Authority) Adoption of amendments to Rule 40 and Rule 42 are authorized by Sections 40702 and 42311 of the Health and Safety Code;
- (3) (Clarity) The amendments to Rule 40 and Rule 42 can be easily understood by persons directly affected by them;

- (4) (Consistency) Section 41512.7(d) of the Health and Safety Code provides that individual District fees may be increased to reflect the District's actual costs as long as the total aggregate increase in fees does not exceed 15 percent in that fiscal year, and as long as certain requirements for a cost-based fee system are met. The proposed amendments are in harmony with Section 41512.7(d) of the Health and Safety Code. The proposed amendments are also in harmony with, and not in conflict with or contrary to, other existing statutes, court decisions, and state and federal regulations;
- (5) (Non-duplication) The proposed amendments to Rule 40 and Rule 42 will not impose the same requirements as existing District, state, or federal requirements;
- (6) (Reference) The proposed amendments to Rule 40 and Rule 42 specify permit and other fees, and hearing board fees pursuant to Section 42311 of the Health and Safety Code and in accordance with Section 41512.7(d) of the Health and Safety Code; and

NOW THEREFORE IT IS RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District Governing Board that the Rules and Regulations of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District be and hereby are revised as follows:

1. Proposed amended Rule 40 is to read as follows:

REGULATION III: FEES

RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES (Adopted *(date of adoption)* & Effective January 1, 2022

Table of Contents

(a) APPLICABILITY	2
(b) DEFINITIONS	2
(c) GENERAL PROVISIONS	3
(d) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES	3
(1) General Provisions	3
(2) Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate	4
Calculation Worksheet for Initial Application Fees	4
(3) Initial Evaluation Fee	4
(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fees	5
(5) Additional Evaluation and Processing Fees for New or Revised Applications	6
(6) Fees for Revisions to Valid Permits	6
Calculation Worksheet for Modified Equipment Fees	6
(7) Fees for Revisions to Valid Authorities to Construct	7
(8) Special Application Processing Provisions	7
(e) ANNUAL OPERATING FEES	10
(1) General Provisions	10
(2) Annual Operating Fees	10
Calculation Worksheet for Annual Operating Fees	10
(3) Staggered Renewal Dates	13
(4) Split Payment of Annual Operating Fees	13
(5) Inactive Status Permits	12
(6) Expiration and Retirement of Permits	13
(f) SPECIFIC PROGRAM FEES	15
(1) General Provisions	15
(2) Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Operation Plan	13
(3) Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan Fee	16
(4) Grid Search	14
(5) New or Modified Power Plants	16
(6) Toxic Hot Spots	16
(7) California Clean Air Act	17
(8) Title V Operating Permit	17
(9) Synthetic Minor Source Permit	17
(10) Determination of Exemption	18
(11) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	18
(g) LATE FEES	16
(h) RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT(S) & REINSTATEMENT OF RETIRED PERMIT(S)	19
(1) General Provisions	19
(2) Renewal of Expired Permit(s) to Operate	19
(3) Reinstatement of Retired Permit(s) to Operate	19
(i) REFUNDS, INSUFFICIENT PAYMENT OF FEES AND CANCELLATIONS	19
(1) General Provisions	19
(2) Application Fee Refunds	19
(3) Annual Operating Fee Refunds	19
(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee Refunds	19
(5) Other Fees	19
(6) Cancellation Fees - Source Testing and Test Witnessing	19
(7) Insufficient Payment of Fees	20

Alphabetical List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type

21

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type

23

RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES

(a) APPLICABILITY

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of these rules, this rule shall be used to determine all fees charged by the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District), as authorized by the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board, except for those specified in Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees. These include, but are not limited to, fees for: applications, permits, portable equipment registrations, renewals, source testing, asbestos demolition or renovation notifications, emergency episode plans, grid searches, technical consultations, new or modified power plants, Toxic Hot Spots, Title V Operating Permits, and Synthetic Minor Source Permits, and reviews, analyses, documents and procedures required or requested pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

(2) This rule shall be used to determine refunds, forfeitures and insufficient payment of fees, if applicable.

(b) DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall apply for terms used in this rule:

(1) **“Annual Operating Fee”** means all fees related to a permit that are paid on an annual basis. These include, but are not limited to, the following: Site Identification (ID) Processing and Handling Fee, Permit Processing Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee, and Annual Source Test Fee.

(2) **“Applicant”** means the owner of the emission unit or operation, or an agent specified by the owner.

(3) **“Initial Application Fees”** means all fees related to an application. These include, but are not limited to, a Non-refundable Processing Fee, Initial Evaluation Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, an Additional Engineering Evaluation Fee and/or Source Test Fee.

(4) **“Location”** means the same as “Stationary Source” as defined in Rule 2 – Definitions.

(5) **“Permit to Operate”** or **“permit”** means any District authority to operate, such as a Permit to Operate, Certificate of Registration, Title V or Synthetic Minor Source permit, unless otherwise specified.

(6) **“T+M”** means time and material costs.

(7) **“Valid Permit or Valid Authority to Construct”** means a Permit or Authority to Construct for which all fees are current.

All other terms mean the same as defined in Rule 2 – Definitions unless otherwise defined by an applicable rule or regulation.

(c) GENERAL PROVISIONS

(1) No application shall be considered received unless accompanied by the completed application and associated supplemental forms (if applicable) and the appropriate Initial Evaluation Fees.

(2) All time and material (T+M) costs shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates.

(3) If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that the activities of any one company would cause an increase of at least 10% in any one Emission Unit Fee Schedule, the Air Pollution Control Officer may delete the costs attributed to that company from the cost data used to determine that type of Emission Unit Fee Schedule. The costs from such a company shall be recovered by development of a source-specific Emission Unit Fee Schedule. The specific Initial Evaluation or Emission Unit Renewal Fee Schedules shall be submitted to the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board for consideration and adoption.

(4) If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that a person has under-reported material usage, emissions or other information necessary for calculating an emissions inventory, and such under-reporting has led to an Air Contaminant Emissions Fee less than what would have been due if correct usage, emissions or other information had been reported, then the person shall pay the difference between the original and corrected Air Contaminant Emissions Fee plus a charge equal to 30% of the difference. Such charge shall not apply if the permittee demonstrates to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction that the under-reporting was the result of inadvertent error or omission which the permittee took all reasonable steps to avoid. Required fees not paid within 30 days of the due date shall be assessed a late fee in the amount prescribed in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(5) Credit card payments for fees will be assessed a processing fee of 2.19% of the amount paid by credit card. This processing fee covers only costs assessed to the District by credit card providers. Payments made using the online application submittal system will not be assessed a processing fee but will be subject to fees charged by the online submittal system vendor for the service. These convenience fees are not remitted to the District.

(d) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES

(1) General Provisions

(i) Every applicant for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate for any article, machine, equipment or other contrivance shall pay the applicable fees as specified in this Section (d) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees for each emission unit.

(ii) A \$85 Non-refundable Processing Fee shall be submitted with each application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate, Change of Location, Change to an Existing Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate, Like-Kind Replacement or Banking Emission Reduction Credits. This fee does not apply to applications for a Change of Ownership, Identical Replacement, or Fee Schedules 49(a) or 49(b).

(iii) When additional evaluation fees are required, the applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the evaluation costs upon receipt of such an invoice. The District may stop work on the application until the invoiced amount is fully paid.

(iv) Initial Evaluation Fees and Emission Unit Renewal Fees shall be determined using the amounts listed in Columns (1) and (2), respectively, of the Fee Schedules provided within this rule.

(2) Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate

The Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate application shall include a Non-refundable Processing Fee, Initial Evaluation Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, an Additional Engineering Evaluation Fee and/or Source Test Fee.

Calculation Worksheet for Initial Application Fees

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$85
Initial Evaluation Fee ¹	
Emission Unit Renewal Fee ¹	
Air Contaminant Emissions Fee ²	
Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees ³	
Source Test Fee ⁴	

Total: \$ _____

Notes:

1. See Fee Schedule. If T+M fee is indicated, call the District for a fee estimate.
2. See Subsection (d)(4) to determine applicable fee, based on total facility emissions.
3. See Subsection (d)(5) to determine if additional fees are required, or call the District for a fee estimate.
4. Call the District for a Source Test Fee estimate.

(3) Initial Evaluation Fee

The Initial Evaluation Fee shall be determined based on the specific type of equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted, as listed in Column (1) of the Fee Schedules provided within this rule.

(i) Where the fee specified in Column (1) is T+M, the fee shall be the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual evaluation cost at the time of application submittal.

(ii) If the equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted is not listed in the Fee Schedules, the Initial Evaluation Fee shall be on a T+M basis,

including the Emission Unit Renewal Fee, as specified in Fee Schedule 91 – Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates.

(iii) If the equipment, process, or operation for which an application is required solely due to a change in Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, the evaluation fee shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsection (d)(5).

(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fees

The Air Contaminant Emissions Fee is an annual fee based on total air contaminant emissions from the stationary source. This fee shall also apply to portable equipment permitted or registered under these Rules and Regulations. For purposes of this subsection, the term “facility” means either the stationary source, or collection of portable equipment permitted or registered under a single site ID.

(i) For existing facilities, an Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall not be collected as part of an Initial Application Fee, if the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee was paid as part of the most recent Annual Operating Fees.

(ii) For new facilities, the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid with the first permit application filed for the new facility and based upon actual expected air contaminant emissions from the facility, as estimated by the District, for the calendar year in which the Permit to Operate is issued, as specified below. This fee shall remain unchanged until revised to reflect the most recent District approved emissions inventory report.

(A) If the actual expected annual emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), oxides of sulfur, particulate matter (PM₁₀) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) equal or exceed five tons, then the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be based on the total expected emissions of all these contaminants for that calendar year, multiplied by an air contaminant emissions fee rate of \$116 per ton.

(B) For all other new facilities, a single Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid based on the following table using the Fee Schedule that is most representative of the nature of the activities at the stationary source:

<u>Fee Schedule</u>	<u>Source Category Description</u>	<u>Annual Emissions Fee</u>
26(a)	VOC dispensing facility - Phase I and Phase II controls required	\$9 per nozzle
28(k and l)	Contract service solvent cleaning units (for contract companies with 100 or more units)	\$7 per cleaning unit
28(f)	Facilities with only remote reservoir units and no other permits at the facility	\$7 per cleaning unit
27(e)	Industrial surface coating applications	\$580
27(k)	Metal parts and aerospace coating applications	\$580

27(v)	Adhesive application operations	\$580
Various	All other stationary sources	\$116

If the most representative nature of the activities cannot be determined for facilities with more than one source category description or fee schedule, the highest applicable annual emissions fee shall apply.

(5) Additional Evaluation and Processing Fees for New or Revised Applications

If an application requires the District to evaluate the emission unit for compliance with Rule 51 – Nuisance, Rule 1200 – Toxic Air Contaminants-New Source Review, Rules 20.1 through 20.8 (New Source Review), Rules 26.0 through 26.10 (Emission Reduction Credits), pre-backfill inspections for gasoline dispensing facilities, Regulation X – New Source Performance Standards, Regulation XI – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, Regulation XII – Toxic Air Contaminants, federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) requirements, a federal National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), State Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM), CEQA, to conduct additional application processing procedures in accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 42301 or 42301.6, or to witness testing or conduct inspections to verify compliance with any State Vapor Recovery Executive Order as part of a Like Kind Replacement application processed according to Rule 11 (d)(5)(ii), the applicant shall pay the actual cost incurred by the District for such evaluation and processing procedures, and any additional fees specified by this rule. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual evaluation cost at the time of application submittal or upon request by the District.

(6) Fees for Revisions to Valid Permits

The owner of a valid permit, or his agent, may submit an application to propose the types of changes listed below. The evaluation fee for a revision shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsections (d)(5), (d)(6)(v), and (d)(6)(vi). The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost of evaluating the proposed change at the time of application submittal.

Calculation Worksheet for Modified Equipment Fees

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$85
Initial Evaluation Fee ¹	
Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees ²	

Total: \$_____

Notes:

1. See Fee Schedules, use Column (1). If T+M fee is indicated, call the District for a fee estimate.
2. See Subsection (d)(5) to determine if additional fees are required, or call the District for a fee estimate.

(i) Operational Change: An application which proposes an operational change of a valid permit.

(ii) Condition Change: An application which proposes a condition change of a valid permit.

(iii) Additions, Alterations and Replacement of Equipment: An application which proposes an addition, alteration or replacement of an emission unit described in a valid permit.

(iv) Review for a Change of Location: An application which proposes a change of location for an emission unit with a valid permit. An application is not required for any change of location within a stationary source or for a portable emission unit.

(v) Ownership Change: An application which proposes an ownership change for a valid permit shall pay an administrative fee of \$85. The applicant shall demonstrate to the District's satisfaction proof of entitlement to the Permit to Operate at the time of application submittal. Prior to an ownership change application being processed, payment of all outstanding charges that are normally due and associated with that permit must be paid.

(vi) Like-Kind Replacement Units per Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, Subsection (d)(5): An application for a permit change to reflect an eligible like-kind replacement emission unit pursuant to Rule 11 (d)(5)(ii), shall pay a fee of \$374, in addition to the Non-refundable Processing Fee and any additional fees provided under Subsection (d)(5) of this rule.

(7) Fees for Revisions to Valid Authorities to Construct

The owner of a valid Authority to Construct, or his agent, may submit an application to propose the types of changes listed in Subsections (d)(6)(i thru v). The evaluation fee for a revision shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsection (d)(5). The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost of evaluating the proposed change at the time of application submittal.

(8) Special Application Processing Provisions

(i) Reduced Fees for Similar Emission Units at a Single Stationary Source

If more than one application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is submitted at the same time for similar emission units at the same stationary source location, then the first emission unit shall be charged the Initial Application Fee as specified in Subsection (d)(2). Each additional emission unit shall be charged the Emission Unit Renewal Fee and the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to evaluate the emission unit and act upon the applications. The total cost for each additional emission unit shall not exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee (Column (1)), except as provided under Subsection (d)(5).

This provision only applies to the extent that each emission unit will be operated independently, and the evaluation for an Authority to Construct for the first emission unit can be applied to the additional units because of similarity in design and operation, and each emission unit can be evaluated and inspected for a Permit to Operate at the same time. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to Fee Schedules 3 and 26.

(ii) Reinspection Fees

If during an inspection for a Permit to Operate, an emission unit cannot be evaluated due to circumstances beyond the control of the District, the applicant shall pay the actual time and material costs of performing a reinspection. An estimated reinspection fee, as determined by the District, may be required to be deposited with the District prior to reinspection of the emission unit.

(iii) Split Fee Payments for Applications

An applicant may request, due to financial hardship, to split the payment of Initial Application Fees into two equal payments. This request must be made in writing. The first payment, equal to 50% of the Initial Application Fees, plus an administrative fee of \$75, must be deposited with the application. The second payment, equal to the remaining balance, is due no later than 60 days after filing the application. Failure to pay the Initial Application Fees in full within 60 days after filing the application, may result in cancellation of the application, as specified in Subsection (i)(7) – Insufficient Payment of Fees.

(iv) Fees for Expedited Application Processing

If an applicant requests expedited processing of an application and the District determines that such expedited processing is available through voluntary overtime work, the applicant shall pay fees equal to one and one-quarter times the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates for the overtime work. At the time of submittal of the application, the applicant shall deposit a fee equal to that otherwise specified by this rule. If the application receives expedited processing, no final action shall be taken on the application until the applicant has paid the remainder of the fees required by this paragraph.

(v) Requirement for Defense and Indemnification Agreement

On a case-by-case basis, where significant risk to the District is identified in connection with the processing of an application, the Air Pollution Control Officer may require a defense and indemnification agreement from the applicant. The agreement shall be in a form approved by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

On a case-by-case basis, the Air Pollution Control Officer may determine to require security from the applicant. A determination to require security shall only be made by the Air Pollution Control Officer, and shall not be delegable. The Air

Pollution Control Officer shall establish the form and amount of the security, as well as the time the security is to be provided to the District.

(vi) Indemnification

Each applicant, to the extent the applicant is at fault in causing liability to the District, shall indemnify the District, its agents, officers and employees (collectively "District Parties") from any claim, action, liability, or proceeding against the District Parties to attack, set aside, void or annul the applicant's project or any of the proceedings, acts or determinations taken, done or made as a result of District's processing and/or approval of the project, as specified below. Each applicant's obligation to indemnify shall apply to any lawsuit or challenge against the District Parties alleging failure to comply with the requirements of any federal, state, or local laws, including, but not limited to, requirements of these Rules and Regulations. This indemnification requirement shall be included in the application form provided to all applicants.

Each applicant's obligation to indemnify the District Parties shall include, but not be limited to, payment of all court costs and attorneys' fees, costs of any judgments or awards against the District, damages, and/or settlement costs, which arise out of District's processing and/or approval of the applicant's project, except that an applicant shall only be responsible for indemnifying the District Parties in the amount of liability which is equal to the proportion of fault caused by the applicant, as determined by a court. Where any court action results in a ruling for the plaintiff/petitioner, the applicant and the District shall request a determination on the percentage contribution of fault from the court which adjudicated the underlying challenge to the applicant's project.

Notwithstanding this subsection, when a defense and indemnification agreement is required for a project under Subsection (d)(8)(v) above, the provisions of the defense and indemnification agreement shall apply to the applicant and not the provisions of this subsection.

(vii) Fees for Previously Permitted Emission Units Operating Without Valid Permits

In addition to the fees otherwise specified by this Section (d) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees, a person who is applying for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate for a previously permitted emission unit that was operated after the applicable permit expired, and is no longer eligible for reinstatement, shall pay the annual operating and late fees specified in Sections (e) Annual Operating Fees, Section (f) Specific Program Fees, and Section (g) Late Fees, that would have otherwise been due. Such payment shall not negate any fines and penalties that may be assessed for violations of the requirement to operate with a valid permit.

(e) ANNUAL OPERATING FEES

(1) General Provisions

(i) Annual Operating Fees are due on an annual basis and shall be paid by any person who is required to maintain a Permit to Operate or Temporary Authorization pursuant to Rule 10 – Permits Required, Section (b) – Permit to Operate.

(ii) Annual Operating Fees are due by 5 PM Pacific Time on the date the permit expires. Permits expire on the last day of the renewal month. Payments received after the permit expiration date are subject to the late fee provisions of Section (g) – Late Fees.

(2) Annual Operating Fees

The following applicable fees shall be paid as part of the Annual Operating Fees: Site ID Processing and Handling Fee, Permit Processing Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee and Annual Source Test Fee.

Calculation Worksheet for Annual Operating Fees

Site ID Processing and Handling Fee	\$40
Permit Processing Fee (\$29 x number of permitted units)	
Emission Unit Renewal Fee (See (iii) below)	
Air Contaminant Emissions Fee (See (iv) below)	
District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee (See (v) below)	
Annual Source Test Fee (See (vi) below)	

Total: \$ _____

(i) Site ID Processing and Handling Fee: A site ID processing and handling fee of \$40 per facility.

(ii) Permit Processing Fee: A permit processing fee of \$29 per Permit to Operate.

(iii) Emission Unit Renewal Fee: An annual renewal fee, for each specific type of emission unit, as specified in the Fee Schedules (Column (2)).

(iv) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee: An annual Air Contaminant Emissions Fee based on total emissions from the stationary source. This fee shall also apply to portable equipment permitted or registered under these Rules and Regulations. For purposes of this subsection, the term “facility” means either the stationary source, or collection of portable equipment permitted or registered under a single site ID.

(A) For facilities with annual emissions of either carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), oxides of sulfur, particulate matter (PM₁₀) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) that equal or exceed five tons, as indicated by the

most recent District approved emission inventory report or an initial evaluation made pursuant to Subsection (d)(4)(ii), the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be based on the total calendar year emissions of all these contaminants, multiplied by an air contaminant emissions fee rate of \$116 per ton.

(B) For all other facilities, a single Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid based on the following table using the Fee Schedule that is most representative of the nature of the activities at the stationary source:

<u>Fee Schedule</u>	<u>Source Category Description</u>	<u>Annual Emissions Fee</u>
26(a)	VOC dispensing facility - Phase I and Phase II controls required	\$9 per nozzle
28 (k and l)	Contract service solvent cleaning units (for contract companies with 100 or more units)	\$7 per cleaning unit
28(f)	Facilities with only remote reservoir units and no other permits at the facility	\$7 per cleaning unit
27(e)	Industrial surface coating applications	\$580
27(k)	Metal parts and aerospace coating applications	\$580
27(v)	Adhesive application operations	\$580
Various	All other stationary sources	\$116

If the most representative nature of the activities cannot be determined for facilities with more than one source category description or fee schedule, the highest applicable annual emissions fee shall apply.

(v) District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee: If applicable, the stationary source-specific fee required under the Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act as specified in Subsection (f)(7).

(vi) Annual Source Test Fee: If a periodic source test is required, the applicable source test fee, as specified in Fee Schedules 92 and/or 93.

(3) Staggered Renewal Dates

The District may initiate, or the owner of a Permit to Operate may request in writing, to change the renewal month of all permits located at a single facility. When the established renewal month for a facility is changed to a new renewal month, the amount due for each permit shall be prorated to reflect the new renewal month. Revised permits will be issued after the prorated amount has been paid.

(4) Split Payment of Annual Operating Fees

Owners or operators may request, due to financial hardship, to split the payment of the Annual Operating Fees into four equal payments. This request must be made in writing at least seven days prior to the due date. The first payment, equal to 25% of the Annual Operating Fees, plus an administrative fee of \$75, must be deposited by 5 PM Pacific Time on the last day of the renewal month. The subsequent three payments, equal

to 25% each of the Annual Operating Fees, are due no later than 30, 60, and 90 days after the last day of the renewal month.

Permits with approved split payment requests will expire 120 days after the last day of the renewal month if the Annual Operating Fees are not paid in full or will be issued for the remainder of the annual period after full payment of the Annual Operating Fees is made. Failure to pay the Annual Operating Fees in full within 120 days after the last day of the renewal month, shall be assessed a late fee in the amount prescribed in Section (g) – Late Fees. Permits that have expired after the 120 days, pursuant to this subsection, will be renewed or reinstated if the requirements set out in Rule 10 – Permits Required Section (h) and this Rule 40 Section (h) are met.

(5) Inactive Status Permits

A person who holds a valid permit who desires to have that permit placed on inactive status pursuant to Rule 10 – Permits Required shall submit an application requesting such change and shall pay the Initial Evaluation Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (1)). If such request is received at the time of annual renewal of the permit, the person shall also pay the annual Emission Unit Renewal Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (2)). Thereafter, the annual Emission Unit Renewal Fee for the inactive status permit shall be as specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (2)). When a person who holds a valid inactive status permit applies, in accordance with Rule 10, for the condition prohibiting operation to be removed and the permit returned to active status, the owner or operator shall pay the Initial Evaluation Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(b)(Column (1)), any Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees required pursuant to Subsection (d)(5), and the applicable Annual Operating Fee specified in this Section (e) Annual Operating Fees for that category of emission unit with an active status permit, prorated for the portion of the permit renewal year remaining.

(6) Expiration and Retirement of Permits

(i) Expiration of Permits due to Non-Payment of Annual Operating Fees

If Annual Operating Fees are not paid by the permit expiration date, the permit will expire on that date. An expired permit may be renewed within six months of the expiration date as provided in Subsection (h)(2).

(ii) Retirement of Permits due to Non-payment of Annual Operating Fees

If Annual Operating Fees are not paid within six months from the permit expiration date, the permit will be retired on the day following the last day of the six-month period from the permit expiration date. A retired permit may be reinstated within six months of the retirement date as provided in Subsection (h)(3). Emission units for which a permit was not reinstated within six months of the retirement date will require an application for a new Permit to Operate.

(iii) Retirement by Permittee Request

Owners or operators may, at any time, request retirement of a valid permit(s). This request must be made in writing. Retired permit(s) may be reinstated within six months of the date of retirement as provided in Subsection (h)(3).

(f) SPECIFIC PROGRAM FEES

(1) General Provisions

For all of the applicable programs listed below, a late fee as described in Section (g) – Late Fees shall be assessed if the required fees are not paid within 30 days after the due date.

(2) Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Notification

For each asbestos demolition or renovation notification subject to Rule 1206 – Asbestos Removal, Renovation, and Demolition, the owner or operator shall pay the applicable fees specified below. For projects where one notification is submitted for both renovation and demolition operations, the owner or operator shall pay both applicable renovation and demolition fees. Fees are due at the time a notification is submitted. Notifications or revisions thereof will not be considered received unless accompanied with the required fees. The terms used below are defined in Rule 1206.

<u>TYPE OF OPERATION</u>	<u>Notification Fee</u>	<u>Online Notification Fee</u> ¹
1. Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings having four or fewer dwelling units)		
<100 sq. ft.	\$666	\$488
100 sq. ft. to 500 sq. ft.	\$666	\$488
501 to 2,000 sq. ft.	\$741	\$563
2,001 to 5,000 sq. ft.	\$838	\$660
5,001 to 10,000 sq. ft.	\$850	\$673
>10,000 sq. ft.	\$1008	\$830
2. Planned (Annual) Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$124	\$124
3. Emergency Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$124	\$124
4. Demolition Operations		
Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present	\$825	\$646
5. Emergency Demolition Operations (add to demolition operation fee listed above)	\$124	\$124
6. Revised Notification Fee for Renovations, Demolitions, Planned Renovations, and Emergency Operations ² (NOTE: a revision is defined as a change in the original start date or when the amount of asbestos changes by greater than or equal to 20%.)	\$58	N/A
7. Cancellation Fee for Renovations or Demolitions Operations	\$75	N/A

Notes:

1. Online notification fees apply when the notification is submitted to the District using the online Citizen Access Portal.
2. Additional fees may be required if the revised amount of asbestos to be removed increases to a higher category. The additional fee will be the difference between the fee paid and the fee required for the new category.

(3) Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan Fee

The owner or operator of a facility for which a plan or a plan update is required by District Regulation VIII – San Diego Air Pollution Emergency Plan shall pay a \$147 evaluation fee for each plan or plan update, at the time the plan is submitted for review.

(4) Grid Search

Any school district, individual, business or agency that submits a request for the District to conduct a grid search to identify all facilities with the potential to emit hazardous air contaminants (pollutants) shall deposit an initial fee of \$362 at the time the grid search is requested. If the actual costs incurred are greater than the amount deposited, the school district, individual, business or agency that made the request shall submit an additional amount as specified by the District to recover the remaining actual costs of performing the grid search.

(5) New or Modified Power Plants

Any source subject to the requirements of Rule 20.5 – Power Plants, shall reimburse the District for the actual costs incurred in order to comply with the provisions of Rule 20.5. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost at the time of application submittal.

(6) Toxic Hot Spots

The owner or operator of a facility who has been identified by the District as being subject to the requirements of California Health and Safety Code Section 44300 et seq. (the Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act), shall pay the applicable fees specified below to the District within 30 days of receipt of an invoice for the required fees.

(i) The owner or operator of a facility identified by the District as subject to any of the site-specific program requirements listed below shall pay an annual site-specific program fee. The amount of the site-specific program fee shall be equal to the actual costs incurred by the District associated with the site-specific program requirements for each affected facility.

(A) Toxic air contaminant emissions source testing when necessary to determine emissions for inclusion in a toxic air contaminant emissions inventory.

(B) Health risk assessment or updated health risk assessment pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44360 et seq. or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(C) Public notification of health risks pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44362 or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(D) Facility toxic air contaminant risk reduction audit and plan pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44390 or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(ii) In addition to the fee specified in Subsection (f)(7)(i), the owner or operator of a facility subject to the requirements of California Health and Safety Code Section 44300 et seq. shall pay an annual fee for the recovery of State program costs. The amount of the annual State program fee for each facility shall be that specified by the California Air Resources Board in accordance with the State Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Fee Regulation contained in Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Section 90700 et seq.

(7) California Clean Air Act

The owner or operator of a stationary source who is required by Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Section 90800, et seq., to pay a fee adopted by the California Air Resources Board shall pay the required fee to the District within 30 days of receipt of an invoice for the required fees.

(8) Title V Operating Permit

The owner or operator of a stationary source subject to the requirements of Regulation XIV – Title V Operating Permits, shall pay the actual time and materials costs incurred by the District to review and act upon an application for initial permit, permit modification, administrative permit amendment, Section 502(b)(10) change (42 U.S.C. §7661a), Trading Under an Emissions Cap Operational Flexibility change, enhanced Authority to Construct and/or Title V operating permit renewal; to evaluate such source for compliance with Regulation XIV and the terms and conditions of a Title V operating permit, including, but not limited to, the costs incurred to document such evaluation, to prepare reports, and to take any actions necessary in cases of noncompliance; to reopen an existing Title V operating permit; and to cancel a Title V operating permit. All such applications shall also pay the Non-refundable Processing Fee of \$85.

(9) Synthetic Minor Source Permit

The owner or operator of a stationary source that submits an application to obtain a Synthetic Minor Source (SMS) Permit pursuant to Rule 60.2 – Limiting Potential to Emit-Synthetic Minor Sources, shall pay the fees specified below to recover the actual costs incurred by the District to review and act upon an application for initial permit, permit modification and/or permit renewal.

<u>Non-refundable Processing Fee</u>	<u>\$85</u>
Application evaluation fee (new or modified permits)	T+M
SMS permit renewal fee	T+M

(10) Determination of Exemption

The owner or operator of any emission unit or process requesting a determination of exemption pursuant to Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, Subsection (d)(19), shall pay the Non-refundable Processing Fee of \$85, plus an evaluation fee based on T+M to recover the actual costs incurred by the District to evaluate the emission unit or process.

(11) California Environmental Quality Act

Whenever the District is requested or required to conduct analyses, review or prepare documents, or conduct and/or participate in administrative procedures, meetings or hearings pursuant to CEQA, the District costs shall be paid by the persons requesting and/or receiving such services. District staff costs shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates. Costs to the District resulting from the activities of other agencies or consultants to the District necessary to provide such services shall be included in the total District costs. Persons requesting and/or receiving such services shall be charged the estimated cost of providing those services and shall deposit such amount to the District in advance of the service, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the District. If the actual costs incurred are greater than the amounts deposited, the persons requesting and/or receiving the services shall deposit additional amounts as specified by the District to recover the remaining actual costs. Any funds deposited in excess of actual costs incurred shall be refunded.

(g) LATE FEES

(1) Late fees for Annual Operating Fees due to the District shall apply as follows:

(i) A late fee of 30% of the Annual Operating Fees due or \$250, whichever is less, shall be added for fees paid later than the last day of the renewal month.

(ii) An additional late fee of 10% of the Annual Operating Fees due shall be added for each additional month or portion thereof that the fees remain unpaid.

(iii) In no case shall the late fees exceed 100% of the total Annual Operating Fees.

(2) Late fees for any payments due to the District, except Annual Operating Fees, shall apply as follows:

(i) A late fee of 30% of the amount due shall be added for payments made more than 30 days after the due date.

(ii) An additional late fee of 10% of the amount due shall be added for each additional month or portion thereof that the payment is not received.

(iii) In no case shall the late fees exceed 100% of the amount due.

(3) On a case-by-case basis, upon written request, the Air Pollution Control Officer may waive late fees due to financial hardship during declared federal, State, or local emergencies provided that the Annual Operating Fees, and any other payments due to the District, have been made in full.

(h) RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT(S) & REINSTATEMENT OF RETIRED PERMIT(S)

(1) General Provisions

In addition to the Annual Operating Fees due for renewing an expired permit or reinstating a retired permit, any applicable fees pursuant to Subsection (d)(6), such as an ownership change, change of location, or modification, shall be paid concurrently.

New owners seeking to renew or reinstate a retired permit are responsible for payment of all outstanding charges that are normally due and associated with that retired or expired permit.

(2) Renewal of Expired Permit(s) to Operate

An expired permit can be renewed within six months of the expiration date by paying the applicable Annual Operating Fees and the late fees as specified in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(3) Reinstatement of Retired Permit(s) to Operate

A retired permit can be reinstated within six months of the retirement date by submitting a written request, and paying the applicable Annual Operating Fees, a reinstatement fee of \$75 and the late fees as specified in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(i) REFUNDS, INSUFFICIENT PAYMENT OF FEES AND CANCELLATIONS

(1) General Provisions

(i) No refunds shall be issued for amounts of less than \$25.

(ii) If an applicant does not sign, date and return a refund claim form within six months after receipt of the form, all rights to a refund shall be forfeited.

(2) Application Fee Refunds

(i) If an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is withdrawn by the applicant:

(A) before the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire Initial Application Fee, less the \$85 Non-refundable Processing Fee.

(B) after the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the \$85 Non-refundable Processing Fee, and all costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(ii) If an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is denied or cancelled, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the \$85 Non-refundable Processing Fee, the Initial Evaluation Fee (if a dollar amount is listed in Column (1), and not T+M), and all other costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(iii) Certificate of Registration Refunds: If an application for a Certificate of Registration is withdrawn by the applicant after the engineering evaluation has begun, or withdrawn seven days after the date of receipt, or the application is denied or cancelled, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the \$85 Non-refundable Processing Fee, the Initial Evaluation Fee, and all other costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(iv) Refund Due to Overpayment of T+M, Initial Evaluation Fees, or Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees: If the total cost incurred by the District to evaluate any application involving T+M fees is less than the amount deposited by the applicant, the District will refund any overage beyond its actual evaluation costs and less the \$85 Non-refundable Processing Fee. This provision does not apply to Initial Evaluation Fees for which a fixed amount is established in the Fee Schedules.

(v) Exempt Equipment Refunds: Except for requests for exemption processed according to Rule 40(f)(10), if the District determines that the article, machine equipment or other contrivance for which the application was submitted is not within the purview of state law or these Rules and Regulations, a full refund of the fees paid will be issued to the applicant. If a request for a determination of exemption is withdrawn by the applicant before the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire deposit and any other fees paid. If a request for a determination of exemption is withdrawn by the applicant after the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire deposit and any other fees paid, less any costs incurred by the District to evaluate the request.

(3) Annual Operating Fee Refunds

A refund of the Annual Operating Fees shall not be issued unless the fees for the upcoming year are paid prior to the Permit to Operate renewal date and the request for a refund of these fees is made prior to the Permit to Operate renewal date. No refunds will be made for fees or late payments made after the due date.

(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee Refunds

(i) New Facilities: The Air Contaminant Emissions Fee portion of the Initial Application Fee shall only be refunded if the application is withdrawn or cancelled prior to the issuance of a Startup Authorization or Permit to Operate.

(ii) Existing Facilities: Air Contaminant Emissions Fees paid by existing facilities as part of their Annual Operating Fee or an Initial Application Fee shall not be refundable, unless all Permit(s) to Operate at the facility are retired.

(5) Other Fees

Asbestos Notifications: Refunds of asbestos notification fees shall be issued only if a cancellation notice is received by the District prior to the notification start date. A refund will not be issued if the notice of cancellation is received by the District on or after the notification start date.

(6) Cancellation Fees – Source Testing and Test Witnessing

Substitution of another facility for a scheduled test shall be considered a cancellation subject to the provisions listed below.

(i) Fee Schedule 92(a): If a source test cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled source test date a cancellation fee of \$500 shall be charged.

(ii) Fee Schedules 92(b-z) and 93: If a source test or test witnessing cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled source test date a cancellation fee of \$250 shall be charged.

(iii) Vapor Recovery (Phase I, II): If a VOC vapor recovery system test witness cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled test date a cancellation fee of \$250 shall be charged.

(7) Insufficient Payment of Fees

(i) If the fees deposited by an applicant to cover the cost of evaluating an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate or other District evaluation is insufficient to complete the work in progress, the applicant shall deposit an amount deemed sufficient by the District to complete the work, except if the amount is \$25 or less.

(ii) The Air Pollution Control Officer may cancel an application when an applicant fails or refuses to deposit such amount within 45 days of demand or fails or refuses to deposit such amount by the date required by Rule 18 – Action on Applications for action to be taken on the application, whichever date is sooner.

(iii) If the applicant fails or refuses to deposit such amount upon demand, the District may recover the same through a collection agency or by action in any court of competent jurisdiction, including small claims court. Until such amount is paid in full, the District shall not further process the application unless the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed.

(iv) Returned Checks: Any person who issues a check to the District, which is returned by the bank upon which it is drawn without payment, shall pay a returned check fee of \$25.

(v) The Air Pollution Control Officer may refuse to process an application and/or refuse to renew a Permit to Operate if the applicant has any unpaid invoices more than 60 days overdue or has any late fees or outstanding court judgments which are owed to the District. The Air Pollution Control Officer may refuse to process an application if a prior applicant for the equipment or project which is the subject of the application has unpaid invoices or late fees related to that equipment or project.

In the event that processing of an application is stopped pursuant to this provision, the timelines for taking action on an application specified in Rule 18 – Action on Applications shall no longer apply to that application.

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF FEE SCHEDULES BY EMISSION UNIT TYPE

Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths	Schedule 2
Abrasive Blasting Equipment - Excluding Rooms and Booths	Schedule 1
Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Adhesive Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Adhesive Materials Application Operations.....	Schedule 27
Air Stripping Equipment.....	Schedule 52
Anodizing Tanks.....	Schedule 55
Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))	Schedule 27
Asbestos Control Equipment	Schedule 59
Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers	Schedule 40
Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt.....	Schedule 3
Automotive Refinishing Operations	Schedule 27
Bakeries	Schedule 58
Boilers and Heaters.....	Schedule 13
Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds).....	Schedule 25
Bulk Terminal Grain Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23
Burn Out Ovens	Schedule 15
Cement Silo System (Separate from Plants).....	Schedule 8
Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Ceramic Slip Casting	Schedule 43
Coffee Roasters.....	Schedule 50
Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Concrete Batch Plants.....	Schedule 8
Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants	Schedule 9
Copper Etching	Schedule 32
Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Dry Chemical Mixing.....	Schedule 24
Dry Chemical Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23
Dry Cleaning Facilities	Schedule 31
Electronic Component Manufacturing.....	Schedule 42
Electric Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Engines - Internal Combustion	Schedule 34
Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials	Schedule 44
Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants.....	Schedule 22
Filtration Membrane Manufacturing	Schedule 46
Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Gasoline Stations	Schedule 26
Grinding Booths and Rooms	Schedule 36
Hexavalent Chromium Plating	Schedule 55
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants.....	Schedule 4
Industrial Coating Applications	Schedule 27

Alphabetical List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type - continued

Industrial Waste Water Treatment.....	Schedule 51
Ink Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Intermediate Refueler Facilities (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Internal Combustion Engines (Piston Type).....	Schedule 34
Internal Combustion Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 34
Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	Schedule 30
Marine Coatings	Schedule 27
Metal Inspection Tanks.....	Schedule 28
Metal Melting Devices	Schedule 18
Municipal Waste Storage and Processing.....	Schedule 48
Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities	Schedule 26
Non-Municipal Incinerators.....	Schedule 14
Non-Operational Status Equipment.....	Schedule 49
Oil Quenching	Schedule 19
Organic Gas Sterilizers	Schedule 47
Paint and Stain Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Paper Shredders or Grinders.....	Schedule 21
Perlite Processing	Schedule 41
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing.....	Schedule 54
Plasma Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Precious Metals Refining.....	Schedule 39
Rock Drills.....	Schedule 5
Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19
Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules.....	Schedule 6
Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants.....	Schedule 7
Sewage Treatment Facilities.....	Schedule 56
Soil Remediation Equipment.....	Schedule 52
Solder Paste Manufacturing.....	Schedule 38
Soldering Equipment (Automated).....	Schedule 29
Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44
Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Vapor Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Wood Shredders or Grinders	Schedule 21

CATEGORIZED LIST OF FEE SCHEDULES BY EMISSION UNIT TYPE

ABRASIVE BLASTING EQUIPMENT

Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths	Schedule 2
Abrasive Blasting Equipment - Excluding Rooms and Booths	Schedule 1

ASPHALT RELATED OPERATIONS, EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES

Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers	Schedule 40
Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt.....	Schedule 3
Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants.....	Schedule 4

COATING, ADHESIVE AND INK APPLICATION EQUIPMENT & OPERATIONS

Adhesive Materials Application Operations.....	Schedule 27
Automotive Refinishing Operations	Schedule 27
Graphic Arts Operations	Schedule 27
Industrial Coating Applications.....	Schedule 27
Miscellaneous Parts Coatings.....	Schedule 27
Wood, Metal, Marine, Aerospace Coatings.....	Schedule 27

CONCRETE EQUIPMENT

Cement Silo System (Separate from Plants).....	Schedule 8
Concrete Batch Plants.....	Schedule 8
Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants.....	Schedule 9

COMBUSTION AND HEAT TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

Boilers and Heaters.....	Schedule 13
Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Internal Combustion Engines (Piston Type).....	Schedule 34
Internal Combustion Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 34
Non-Municipal Incinerators.....	Schedule 14

DRY CHEMICAL OPERATIONS

Dry Chemical Mixing.....	Schedule 24
Dry Chemical Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23

ELECTRONIC MANUFACTURING

Electronic Component Manufacturing.....	Schedule 42
Soldering Equipment (Automated).....	Schedule 29

FOOD PROCESSING AND PREPARATION EQUIPMENT

Bakeries	Schedule 58
Bulk Flour and Powdered Sugar Storage Systems	Schedule 35
Coffee Roasters.....	Schedule 50

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type - continued

FUEL STORAGE, TRANSFER AND DISPENSING EQUIPMENT

Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Gasoline Stations	Schedule 26
Intermediate Refueler Facilities (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities	Schedule 26

MACHINING EQUIPMENT

Grinding Booths and Rooms	Schedule 36
Paper or Wood Shredders or Grinders	Schedule 21
Plasma, Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths	Schedule 37

METAL TREATMENT OPERATIONS

Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Copper Etching	Schedule 32
Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks	Schedule 55
Hot Dip Galvanizing	Schedule 32
Oil Quenching and Salt Baths	Schedule 19

METALLURGICAL PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Copper Etching	Schedule 32
Hot Dip Galvanizing	Schedule 32
Metal Inspection Tanks	Schedule 28
Metal Melting Devices	Schedule 18
Oil Quenching and Salt Baths	Schedule 19
Plasma and Electric Deposition Spray Booths	Schedule 37
Precious Metals Refining	Schedule 39

MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING AND PROCESSING

Ceramic Slip Casting	Schedule 43
Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials	Schedule 44
Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants	Schedule 22
Filtration Membrane Manufacturing	Schedule 46
Ink Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	Schedule 30
Municipal Waste Storage and Processing	Schedule 48
Non-Operational Status Equipment	Schedule 49
Organic Gas Sterilizers	Schedule 47
Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Perlite Processing	Schedule 41
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	Schedule 54
Stills Processing Organic Materials	Schedule 44

MIXING, BLENDING AND PACKAGING EQUIPMENT

Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Dry Chemical Mixing	Schedule 24

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type - continued

OVENS

Burn Out Ovens Schedule 15

SAND, ROCK AND AGGREGATE RELATED OPERATIONS

Rock Drills..... Schedule 5

Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations..... Schedule 6

Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants..... Schedule 7

SOLVENT CLEANING OPERATIONS

Cold Solvent and Remote Reservoir Cleaning Operations..... Schedule 28

Dry Cleaning Facilities Schedule 31

Vapor Solvent Cleaning Operations Schedule 28

SPRAY BOOTH OPERATIONS

Coating, Adhesives and Painting Operations Schedule 27

Plasma, Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths..... Schedule 37

STORAGE AND TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

Bulk Flour and Powdered Sugar Storage Systems Schedule 35

Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds) Schedule 25

Bulk Terminal Grain Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment..... Schedule 23

Dry Chemical Storage Systems Schedule 35

Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment..... Schedule 23

TREATMENT AND REMEDIATION OPERATIONS

Air Stripping Equipment..... Schedule 52

Asbestos Control Equipment Schedule 59

Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials Schedule 44

Industrial Waste Water Treatment..... Schedule 51

Sewage Treatment Facilities..... Schedule 56

Soil Remediation Equipment..... Schedule 52

FEE SCHEDULES

The Fee Schedules shall be used in determining the Initial Evaluation Fees and Emission Unit Renewal Fees using the amounts listed in Columns (1) and (2), respectively for each emission unit. The fees specified below do not include all applicable fees. See Sections (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i) for other required fees.

SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths

Any permit unit consisting of air hoses, with or without water lines, with a single pot rated at 100 pounds capacity or more of sand regardless of abrasive used, and a nozzle or nozzles. (Equipment not operated solely in Schedule 2 facilities).

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$697	\$228
(b) Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$1562	\$196
(c) Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$2023	\$184
(d) Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	\$1562	\$184
(x) Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$481	\$269

SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$4171	\$399
(b) Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$4820	\$429

SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	\$1243	\$254
(w) Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$323	\$227

SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	T+M	\$1386

SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(w) Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$544	\$294

SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Screen Set	\$3908	\$442
(x) Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$559	\$292

SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	T+M	\$750
(b) Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	T+M	\$363
(c) Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	T+M	\$359
(x) Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$559	\$271

SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	T+M	\$744
(b) Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	T+M	\$275
(c) Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	T+M	\$429
(d) RESERVED		
(x) Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant or stand-alone Cementitious Material Storage Silo, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$618	\$312

SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Plant	T+M	\$528

SCHEDULE 10: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 11: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 12: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$2699	\$353
(b) Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+M	\$490
(c) RESERVED		
(d) Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+M	\$1011
(e) RESERVED		
(f) Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	\$2611	\$307
(g) RESERVED		
(h) RESERVED		
(w) Each unit greater than 2 MM BTU/HR to less than 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12	\$782	\$231

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Crematory or waste incinerator burning*	T+M	\$768
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	T+M	\$365

*Excluding units of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.

SCHEDULE 15: Burn-Out Ovens

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+M	\$363
(b) RESERVED		
(c) RESERVED		
(d) USN SIMA (ID #APCD1981-SITE-02798)*	T+M	\$223

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 16: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 17: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Each Pit or Stationary Crucible/Pot Furnace	T+M	\$373
(d) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Tank	T+M	\$220

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND TURBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS		
(a) Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+M	\$359
(b) Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+M	\$201
(c) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+M	\$154
GAS TURBINE ENGINES		
(d) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+M	\$945
(e) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+M	\$1183
(f) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+M	\$3398
(g) Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+M	\$339
(h) Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+M	\$243

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+M	\$306
(w) Each Paper Shredder with a maximum throughput capacity of greater than 600 pounds per hour, Registered Under Rule 12	\$753	\$366

SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+M	\$436
(b) Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+M	\$407
(c) Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	T+M	\$431
(d) Each Mixer System	T+M	\$909
(e) Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+M	\$455
(f) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	T+M	\$514
(b) Each Storage Silo System	\$1693	\$299
(c) Each Loadout Station System	T+M	\$320
(d) Each Belt Transfer Station	T+M	\$320
(w) Each Grain Silo at beer breweries producing less than 100,000 barrels (3.1 million gallons) per year, Registered Under Rule 12	\$753	\$344

SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard	T+M	\$236

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
1. Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:		
(a) Per Tank	T+M	\$255
(b) Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+M	N/A
(c) Per Truck Loading Head	T+M	\$1498
(d) Per Vapor Processor	T+M	\$363
(g) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities – continued

- 2. Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:
 - (e) Per Tank T+M \$408
 - (f) Per Truck Loading Head T+M \$369

“Vapor Processor” means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compounds by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, absorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.
- 3. Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR’s) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:
 - (h) Per IR Loading Connector T+M \$430

If a facility falls into Parts 1, 2, or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the “per nozzle” fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.

**SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities
Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Facilities where Phase I and Phase II controls are required (includes Phase I fee) Renewal Fee: Fee x number of nozzles	\$2723	\$251
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Facilities where only Phase I controls are required (includes tank replacement) Fee Per Facility	\$2531	\$531
(d) RESERVED		
(e) Non-retail facilities with 250-550 gallon tanks and no other non-bulk gasoline dispensing permits Fee Per Facility	\$788	\$467

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Marine Coating application operation, except where Fee Schedule 27(t) applies	\$3006	\$730
(b) RESERVED		
(c) RESERVED		
(t) Each Marine Coating application operation at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and < 100 gallons/year	\$1354	\$493
(x) RESERVED		
(y) RESERVED		
(z) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))
 – continued

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING

(Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.)

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(d) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$2590	\$815
(e) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$1005
(f) Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Except If Using Only Polyester Resin	\$4135	\$899
(g) RESERVED		
(h) RESERVED		
(i) Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	T+M	\$1457
(j) Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$5598	\$840
(k) Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$865
(l) Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings	\$3844	\$798
(m) RESERVED		
(n) Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	\$2088	\$474
(o) Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	T+M	\$615
(p) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon/day or < 50 gallons/year	\$2590	\$539
(q) Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons/year for Wood Products Coating Operations	\$3844	\$681

PART 3 – MOTOR VEHICLE AND MOBILE EQUIPMENT REFINISHING OPERATIONS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(r) Each facility applying Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$3235	\$982
(s) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))
 – continued

PART 4 - ADHESIVE MATERIALS APPLICATION OPERATIONS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(u) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$2030	\$583
(v) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$2030	\$1075
(w) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	\$2030	\$639

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet	T+M	\$407
(b) Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	\$1787	\$309
(c) RESERVED		
(d) Each Paint Stripping Tank	\$2259	\$306
(e) RESERVED		
(f) Remote Reservoir Cleaners	\$792	\$293
(g) RESERVED		
(h) Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area ≤ 5 square feet	\$689	\$365
(i) Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	\$508	\$274
(j) Metal Inspection Tanks	\$1393	\$255
(k) Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	T+M	\$33
(l) Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of ≤ 5 square feet	T+M	\$14
(m) Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	T+M	\$733

SCHEDULE 29: Automated Soldering Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Solder Leveler	\$3143	\$423

SCHEDULE 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	T+M	\$1370

SCHEDULE 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	\$1428	\$722
(b) Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+M	\$444

SCHEDULE 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Copper Etching Tank	T+M	\$581
(b) Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+M	\$499
(c) Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+M	\$588

SCHEDULE 33: RESERVED**SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines and Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Processes**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine with Add-on Control Equipment	T+M	\$914
(b) Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine without Add-on Control Equipment	T+M	\$555
(c) Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$3440	\$378
(d) Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation \geq 200 horsepower	T+M	\$596
(e) Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	T+M	\$550
(f) Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+M	\$184
(g) Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation < 200 horsepower	\$2818	\$370
(h) Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$2502	\$327
(i) Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand	T+M	\$359
(l) Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	T+M	\$482
(w) Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	\$367	\$311
(x) Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$603	\$297
(z) Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12, Conversion from Valid Permit	\$401	N/A

SCHEDULE 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each System	T+M	\$298

SCHEDULE 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Booth or Room	\$2502	\$384

SCHEDULE 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Application Station	T+M	\$485
(c) Flame Spray (ID #APCD1976-SITE-00274)*	T+M	\$359

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	T+M	\$291
(b) Each Can Filling Line	T+M	\$309
(c) Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	T+M	\$620
(d) Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year	T+M	\$1209
(f) Ferro Electronic Material Systems (ID #APCD2001-SITE-04439)*	T+M	\$731

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 39: Precious Metals Refining

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$677

SCHEDULE 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(x) Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	\$637	\$316

SCHEDULE 41: Perlite Processing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$416
(b) Aztec Perlite (ID #APCD1978-SITE-01598)*	T+M	\$938

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$631
(b) Each Screen Printing Operation	T+M	\$522
(c) Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	T+M	\$627
(d) Each Conformal Coating Operation	T+M	\$797

SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$639

SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	T+M	\$373
(b) Solvent Recovery Stills, on-site, batch-type, solvent usage > 350 gallons per day	\$2298	\$380

SCHEDULE 45: RESERVED**SCHEDULE 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$597

SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Organic Gas Sterilizer/Aerator requiring control	T+M	\$628
(b) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M	\$2454
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M	\$6079

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$242	\$313
(b) Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$216	N/A

SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Coffee Roaster	\$3081	\$413

SCHEDULE 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each On-site Processing Line	\$2616	\$469
(b) RESERVED		
(c) USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID #APCD1986-SITE-02755)*	T+M	\$1247

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping and Soil Remediation Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Air Stripping Equipment	T+M	\$619
(b) Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)	T+M	\$720

SCHEDULE 53: RESERVED**SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	T+M	\$831

SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks, and Chromate Conversion Coating Tanks

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Hard or Decorative Chrome Plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System	T+M	\$2175
(b) Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	T+M	\$1179
(c) RESERVED		
(d) Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	T+M	\$368

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Wastewater Treatment Facility, or Each Water Reclamation Facility	T+M	\$1170
(b) Each Wastewater Pump Station	T+M	\$629

SCHEDULE 57: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 58: Bakeries

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	T+M	\$699

SCHEDULE 59: Asbestos Control Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	\$1909	\$351

SCHEDULES 60 THROUGH 90 RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Miscellaneous Operations	T+M	\$504

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Performed by the District

The owner or operator of an emission unit which requires source testing to determine compliance shall pay the applicable source test fee(s) listed below if the source testing is performed by the District or a District contractor. If the source test requires significantly more on-site time than is provided by the fixed fees specified below (e.g., tall stacks), the additional costs incurred by the District shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94 and related material and other costs. The owner or operator shall pay such fees upon notification from the District that such fees are required.

<u>Fee Unit</u>	<u>Fee</u>
(a) RESERVED	
(b) RESERVED	
(c) Each Sulfur Oxides Source Test	T+M
(d) Annual Fee for each Biennial Cycle Test for NO _x and CO (1/2 the cost of one test)	\$1341
(e) Each Ethylene Oxide Source Test	T+M
(f) Each Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$2682
(g) Each Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$3093
(h) Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of > 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M
(i) Each Ammonia Source Test	\$1281
(j) Continuous Emission Monitor System Evaluation	T+M
(k) Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of < 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M
(l) RESERVED	
(m) Each Mass Emissions Source Test	\$1265
(n) RESERVED	
(o) Each Multiple Metals Source Test	T+M
(p) Each Chromium Source Test	T+M
(q) Each VOC Onsite Analysis	\$5898
(r) Each VOC Offsite Analysis	\$1382
(s) Each Hydrogen Sulfide Source Test	T+M
(t) Each Acid Gas Source Test	T+M
(u) RESERVED	
(v) Annual Fee for Optional Source Test Pilot Study	T+M
(w) Each Particulate Matter Source Test	\$3791
(x) Each Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test	\$8458
(y) Each Particulate Matter and Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen Source Test	\$6049
(z) Miscellaneous Source Test (Special Tests not Listed)	T+M

SCHEDULE 93: Witness of Source Tests Performed by Independent Contractors

The owner or operator of an emission unit which requires source testing to determine compliance for the purpose of quantifying emissions to determine whether a Permit to Operate shall be issued or if the emission unit is in compliance, and chooses to have the testing performed by an independent contractor, shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to observe such testing and review the resulting source test report.

Any person, company, agency that requests review of a test procedure shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to review such test procedures. Such requests shall be accompanied by an amount estimated to cover actual District costs.

<u>Fee Unit</u>	<u>Fee</u>
(a) Test Witness and Report Review	T+M
(b) RESERVED	
(c) Test Procedure Review	T+M
(d) Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness	\$2751
(e) Each Ethylene Oxide Test Witness Day	\$2272

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates

<u>Employee Classification (Fee Unit)</u>	<u>Hourly Rate</u>
Air Pollution Control Aide (94u)	\$66
Air Pollution Control Civil Actions Investigator (94x)	\$155
RESERVED (94m)	
RESERVED (94o)	
Air Quality Inspector (94e)	\$193
Air Quality Specialist (94z)	\$115
RESERVED (94s)	
RESERVED (94i)	
RESERVED (94b)	
RESERVED (94g)	
Associate Air Resources Specialist (94q)	\$193
Chemist (94j)	\$137
Engineer (94c)	\$197
Meteorologist (94r)	\$137
RESERVED (94l)	
RESERVED (94n)	
Senior Chemist (94k)	\$164
Senior Engineer (94d)	\$238
RESERVED (94h)	
Supervising Air Quality Inspector (94f)	\$247
RESERVED (94y)	
RESERVED (94t)	

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis

When the District determines a sample and/or analysis is needed for the purpose of determining potential emissions and/or determining compliance with District Rules and Regulations, the actual T+M costs incurred by the District for collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the reports, shall be paid by the permittee, applicant or other persons for activities for which a Permit is not required.

**SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs Incurred by the District for Sources
Not in Compliance**

Whenever the District is requested or required to provide consultation, testing or inspection to any person or facility, beyond the consultation testing and inspection covered by the permit fees, or related to a Notice of Violation and/or Notice to Comply, the person or facility shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District for the cost of such services.

SCHEDULE 97: Other Charges

Whenever the District is requested or required to provide consultation, legally required testimony, testing, inspection, engineering or services, the cost of such services shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates. Persons requesting and/or receiving such services shall be charged the estimated cost of providing such services and shall deposit such amount to the District in advance of the service, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the District. In the case of consultations requested prior to filing an application, any funds deposited in excess of actual costs incurred for such consultations shall be refunded or applied as a credit against required application fees.

2. Proposed amended Rule 42 is to read as follows:

RULE 42. HEARING BOARD FEES (Adopted *(date of adoption)* & Effective January 1, 2022

(a) This rule shall not apply to petitions filed by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

(b) Every petitioner in a proceeding before the Hearing Board shall pay to the Clerk of the Hearing Board, on filing, a filing fee for each petition, including each petition for rehearing, in the amount shown below:

- (1) For each petition for Regular Variance.....\$1496
- (2) For each petition for an Interim & Regular Variance.....\$1824
- (3) For each petition for 90-Day Variance.....\$1574
- (4) For each petition for an Emergency Variance pursuant to the provisions of Rule 97 – Emergency Variance or Rule 98 – Breakdown Conditions-Emergency Variance.....\$1221
- (5) For each petition filed pursuant to Rule 25 – Appeals.....\$1930
- (6) For each petition to modify an existing variance or abatement order.....\$1110

(c) In the event that a petition is withdrawn or a hearing is not held for any reason, the petitioner shall be entitled to a refund of the filing fee, less the actual costs incurred.

(d) The Hearing Board may waive all or part of the fees specified in Subsection (b)(5) if it determines that circumstances warrant that waiver. Any request for such a waiver shall be submitted with the petition, which may be submitted without the required fees. If the waiver request is denied by the Hearing Board, the required fees shall be submitted by the end of business day following the hearing on the waiver request.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the proposed amendments to Rule 40 of Regulation III shall take effect on January 1, 2022.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the proposed amendments to Rule 42 of Regulation III shall take effect on January 1, 2022.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, State of California, this 9th day of December 2021, by the following votes:

Setting a meeting for January 19, 2022, at 9:00 a.m.; ON MOTION of Member Bush, seconded by Member Medina, the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board took action as recommended, on Consent.

AYES: Birkbeck-Garcia, Bush, Gomez, Martinez, Medina, Shu, Vargas

ABSENT: Fletcher, Elo-Rivera, Sanchez, Whitburn

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY
COUNTY COUNSEL

BY: Rodney Lorang, Senior Deputy

-- --

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
County of San Diego)^{SS}

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the Original Resolution entered in the Minutes of the Board of Supervisors.



MARVICE MAZYCK
Clerk of the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board

**Cost Recovery and Fee Analysis Consolidated
Report**

SAN DIEGO AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT,
CALIFORNIA

FINAL REPORT

April 2021



Introduction and Executive Summary

The Matrix Consulting Group was retained by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District to conduct a cost recovery and fee analysis of the District's existing fees for service, as well as work with the District to support the implementation of the fee recovery analysis. The following report is split into two sections – Cost Recovery Analysis and Cost Recovery Scenarios.

1 Project Background and Overview

The District conducts an annual review of its fees to ensure that all appropriate costs are reflected in the fees charged to permit and facility holders. This annual calculation currently incorporates Vehicle Registration surcharge revenues to offset some of the fee-related costs. In July 2020, the State of California conducted an audit of the District and identified that it was utilizing Vehicle Registration Surcharge revenue to offset fee or permit-related services. A resulting recommendation of the audit was to conduct a thorough evaluation of the District's fees charged to permit holders and facility owners to determine their fair share of cost associated with those activities.

The Matrix Consulting Group analyzed the cost of service relationships that exist between the District and its customers in relation to Initial Application Fees, Renewal Fees, Source Testing, Asbestos, Hearing Board, and Time and Material fees. The results of this cost recovery study provided the District with a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can be legally charged. In order for the District to achieve cost recovery there are several options that can be pursued. Therefore, the project team worked with District staff to develop a supplemental report outlining the different scenarios available for implementation and fiscal impacts associated with those scenarios for the Board.

The following consolidated report provides the results of the full cost analysis, as well as the options that the Board has as it relates to increasing fee-related cost recovery for the District. The first report, the Cost Recovery Analysis focuses solely on describing the full cost associated with each of the fee-related services provided by the District. The second report, the Cost Recovery and Fee Analysis Scenario provides an overview of the most feasible options available to the Board for implementation.

2 Project Methodology

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely accepted “bottom up” approach to cost analysis, where time spent per unit of fee activity is determined for each position within a Division or Program. Once time spent for a fee activity is determined, all applicable District costs are then considered in the calculation of the “full” cost of fee-related services provided by the District:

Table 1: Cost Components Overview

Cost Component	Description
Direct	Fiscal Year 2020/21 Budgeted salaries, benefits and allowable expenditures.
Indirect	Departmental, districtwide and countywide administration and clerical support.

Together the cost components in the table above comprise the calculation of the total “full” cost of providing the particular fee-related activity. For example, the full cost of an initial application review of each kettle or tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons, consists of a review of 0.10 hours (6 minutes) by the Sr. Eng and 6.20 hours (6 hours and 12 minutes) by the Associate / Assistant Engineer. The time estimates for each position are multiplied by their respective fully burdened hourly rates (\$291 Sr. Eng and \$266 for Associate / Assistant Engineer) to arrive at the full cost of \$1,680. This is the level of detail that was collected for every single fee included in this study.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the fees for service and scenarios involved the following steps:

- **Conducted Interviews with Staff:** The project team interviewed District staff across all programs and activities regarding the services that they provide, the level of service associated with the fees, and ensuring that time estimates are appropriate.
- **Collected Data:** Data was collected for each permit / service, including internal time tracking information and workload information associated with the different activities. In addition, budgeted costs and staffing levels for FY20/21 were entered into the Matrix Consulting Group’s analytical software model.
- **Calculated the Full Cost of Services:** Utilizing the data collected, fully burdened hourly rates were calculated and multiplied by the time estimates to determine the full cost associated with the fee-related services.
- **Reviewed Results with Staff:** The project team reviewed the results of the analysis with supervisory, and managerial staff to ensure that there was review and approval of these documented results.
- **Development of Implementation Scenarios:** Discussed options with district staff regarding the types of fee increase scenarios that are available, including no fee increases as well as significant fee increases to help achieve faster cost recovery at a more targeted pace.

A more detailed description of user fee methodology, legal regulations, and the scenarios are provided in the attached reports.

3 Legal Summary

In the State of California there are several rules and regulations that govern the setting of fees for service. The cost recovery study has a more detailed overview of the legal rules and regulations; however, this section provides information regarding the key legal highlights impacting the District's ability to set fees.

Per proposition 26 and 218, the District cannot set its fees higher than what it costs to provide the service; however, that cost of service can include both direct and indirect costs. In addition to these propositions, the California Health and Safety Code, also provides some insight into setting fees for service for California Air Districts. Specifically, as it relates to San Diego, the health and safety code allows the District to recover its costs through fees for service as well as other funding sources (grants, vehicle registration fees, etc.), increase fees for service to meet the cost of service, and apply annual increase factors.

The Health and Safety Code has a specific provision regarding the District, restricting its ability to increase fees annually. Individual permit fees associated with authority to construct and permit to operate can be increased by more than 15% individually, as long as the overall revenue for those fee categories does not increase by more than 15% annually. This was an important regulation that influenced many of the scenarios presented to the Board for implementation as part of the larger cost recovery study.

4 Summary of Reports

Based upon the full cost recovery analysis, the District is under-recovering its fee-related costs by approximately \$3.9 million. The following table outlines these results based upon major fee category assessed by the District:

Table 2: Annual Cost Recovery Analysis

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)	Cost Recovery %
Initial Application	\$441,825	\$684,032	(\$242,207)	65%
Renewal Fees	\$4,406,535	\$6,159,862	(\$1,753,327)	72%
Source Testing	\$817,137	\$1,781,741	(\$964,603)	46%
Asbestos Fees	\$454,601	\$654,125	(\$199,524)	69%
Hearing Board Fees	\$2,147	\$3,641	(\$1,494)	59%
Processing Fee	\$511,483	\$642,547	(\$131,064)	80%
Time & Material	\$1,240,638	\$1,921,565	(\$680,927)	65%
TOTAL	\$7,874,366	\$11,847,512	(\$3,973,146)	66%

The largest source of the District's current deficit is Renewal fees. Renewal Fees represent 44% of the District's current deficit, with the next largest impact associated with source testing fees. Currently, this deficit is primarily being recovered through Vehicle Registration fees, rather than through permit holders.

Eliminating a \$3.9 million deficit within a single fiscal year is extremely difficult. Therefore, the project team worked with District staff to develop fee-increase scenarios that the District board can review and adopt. The following table compares the potential cost recovery level, and the number of years it will take for the District to achieve full cost recovery based upon the different scenarios.

Table 3: Summary of Scenarios and Implications

#	Scenario	Fee Revenue Increase	Fee-Related Cost Recovery %	# of Years to Full Cost Recovery	Reliance on Vehicle Reg. Fee Funding
1	Status Quo	N / A	N / A	N / A	Yes
2	No Fee Increase	\$0	66%	N / A	Yes
3	15% Fee increase	\$1.2 million	76%	8	Yes
4	15% Standardized Increase	\$1.4 million	78%	5	Yes
5	15% Increase + Per Capita Fee	\$1.2 million	76%	8	No

As the table indicates, Scenarios 3-5 provide the District with a fee increase, and other than Scenario 5, all scenarios would still require the District to rely on Vehicle Registration Funding for fee-related revenues. It is important to note that while Scenario 5 will generate additional revenue for the District and allow the District to subsidize fees through the per capita fee, it does not result in increased fee revenue or increase fee-related cost recovery other than the 15% increases annually.

The majority of the options require the District to implement fee increases, whether it is an across the board 15% fee increase (Scenarios 3 and 5) or a targeted fee increase (Scenario 4). **Based upon the options evaluated, the project team recommends that the District consider implementing Scenario 4.** The following table shows by major fee category the proposed fee increase under Scenario 4 and the resulting cost recovery.

Table 4: Proposed Cost Recovery Impacts of Scenario 4 Fee Increases

Fee Category	FY 21-22 Fee Inc. %	FY 21-22 Cost Recovery %
Application Fixed	20%	78%
Renewal	10%	79%
Source Testing	15%	63%
Asbestos	25%	85%
Hearing Board	25%	74%
T&M	30%	84%
Processing Fee	15%	91%

As the table indicates this scenario immediately increases fee-related revenue, but provides a phased fee increase approach, allowing for a more targeted approach for fee increases by lower fee increases for renewal fees (majority of district permit holders) and higher fee increases for new applications and application modifications. As such, this approach combines advantages for both internal (District) and external (fee payers) stakeholders.

5 Cost Recovery Policy and Annual Fee Increases

Through this study, the project team recommends that the District develop a formalized cost recovery policy. The cost recovery policy should identify the District's targeted cost recovery level for fee-related services, as well as procedures associated with annual fee reviews and fee increases. The California Health and Safety Code allows the District to annually increase its fees based upon a California Consumer Price Index (CPI). The District should formalize this annual increase as part of its cost recovery policy to ensure that at a minimum the District maintains its existing cost recovery level as there are changes in the economy and the District's costs.

Cost Recovery and Fee Analysis

**SAN DIEGO AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT,
CALIFORNIA**

FINAL REPORT

April 2021

matrix 
consulting group

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction and Executive Summary	1
2.	Legal Framework	5
3.	Cost Recovery Study Methodology	7
4.	Results Overview	10
5.	Initial Application Fee	11
6.	Renewal Fees	21
7.	Source Testing	37
8.	Asbestos Fees	40
9.	Hearing Board Fees	43
10.	Processing Fees	45
11.	Time and Materials (Schedule 94)	47

1. Introduction and Executive Summary

The Matrix Consulting Group was retained by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District to conduct a cost recovery and fee analysis of the District’s existing fees for service. The following report summarizes the findings and conclusions associated with the District’s current cost recovery and full cost recovery.

1 Project Background and Overview

The District historically has had a directive to recover its fee-related costs through its fees for service. The District conducts an annual review of its fees to ensure that all appropriate costs are reflected. Traditionally, this annual calculation incorporates Vehicle Registration revenues to offset some of the fee-related costs. The primary offset of Vehicle Registration revenues is for indirect costs associated with the fees.

In July 2020, the Auditor of the State of California conducted an audit of the District and identified that it was utilizing Vehicle Registration revenue to offset fee or permit-related services. The result of the audit stated that the District should conduct a thorough evaluation of its fees charged to permit holders and facility owners to determine their fair share of cost associated with those activities.

The Matrix Consulting Group analyzed the cost of service relationships that exist between the District and its customers in relation to Initial Application Fees, Renewal Fees, Source Testing, Asbestos, Hearing Board, and Time and Material fees. The results of this study provide the District with a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can be legally charged.

2 Project Methodology

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely accepted “bottom up” approach to cost analysis, where time spent per unit of fee activity is determined for each position within a Division or Program. Once time spent for a fee activity is determined, all applicable District costs are then considered in the calculation of the “full” cost of fee-related services provided by the District:

Table 1: Cost Components Overview

Cost Component	Description
Direct	Fiscal Year 2020/21 Budgeted salaries, benefits and allowable expenditures.
Indirect	Departmental, districtwide and countywide administration and clerical support.

Together the cost components in the table above comprise the calculation of the total “full” cost of providing the particular fee-related activity. For example, the full cost of an initial application review of each kettle or tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons, consists of a review of 0.10 hours (6 minutes) by the Sr. Eng and 6.20 hours (6 hours and 12 minutes) by the Associate / Assistant Engineer. The time estimates for each position are multiplied by their respective fully burdened hourly rates (\$291 Sr. Eng and \$266 for Associate / Assistant Engineer) to arrive at the full cost of \$1,680. This is the level of detail that was collected for every single fee included in this study.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the fees for service involved the following steps:

- **Conducted Interviews with Staff:** The project team interviewed District staff across all programs and activities regarding the services that they provide, the level of service associated with the fees, and ensuring that time estimates are appropriate.
- **Collected Data:** Data was collected for each permit / service, including internal time tracking information and workload information associated with the different activities. In addition, budgeted costs and staffing levels for FY20/21 were entered into the Matrix Consulting Group’s analytical software model.
- **Calculated the Full Cost of Services:** Utilizing the data collected, fully burdened hourly rates were calculated and multiplied by the time estimates to determine the full cost associated with the fee-related services.
- **Reviewed Results with Staff:** The project team reviewed the results of the analysis with supervisory, and managerial staff to ensure that there was review and approval of these documented results.

A more detailed description of user fee methodology and legal regulations are provided in subsequent chapters of this report.

3 Summary of Findings and Recommendations

When comparing FY 20/21 fee-related expenditures with fee-related revenue based upon FY19/20 workload, the District is providing a subsidy of approximately \$3.9 million, recovering approximately 66% of annual fee-related costs. The following table outlines these results based upon major fee category assessed by the District:

Table 2: Annual Cost Recovery Analysis

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)	Cost Recovery %
Initial Application	\$441,825	\$684,032	(\$242,207)	65%
Renewal Fees	\$4,406,535	\$6,159,862	(\$1,753,327)	72%
Source Testing	\$817,137	\$1,781,741	(\$964,603)	46%
Asbestos Fees	\$454,601	\$654,125	(\$199,524)	69%
Hearing Board Fees	\$2,147	\$3,641	(\$1,494)	59%
Processing Fee	\$511,483	\$642,547	(\$131,064)	80%
Time & Material	\$1,240,638	\$1,921,565	(\$680,927)	65%
TOTAL	\$7,874,366	\$11,847,512	(\$3,973,146)	66%

The largest source of the District’s current deficit is Renewal fees. Renewal Fees represent 44% of the District’s current deficit, with the next largest impact associated with source testing fees. Currently, this deficit is primarily being recovered through Vehicle Registration fee surcharges, rather than through permit holders.

The display of the cost recovery figures shown in this report are meant to provide a basis for policy development discussions among Board members and District staff, and do not represent a recommendation for where or how the Board should act. The setting of the “rate” or “price” for services, whether at 100 percent full cost recovery or lower, is a policy decision to be made only by the Board, with input from District staff and the community.

4 Considerations for Cost Recovery Policy and Updates

The Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the District use the information contained in this report to discuss, adopt, and implement a formal Cost Recovery Policy, and a mechanism for the annual update of fees for service.

(1) Adopt a Formal Cost Recovery Policy

The Matrix Consulting Group strongly recommends that the Board adopt a formalized, individual cost recovery policy for each service area included in this Study. Whenever a cost recovery policy is established at less than 100% of the full cost of providing services, a known gap in funding is recognized and may then potentially be recovered through other revenue sources. The Matrix Consulting Group considers a formalized cost recovery policy for various fees for service an industry Best Management Practice.

(2) Adopt an Annual Fee Update / Increase Mechanism

The purpose of a comprehensive update is to completely revisit the analytical structure, service level estimates and assumptions applied in the previous study, and to account for any major shifts in cost components or organizational structures. The Matrix Consulting

Group believes it is a best management practice to perform a complete update of a Fee Assessment every 3 to 5 years.

In between comprehensive updates, the District should utilize published industry economic factors such as the California Consumer Price Index (CPI) as noted by the California Health and Safety Code Section 42311, which enables the District to update the cost calculations established in the Study on an annual basis. Utilizing an annual increase mechanism would ensure that the District receives appropriate fee and revenue increases that reflect growth in costs and minimize major cost increases from year to year.

2. Legal Framework

A “user fee” is a charge for service provided by a governmental agency to a public citizen or group. In California, several constitutional laws such as Propositions 13, 4, and 218, State Government Codes 66014 and 66016, and more recently Prop 26 and the Attorney General’s Opinion 92-506 set the parameters under which the user fees typically administered by local government are established and administered. Specifically, California State Law, Government Code 66014(a), stipulates that user fees charged by local agencies “...may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged”.

In addition to these propositions and legal government codes, the District’s fees are specifically subject to the California Health and Safety Code. The following graphic summarizes the key Health and Safety Codes and their fee and revenue related regulations:

Table 3: California Health and Safety Code Regulations

CA H&SC	Description
40701.5	Provides the District with the ability to fund its activities through a combination of Grants, Subventions, Permit Fees (scope of this analysis), penalties, and Vehicle Registration surcharges. If funding is incomplete, the District has ability to impose a per capita fee.
41512	Provides the District with the ability to set fees (after a public hearing) to recover the costs associated with evaluation, sampling, calculations, and report preparation for sources that have emissions as long as fees do not exceed the cost of providing those services.
41512.7(d)(2)	Provides language that enables the District to increase individual fees for service for permit to operate and authority to construct permits as long as the total revenue for those fee categories does not exceed more than 15% in a singular fiscal year.
42311	This section enables the District to establish fees for renewal, evaluation, and issuance of permits for stationary sources, nonvehicular sources emitting toxic air contaminants, and hearing board fees, as long as they do not exceed the cost of providing those services. Additionally, the District is able to increase these fees every year based upon the California CPI.

As the table demonstrates, there are several codes that are applicable to District fees. Ultimately, these codes reiterate the regulations from Proposition 26 and 218, in that the District is limited to the cost associated with providing these services as it is setting its fees. Therefore, it is critical to ensure that as the costs are being calculated for this analysis, they incorporate all costs (direct and indirect) associated with providing the fee-related services.

There is one special distinction in that there are certain fee categories that are associated with permit to operate and authority to construct permits that can have individual fee increases beyond 15% in a given year, but the total revenue collected from those fees cannot be more than a 15% increase from the prior year. This component while not critical in the calculation of fees, is an important consideration when setting fees.

3. Cost Recovery Study Methodology

The Matrix Consulting Group utilizes a cost allocation methodology commonly known and accepted as the “bottom-up” approach to establishing User Fees. The term means that several cost components are calculated for each fee or service. These components then build upon each other to comprise the total cost for providing the service. The following chart describes the components of a full cost calculation:



The general steps utilized by the project team to determine allocations of cost components to a particular fee or service are:

- Calculate fully burdened hourly rates by position, including direct & indirect costs;
- Develop time estimates for each service included in the study;
- Distribute the appropriate amount of the other cost components to each fee or service based on the staff time allocation basis, or another reasonable basis.

The results of these allocations provide detailed documentation for the reasonable estimate of the actual cost of providing each service. The following subsections discuss the fully burdened hourly rates calculated and the time estimates utilized.

1 Fully Burdened Hourly Rates

The fully burdened hourly rates are one of the two key factors of the full cost calculated. The fully burdened hourly rates calculated through this study are comprised of the following key components:

- **Direct Cost:** This consists of the salaries, benefits, and productive hours associated with each position. The salaries and benefits are the actual salaries and benefits budgeted for each position at the District. The productive hours are a calculation to reduce the billable hours from 2,080 (standard full-time hours) to the hours which are available to be billed for. This includes reduction for items such as sick leave, vacation, holidays, meetings, breaks, and trainings. Based upon

review of District staff labor agreements, the total productive hours calculated for the District are 1,618 hours. The 1,618 hours represents a billable percentage of 78%, which is within the range typically seen for local government at 72-82%.

- **Supplies and Services Overhead:** This overhead refers to the non-personnel budgeted items for each program or division that are necessary for the employees to be productive. This includes costs such as internal service charges for vehicles, technology costs, minor equipment, training expenses, and general office equipment. There is a unique overhead associated with each program, as each program has their own services and supplies costs. The costs for each program are divided by the total billable hours in each program to calculate the supplies and services overhead per hour.
- **Departmental Overhead:** This consists of the costs associated with all other activities associated with fee-related programs that are not considered billable. This includes the costs associated with managerial and clerical staff, as well as the non-billable time associated with fee-related staff. The goal of the program is to be recovered through fees, as such the costs should be considered as overhead to fees. The departmental overhead, similar to the supplies and services overhead is unique to each program, as there are different staffing allocations to each program and activity.
- **Districtwide Overhead:** This cost component reflects the costs associated with the Support Services, Rule Development, Public Information, and Administration (including allocation from the County for their support) of the District. These are all programs and activities that provide support to the District's fee and non-fee related programs. The costs associated with these programs are allocated to the different District programs based upon the FTE and budgeted expenditures associated with each program. The total overhead costs for each program is unique and divided by the total available hours for each program to calculate the districtwide overhead per hour for each staff position.

Together these cost components result in fully burdened hourly rates, which are reflective of the total cost to the District for each position. It is important to note that this rate is NOT meant to be reflective of actual pay to District staff, but rather reflects the cost associated with that employee, which includes salaries, benefits, supervisory support, services and supplies, and overall districtwide support. The fully burdened hourly rate is utilized in conjunction with time estimates to calculate the full cost of service.

2 Time Estimates

One of the key study assumptions utilized in the “bottom up” approach is the use of time estimates for the provision of each fee related service. Utilization of time estimates is a reasonable and defensible approach, especially since experienced staff members who understand service levels and processes unique to the District developed these estimates.

The project team worked closely with District staff in developing time estimates with the following criteria:

- Estimates are representative of average times for providing services. Estimates for extremely difficult or abnormally simple projects are not factored into this analysis.
- Estimates reflect the time associated with the position or positions that typically perform a service.
- Estimates provided by staff are reviewed and approved by the division / department, and often involve multiple iterations before a Study is finalized.
- Estimates are reviewed by the project team for “reasonableness” against their experience with other agencies.
- Estimates were not based on time in motion studies¹, as they are not practical for the scope of services and time frame for this project.

The Matrix Consulting Group agrees that while the use of time estimates is not perfect, it is the best alternative available for setting a standard level of service for which to base a jurisdiction’s fees for service and meets the requirements of California law.

The alternative to time estimating is actual time tracking, often referred to billing on a “time and materials” basis. The District utilizes this mechanism for many of its application fees, when there is a large variation between the level of review that is necessary to approve that facility. In order to ensure appropriate cost recovery for the District, “time and material (T&M)” fees are contingent upon accuracy in time tracking and the correct fully burdened hourly rate.

¹ Time in Motion studies refers to a type of process in which staff time is measured utilizing a stopwatch and each task is timed separately through the course of the project. This is typically unfeasible for development-related projects due to the timeline.

4. Results Overview

The motivation behind a cost of services (User Fee) analysis is for the District Board and Program staff to maintain services at a level that is both accepted and effective for the community, and also to maintain control over the policy and management of these services.

It should be noted that the results presented in this report are not a precise measurement. In general, a cost of service analysis takes a “snapshot in time”, where a fiscal year of adopted budgeted cost information is compared to the same fiscal year of revenue, and workload data available. Changes to the structure of fee names, along with the use of time estimates allow only for a reasonable projection of subsidies and revenue. Consequently, the Board and Program staff should rely conservatively upon these estimates to gauge the impact of implementation going forward.

Discussion of results in the following sections is intended as a summary of extensive and voluminous fee study documentation produced during the Study. Each chapter will include detailed cost calculation results for each major permit category including the following:

- **“Per Unit” Results:** comparison of the full cost of providing each unit of service to the current fee for each unit of service (where applicable).
- **Annualized Results:** utilizing volume of activity estimates annual subsidies and revenue impacts were projected.

The full analytical results were provided to District staff under separate cover from this summary report.

5. Initial Application Fee

The Initial Application fee charged by the District is to evaluate the specific type of equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted. This fee is only assessed when it is the initial utilization of this equipment, process, or operation, and does not typically impact existing facilities or permit holders, unless there is a change in their process, or a new piece of equipment is added. This service is provided by the Engineering Division within the District. The Engineering staff receives the permit application, reviews the requirements, conducts site visit(s) as necessary and processes the final permit in the system to inform Compliance staff for renewal purposes for the following year. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results for the initial application fees charged by the District.

1 Per Unit Results

The Initial Application fees are charged for all of the different unique equipment types and processes that are relevant for District businesses. Approximately half of the fees in this section of the fee schedule are currently flat fees, while the remaining fees are based upon time and material. The full cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by fee schedule, the name, the current fee, the full cost calculated through this study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each service.

Table 4: Initial Application Fees – Cost Per Unit Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths				
1	A Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$606	\$937	(\$331)
1	B Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$1,358	\$2,109	(\$751)
1	C Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$1,759	\$2,726	(\$967)
1	D Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	\$1,358	\$2,109	(\$751)
1	X Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$418	\$644	(\$226)
Schedule 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths				
2	A Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$3,627	\$5,617	(\$1,990)
2	B Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$4,191	\$6,496	(\$2,305)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt				
3 A	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	\$1,081	\$1,680	(\$599)
3 W	Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$281	\$431	(\$150)
Schedule 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant				
4 A	Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant		Time & Materials	
Schedule 5: Rock Drills				
5 W	Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12	\$473	\$726	(\$253)
Schedule 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules				
6 A	Each Screen Set	\$3,398	\$5,266	(\$1,868)
6 X	Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$486	\$751	(\$265)
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants				
7 A	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)		Time & Materials	
7 B	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)		Time & Materials	
7 C	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)		Time & Materials	
7 X	Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$486	\$751	(\$265)
Schedule 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems				
8 A	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)		Time & Materials	
8 B	Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity		Time & Materials	
8 C	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit		Time & Materials	
8 D	Expo Builders (1084A)*		Time & Materials	
8 X	Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$537	\$830	(\$293)
Schedule 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants				
9 A	Each Plant		Time & Materials	
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters				
13 A	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$2,347	\$3,637	(\$1,290)
13 B	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR		Time & Materials	
13 D	Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)		Time & Materials	
13 F	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	\$2,270	\$3,494	(\$1,224)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
13 G	Each 250 MM BTU/HR up to 1050 MM BTU/HR input or up to but not including 100 Megawatt gross output, whichever is greater, where a Notice of Intention has been filed with the California Energy Commission		Time & Materials	
13 H	Each 100 Megawatt gross output or greater where a Notice of Intention has been filed with the California Energy Commission		Time & Materials	
13 W	Each 2 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12	New	\$782	N / A
Schedule 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators				
14 A	Waste burning capacity up to and including 100 lbs/hr		Time & Materials	
14 B	Waste burning capacity greater than 100 lbs/hr		Time & Materials	
14 C	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals		Time & Materials	
Schedule 15: Burn-Out Ovens				
15 A	Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven		Time & Materials	
15 C	Each IC Engine Parts Refurbishing Unit		Time & Materials	
15 D	USN SIMA (4845C)		Time & Materials	
Schedule 18: Metal Melting Devices				
18 C	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible		Time & Materials	
18 D	Each Pot Furnace		Time & Materials	
Schedule 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths				
19 A	Each Tank		Time & Materials	
Schedule 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands				
20 A	Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand		Time & Materials	
20 B	Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located		Time & Materials	
20 C	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand		Time & Materials	
20 D	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input		Time & Materials	
20 E	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input		Time & Materials	
20 F	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input		Time & Materials	
20 G	Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation		Time & Materials	
20 H	Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation		Time & Materials	
Schedule 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units				
21 A	Each Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder		Time & Materials	
21 W	Paper shredders	New	\$753	N / A
Schedule 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants				
22 A	Each Receiving System (includes Silos)		Time & Materials	
22 B	Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill		Time & Materials	
22 C	Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill		Time & Materials	
22 D	Each Mixer System		Time & Materials	
22 E	Each Truck or Rail Loading System		Time & Materials	
22 F	CP Kelco: Shaker, Screen, Pelletizer, Cleaner, Hammermill (203A)		Time & Materials	

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment				
23 A	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)		Time & Materials	
23 B	Each Storage Silo System	\$1,472	\$2,276	(\$804)
23 C	Each Loadout Station System		Time & Materials	
23 D	Each Belt Transfer Station		Time & Materials	
23 W	Grain Silo	New	\$753	N / A
Schedule 24: Dry Chemical Mixing				
24 C	Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard		Time & Materials	
Schedule 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities				
1	Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor			
25 A	Per Tank		Time & Materials	
25 B	Tank Rim Seal Replacement		Time & Materials	
25 C	Per Truck Loading Head		Time & Materials	
25 D	Per Vapor Processor		Time & Materials	
25 G	NAVY REGION SW (ID#APCD1980-SITE-02754)*		Time & Materials	
2	Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor			
25 E	Per Tank		Time & Materials	
25 F	Per Truck Loading Head		Time & Materials	
3	Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:			
25 H	Per IR Loading Connector		Time & Materials	
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6				
26 A	VOCs Dispensing Facilities Equipped with Phase I & II controls (includes Phase I fee)	\$2,368	\$3,666	(\$1,298)
26 C	VOCs Dispensing Operation with Phase I only (Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	\$2,201	\$3,402	(\$1,201)
26 E	VOCs Dispensing Operation (Phase I and Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	\$685	\$1,051	(\$366)
26 F	VR Vacuum Assist, Bootless Systems		Time & Materials	
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))				
27 A	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting ≤ 10 tons/year of VOC from Marine Coating Operations	\$2,614	\$4,058	(\$1,444)
27 D	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$2,252	\$3,482	(\$1,230)
27 E	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule		Time & Materials	
27 F	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at facilities emitting ≤10 tons/year of VOC from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations	\$3,596	\$5,581	(\$1,985)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
27 I	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment		Time & Materials	
27 J	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$4,868	\$7,557	(\$2,689)
27 K	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule		Time & Materials	
27 L	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from Wood Products Coating Operations	\$3,343	\$5,184	(\$1,841)
27 M	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/ year of VOC from Wood Products Coating Operations		Time & Materials	
27 N	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	\$1,816	\$2,826	(\$1,010)
27 P	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon/day or < 50 gallons/year	\$2,252	\$3,482	(\$1,230)
27 Q	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons/year for Wood Products Coating Operations	\$3,343	\$5,184	(\$1,841)
27 R	Each facility applying < 5 gallons/day of Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$2,813	\$4,358	(\$1,545)
27 T	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and <100 gallons/year	\$1,177	\$1,821	(\$644)
27 U	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1,765	\$2,746	(\$981)
27 V	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1,765	\$2,746	(\$981)
27 W	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	\$1,765	\$2,746	(\$981)
27 Z	NASSCO (253A)		Time & Materials	
Schedule 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks				
28 A	Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet		Time & Materials	
28 B	Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	\$1,554	\$2,392	(\$838)
28 D	Each Paint Stripping Tank	\$1,964	\$3,046	(\$1,082)
28 F	Remote Reservoir Cleaners	\$689	\$1,053	(\$364)
28 H	Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area ≤ 5 square feet	\$599	\$918	(\$319)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
28 I	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	\$442	\$676	(\$234)
28 J	Metal Inspection Tanks	\$1,211	\$1,874	(\$663)
28 K	Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units		Time & Materials	
28 L	Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of ≤ 5 square feet		Time & Materials	
28 M	Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation		Time & Materials	
Schedule 29: Automated Soldering Equipment				
29 A	Each Solder Leveler	\$2,733	\$4,244	(\$1,511)
Schedule 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers				
30 A	Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer		Time & Materials	
Schedule 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities				
31 A	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	\$1,242	\$1,925	(\$683)
31 B	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents		Time & Materials	
Schedule 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing				
32 A	Each Copper Etching Tank		Time & Materials	
32 B	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank		Time & Materials	
32 C	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank		Time & Materials	
Schedule 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines				
34 A	Each Cogeneration Engine with in-stack Emission Controls		Time & Materials	
34 B	Each Cogeneration Engine with Engine Design Emission Controls		Time & Materials	
34 C	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$2,991	\$4,629	(\$1,638)
34 D	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation		Time & Materials	
34 E	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP		Time & Materials	
34 F	Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer		Time & Materials	
34 G	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation < 200 horsepower	\$2,450	\$3,796	(\$1,346)
34 H	Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$2,176	\$3,370	(\$1,194)
34 I	Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand		Time & Materials	
34 W	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	\$319	\$487	(\$168)
34 X	Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$524	\$806	(\$282)
34 Z	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12, Conversion from Valid Permit	\$349	\$538	(\$189)
Schedule 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems				
35 A	Each System		Time & Materials	
Schedule 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms				
36 A	Each Booth or Room	\$2,176	\$3,370	(\$1,194)
Schedule 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths				
37 A	Each Application Station		Time & Materials	
37 C	Flame Spray (507A)		Time & Materials	

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing				
38 A	Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year		Time & Materials	
38 B	Each Can Filling Line		Time & Materials	
38 C	Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing		Time & Materials	
38 D	Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year		Time & Materials	
38 F	Ferro Electronic Material Systems (8407A)*		Time & Materials	
Schedule 39: Precious Metals Refining				
39 A	Each Process Line		Time & Materials	
Schedule 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers				
40 X	Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	\$554	\$857	(\$303)
Schedule 41: Perlite Processing				
41 A	Each Process Line		Time & Materials	
41 B	Aztec Perlite (2700A)		Time & Materials	
Schedule 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing				
42 A	Each Process Line		Time & Materials	
42 B	Each Screen Printing Operation		Time & Materials	
42 C	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation		Time & Materials	
42 D	Each Conformal Coating Operation		Time & Materials	
Schedule 43: Ceramic Slip Casting				
43 A	Each Process Line		Time & Materials	
Schedule 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials				
44 A	Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds		Time & Materials	
44 B	Solvent Recovery Stills with a rated capacity equal to or greater than 7.5 gallons	\$1,998	\$3,099	(\$1,101)
Schedule 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing				
46 A	Each Process Line		Time & Materials	
Schedule 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers				
47 A	Each Organic Gas Sterilizer requiring control		Time & Materials	
47 B	Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator requiring control		Time & Materials	
Schedule 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing				
48 A	Municipal Waste Storage & Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation		Time & Materials	
48 C	Municipal Waste Storage & Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation		Time & Materials	
Schedule 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment				
49 A	Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$210	\$318	(\$108)
49 B	Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$188	\$293	(\$105)
Schedule 50: Coffee Roasters				
50 A	Each Coffee Roaster	\$2,679	\$4,148	(\$1,469)
Schedule 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment				
51 A	Each On-site Processing Line	\$2,275	\$3,528	(\$1,253)
51 C	USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID #4821B)		Time & Materials	

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation Equipment				
52 A	Air Stripping Equipment		Time & Materials	
52 B	Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)		Time & Materials	
Schedule 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing				
54 A	Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line		Time & Materials	
Schedule 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks				
55 A	Each Hard or Decorative Chrome plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System		Time & Materials	
55 B	Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls		Time & Materials	
Schedule 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities				
56 A	Each Sewage Treatment Facility		Time & Materials	
56 B	Each Wastewater Odor Treatment System that is not part of a Permitted Sewage Treatment Facility		Time & Materials	
Schedule 58: Bakeries				
58 A	Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24		Time & Materials	
Schedule 59: Asbestos Control Equipment				
59 C	Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	\$1,660	\$2,569	(\$909)
Schedule 91: Miscellaneous				
91	Miscellaneous Operations		Time & Materials	

As the table indicates, the District is under-recovering for all of the flat fees charged in the Initial Application Fee category. The largest deficit of \$2,689 per unit is associated with Schedule 27J for Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule. The smallest deficit is \$105 for Schedule 49B for Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment. On average the cost recovery for the Application Fees is approximately 65%.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. The following table shows by fee schedule (for those fee schedules that had workload), the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 5: Initial Application Fees – Annual Results

Fee Sched	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths					
1 X	Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	21	\$8,778	\$13,525	(\$4,747)

Fee Sched	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths					
2 A	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	3	\$10,881	\$16,852	(\$5,971)
2 B	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	2	\$8,382	\$12,992	(\$4,610)
Schedule 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt					
3 W	Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	7	\$1,967	\$3,017	(\$1,050)
Schedule 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules					
6 A	Each Screen Set	4	\$13,592	\$21,065	(\$7,473)
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants					
7 X	Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	2	\$972	\$1,501	(\$529)
Schedule 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems					
8 X	Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant, Registered Under Rule 12.1	3	\$1,611	\$2,491	(\$880)
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters					
13 A	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	2	\$4,694	\$7,273	(\$2,579)
Schedule 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment					
23 B	Each Storage Silo System	6	\$8,832	\$13,656	(\$4,824)
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6					
26 A	VOCs Dispensing Facilities Equipped with Phase I & II controls (includes Phase I fee)	1	\$2,368	\$3,666	(\$1,298)
26 C	VOCs Dispensing Operation with Phase I only (Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	7	\$15,407	\$23,813	(\$8,406)
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))					
27 A	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting ≤ 10 tons/year of VOC from Marine Coating Operations	1	\$2,614	\$4,058	(\$1,444)
27 D	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	3	\$6,756	\$10,445	(\$3,689)
27 F	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at facilities emitting ≤ 10 tons/year of VOC from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations	3	\$10,788	\$16,743	(\$5,955)
27 J	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	1	\$4,868	\$7,557	(\$2,689)

Fee Sched	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
27 N	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	1	\$1,816	\$2,826	(\$1,010)
27 R	Each facility applying < 5 gallons/day of Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	5	\$14,065	\$21,791	(\$7,726)
Schedule 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks					
28 I	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	1	\$442	\$676	(\$234)
Schedule 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines					
34 C	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	2	\$5,982	\$9,259	(\$3,277)
34 G	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation < 200 horsepower	8	\$19,600	\$30,372	(\$10,772)
34 H	Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	128	\$278,528	\$431,404	(\$152,876)
34 W	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	11	\$3,509	\$5,353	(\$1,844)
34 X	Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	20	\$10,480	\$16,125	(\$5,645)
Schedule 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers					
40 X	Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	1	\$554	\$857	(\$303)
Schedule 50: Coffee Roasters					
50 A	Each Coffee Roaster	1	\$2,679	\$4,148	(\$1,469)
Schedule 59: Asbestos Control Equipment					
59 C	Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	1	\$1,660	\$2,569	(\$909)
TOTAL			\$441,825	\$684,032	(\$242,207)

The annual deficit for the Application Fees Category is approximately \$242,000. The largest component of this deficit (\$153,000) is associated with Schedule 34H for Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee). There are 128 permits in that category and the per unit deficit is \$1,194, resulting in such a high annual deficit. Therefore, changing that fee even marginally will have a great impact on the overall revenue associated with the Initial Application Fee category.

The overall annual cost recovery for Application Fees is 65%, which matches the per unit cost recovery, indicating that the under-recovery for this fee category is fairly consistent.

6. Renewal Fees

The Renewal Fees charged by the District refer to the annual operating fees that are charged to the facilities to maintain a permit to operate. These fees are due annually on the date that the permit expires. The purpose of the renewal fee is to capture the level of effort associated with conducting compliance inspections annually. These inspections ensure that the permit holders are following all the conditions and requirements outlined on the initial permit issued for the different types of equipment that they have to operate. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results calculated through this study.

1 Per Unit Results

There is a corresponding renewal fee for every initial application fee, unless there are certain types of equipment that only have temporary authorization and as such would always require an initial evaluation. Unlike the initial application fees, the renewal fees are always fixed fee amounts for greater transparency and clarity to the applicant. The full cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by fee schedule, the name, the current fee, the full cost calculated through this study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each service.

Table 6: Renewal Fees – Cost Per Unit Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths				
1 A	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$198	\$247	(\$49)
1 B	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$170	\$210	(\$40)
1 C	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$160	\$197	(\$37)
1 D	Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	\$160	\$197	(\$37)
1 X	Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$234	\$296	(\$62)
Schedule 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms & Booths				
2 A	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$347	\$447	(\$100)
2 B	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$373	\$483	(\$110)
Schedule 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt				
3 A	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	\$221	\$279	(\$58)
3 W	Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$197	\$246	(\$49)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant				
4 A	Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	\$1,205	\$1,600	(\$395)
Schedule 5: Rock Drills				
5 W	Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12	\$256	\$326	(\$70)
Schedule 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules				
6 A	Each Screen Set	\$384	\$498	(\$114)
6 X	Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$254	\$324	(\$70)
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants				
7 A	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	\$652	\$857	(\$205)
7 B	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	\$316	\$407	(\$91)
7 C	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	\$312	\$400	(\$88)
7 X	Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$236	\$299	(\$63)
Schedule 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems				
8 A	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	\$647	\$850	(\$203)
8 B	Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	\$239	\$302	(\$63)
8 C	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	\$373	\$482	(\$109)
8 X	Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$271	\$353	(\$82)
Schedule 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants				
9 A	Each Plant	\$459	\$599	(\$140)
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters				
13 A	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$307	\$394	(\$87)
13 B	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	\$426	\$554	(\$128)
13 D	Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	\$879	\$1,163	(\$284)
13 F	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	\$267	\$340	(\$73)
13 W	Each 2 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12	New	\$231	N / A
Schedule 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators				
14 A	Crematory or Waste Incinerator burning	\$668	\$879	(\$211)
14 C	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	\$317	\$408	(\$91)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 15: Burn-Out Ovens				
15 A	Each Electric Motor / Armature Refurbishing Oven	\$316	\$406	(\$90)
15 D	USN SIMA (ID#APCD1981-SITE-02798)*Pursuant to Subsection ©(3)	\$194	\$242	(\$48)
Schedule 18: Metal Melting Devices				
18 C	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible / Pot Furnace	\$324	\$417	(\$93)
Schedule 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths				
19 A	Each Tank	\$191	\$238	(\$47)
Schedule 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands				
20 A	Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	\$312	\$400	(\$88)
20 B	Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	\$175	\$218	(\$43)
20 C	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	\$134	\$162	(\$28)
20 D	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$822	\$1,086	(\$264)
20 E	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$1,029	\$1,364	(\$335)
20 F	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	\$2,955	\$3,950	(\$995)
20 G	Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	\$295	\$378	(\$83)
20 H	Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	\$211	\$265	(\$54)
Schedule 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units				
21 A	Each Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	\$266	\$339	(\$73)
21 W	Paper shredders	New	\$336	N / A
Schedule 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants				
22 A	Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	\$379	\$490	(\$111)
22 B	Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	\$354	\$457	(\$103)
22 C	Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	\$375	\$486	(\$111)
22 D	Each Mixer System	\$790	\$1,043	(\$253)
22 E	Each Truck or Rail Loading System	\$396	\$513	(\$117)
Schedule 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment				
23 A	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	\$447	\$583	(\$136)
23 B	Each Storage Silo System	\$260	\$331	(\$71)
23 C	Each Loadout Station System	\$278	\$355	(\$77)
23 D	Each Belt Transfer Station	\$278	\$355	(\$77)
23 W	Grain Silo	New	\$344	N / A
Schedule 24: Dry Chemical Mixing				
24 C	Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard	\$205	\$257	(\$52)
Schedule 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities				
1	Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor			
25 A	Per Tank	\$222	\$280	(\$58)
25 C	Per Truck Loading Head	\$1,303	\$1,732	(\$429)
25 D	Per Vapor Processor	\$316	\$406	(\$90)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
2	Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor			
25 E	Per Tank	\$355	\$458	(\$103)
25 F	Per Truck Loading Head	\$321	\$413	(\$92)
3	Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:			
25 H	Per IR Loading Connector	\$374	\$484	(\$110)
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6				
26 A	VOCs Dispensing Facilities Equipped with Phase I & II controls (includes Phase I fee) - per nozzle	\$218	\$344	(\$126)
26 C	VOCs Dispensing Operation with Phase I only (Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	\$462	\$602	(\$140)
26 E	VOCs Dispensing Operation (Phase I and Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	\$406	\$527	(\$121)
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))				
1	Marine Coatings			
27 A	Each Marine Coating application operation, except where Fee Schedule 27(t) applies	\$635	\$834	(\$199)
27 T	Each Marine Coating application operation at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons / day and < 100 gallons per year	\$429	\$558	(\$129)
2	Industrial Material Applications and Manufacturing			
27 D	Each Surface Coating Application Station without control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon / day of surface coatings and emitting less than or equal to 5 tons / year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule.	\$709	\$934	(\$225)
27 E	Each Surface Coating Application Station without control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting greater than 5 tons / year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule.	\$874	\$1,156	(\$282)
27 F	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Except if Using Only Polyester Resin	\$782	\$1,032	(\$250)
27 I	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	\$1,267	\$1,683	(\$416)
27 J	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 without control equipment at facilities emitting less than or equal to 5 tons per year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$730	\$962	(\$232)
27 K	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 without control equipment at facilities emitting greater than 5 tons per year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$752	\$991	(\$239)
27 L	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons per year of wood products coatings	\$694	\$914	(\$220)
27 N	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts Facility subject to Rule 67.16	\$412	\$535	(\$123)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
27 O	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	\$535	\$700	(\$165)
27 P	Each Surface Coating Application Station without control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon per day or < 50 gallons per year	\$469	\$612	(\$143)
27 Q	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper without control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons per year for Wood Product Coating Operations	\$592	\$777	(\$185)
3	Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations			
27 R	Each Facility applying Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$854	\$1,129	(\$275)
4	Adhesive Materials Application Operations			
27 U	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station without control equipment at facilities emitting less than or equal to 5 tons per year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule.	\$507	\$558	(\$129)
27 V	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station without control equipment at facilities emitting greater than 5 tons per year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule.	\$935	\$663	(\$156)
27 W	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station without control equipment where adhesive material usage is < 55 gallons per year	\$556	\$1,238	(\$303)
Schedule 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks				
28 A	Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial Area > 5 sq. ft.	\$354	\$457	(\$103)
28 B	Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 sq. ft.	\$269	\$344	(\$75)
28 D	Each Paint Stripping Tank	\$266	\$340	(\$74)
28 F	Remote Reservoir Cleaners	\$255	\$324	(\$69)
28 H	Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial Area less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.	\$317	\$407	(\$90)
28 I	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.	\$238	\$302	(\$64)
28 J	Metal Inspection Tanks	\$222	\$280	(\$58)
28 K	Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	\$29	\$41	(\$12)
28 L	Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.	\$12	\$23	(\$11)
28 M	Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	\$637	\$838	(\$201)
Schedule 29: Automated Soldering Equipment				
29 A	Solder Leveler	\$368	\$475	(\$107)
Schedule 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers				
30 A	Kelp & Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	\$1,191	\$1,581	(\$390)
Schedule 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities				
31 A	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	\$628	\$825	(\$197)
31 B	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	\$386	\$501	(\$115)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing				
32 A	Each Copper Etching Tank	\$505	\$660	(\$155)
32 B	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	\$434	\$565	(\$131)
32 C	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	\$511	\$668	(\$157)
Schedule 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines				
34 A	Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine with Add-on Control Equipment	\$795	\$1,050	(\$255)
34 B	Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine without Add-on Control Equipment	\$483	\$630	(\$147)
34 C	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of permittee)	\$329	\$424	(\$95)
34 D	Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation greater than or equal to 200 horsepower	\$518	\$678	(\$160)
34 E	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	\$478	\$623	(\$145)
34 F	Diesel Pile Driving Hammer	\$160	\$197	(\$37)
34 G	Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation less than 200 horsepower	\$322	\$415	(\$93)
34 H	California Certified Emergency Standby Engine	\$284	\$364	(\$80)
34 I	Each Internal Combustion Engine, Test Cell and Test Stand	\$312	\$400	(\$88)
34 L	Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	\$419	\$545	(\$126)
34 W	Engines Eligible under Rule 12	\$270	\$344	(\$74)
34 X	Portable Engines eligible in Rule 12	\$258	\$328	(\$70)
Schedule 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems				
35 A	Each System	\$259	\$330	(\$71)
Schedule 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms				
36 A	Each Booth or Room	\$334	\$430	(\$96)
Schedule 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths				
37 A	Each Application Station	\$422	\$549	(\$127)
37 C	Flame Spray (ID#APCD1976-SITE-00274) - pursuant to Subsection ©(3)	\$312	\$400	(\$88)
Schedule 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing				
38 A	Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	\$253	\$321	(\$68)
38 B	Each Can Filling Line	\$269	\$343	(\$74)
38 C	Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	\$539	\$706	(\$167)
38 D	Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year	\$1,051	\$1,393	(\$342)
38 F	Ferro Electronic Material Systems (8407A)*	\$636	\$836	(\$200)
Schedule 39: Precious Metals Refining				
39 A	Each Process Line	\$589	\$772	(\$183)
Schedule 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers				
40 X	Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	\$275	\$351	(\$76)
Schedule 41: Perlite Processing				
41 A	Each Process Line	\$362	\$468	(\$106)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
41 B	Aztec Perlite (ID#APCD1978-SITE-01598) Pursuant to Subsection ©(3)	\$816	\$1,077	(\$261)
Schedule 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing				
42 A	Each Process Line	\$549	\$720	(\$171)
42 B	Each Screen Printing Operation	\$454	\$592	(\$138)
42 C	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	\$545	\$714	(\$169)
42 D	Each Conformal Coating Operation	\$693	\$913	(\$220)
Schedule 43: Ceramic Slip Casting				
43 A	Each Process Line	\$556	\$728	(\$172)
Schedule 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials				
44 A	Evaporators and Dryers	\$324	\$417	(\$93)
44 B	Solvent Recovery Stills, on-site, batch-type, solvent usage > 350 gallons per day	\$330	\$425	(\$95)
Schedule 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing				
46 A	Each Process Line	\$519	\$678	(\$159)
Schedule 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers				
47 A	Each Organic Gas Sterilizer / Aerator requiring control	\$546	\$715	(\$169)
Schedule 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing				
48 A	Municipal Waste Storage & Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	\$2,134	\$2,848	(\$714)
48 C	Municipal Waste Storage & Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	\$5,286	\$7,081	(\$1,795)
Schedule 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment				
49 A	Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$272	\$347	(\$75)
Schedule 50: Coffee Roasters				
50 A	Each Coffee Roaster	\$359	\$464	(\$105)
Schedule 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment				
51 A	Each On-site Processing Line	\$408	\$530	(\$122)
51 C	USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID#APCD1986-SITE-02755)*Pursuant to subsection ©(3)	\$1,084	\$1,438	(\$354)
Schedule 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation Equipment				
52 A	Air Stripping Equipment	\$538	\$705	(\$167)
52 B	Soil Remediation Equipment - On-Site (In situ only)	\$626	\$822	(\$196)
Schedule 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing				
54 A	Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	\$723	\$953	(\$230)
Schedule 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks				
55 A	Each Hard or Decorative Chrome Plating and / or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks served by an emission control system	\$1,891	\$2,521	(\$630)
55 B	Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	\$1,025	\$1,358	(\$333)
55 D	Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	\$320	\$412	(\$92)
Schedule 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities				
56 A	Each Wastewater Treatment Facility, or Each Water Reclamation Facility	\$1,017	\$1,348	(\$331)
56 B	Each Wastewater Pump Station	\$547	\$717	(\$170)
Schedule 58: Bakeries				
58 A	Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	\$608	\$799	(\$191)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Schedule 59: Asbestos Control Equipment				
59 C	Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	\$305	\$391	(\$86)
Schedule 91: Miscellaneous - Hourly Rates				
91 A	Miscellaneous	\$438	\$569	(\$131)

As the table indicates, the District is under-recovering for all of the renewal fees charged. The largest deficit of \$1,795 per unit is associated with Schedule 48C for Municipal Waste Storage and Processing, which is subject to the ARB Methane Emission regulation. The smallest deficit is \$11 for Schedule 28L for Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.. On average the cost recovery for the Renewal Fees is approximately 77%.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. The following table shows by fee schedule (for those fee schedules that had workload), the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 7: Renewal Fees – Annual Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths					
1 A	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	15	\$2,970	\$3,710	(\$740)
1 B	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	20	\$3,400	\$4,200	(\$800)
1 C	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	3	\$480	\$592	(\$112)
1 D	Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	4	\$640	\$789	(\$149)
1 X	Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	97	\$22,698	\$28,743	(\$6,045)
Schedule 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms & Booths					
2 A	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	46	\$15,962	\$20,574	(\$4,612)
2 B	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	50	\$18,650	\$24,142	(\$5,492)
Schedule 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt					
3 A	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	15	\$3,315	\$4,187	(\$872)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
3 W	Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	73	\$14,381	\$17,968	(\$3,587)
Schedule 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant					
4 A	Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	8	\$9,640	\$12,800	(\$3,160)
Schedule 5: Rock Drills					
5 W	Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12	6	\$1,536	\$1,957	(\$421)
Schedule 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules					
6 A	Each Screen Set	29	\$11,136	\$14,440	(\$3,304)
6 X	Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	7	\$1,778	\$2,265	(\$487)
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants					
7 A	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	44	\$28,688	\$37,722	(\$9,034)
7 B	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	33	\$10,428	\$13,427	(\$2,999)
7 C	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	7	\$2,184	\$2,802	(\$618)
7 X	Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	9	\$2,124	\$2,689	(\$565)
Schedule 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems					
8 A	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	36	\$23,292	\$30,617	(\$7,325)
8 B	Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	2	\$478	\$605	(\$127)
8 C	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	8	\$2,984	\$3,858	(\$874)
8 X	Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant, Registered Under Rule 12.1	3	\$813	\$1,059	(\$246)
Schedule 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants					
9 A	Each Plant	8	\$3,672	\$4,790	(\$1,118)
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters					
13 A	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	192	\$58,944	\$75,622	(\$16,678)
13 B	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	5	\$2,130	\$2,770	(\$640)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
13 F	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	6	\$1,602	\$2,041	(\$439)
Schedule 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators					
14 A	Crematory or Waste Incinerator burning	16	\$10,688	\$14,063	(\$3,375)
14 C	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	4	\$1,268	\$1,631	(\$363)
Schedule 15: Burn-Out Ovens					
15 A	Each Electric Motor / Armature Refurbishing Oven	9	\$2,844	\$3,653	(\$809)
15 D	USN SIMA (ID#APCD1981-SITE-02798)*Pursuant to Subsection ©(3)	2	\$388	\$485	(\$97)
Schedule 18: Metal Melting Devices					
18 C	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible / Pot Furnace	22	\$7,128	\$9,164	(\$2,036)
Schedule 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths					
19 A	Each Tank	5	\$955	\$1,189	(\$234)
Schedule 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands					
20 A	Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	1	\$312	\$400	(\$88)
20 B	Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	14	\$2,450	\$3,045	(\$595)
20 C	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	64	\$8,576	\$10,355	(\$1,779)
20 D	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	12	\$9,864	\$13,033	(\$3,169)
20 E	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	8	\$8,232	\$10,909	(\$2,677)
20 F	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	17	\$50,235	\$67,157	(\$16,922)
20 H	Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	5	\$1,055	\$1,324	(\$269)
Schedule 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units					
21 A	Each Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	20	\$5,320	\$6,787	(\$1,467)
Schedule 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants					
22 A	Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	6	\$2,274	\$2,943	(\$669)
22 B	Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	8	\$2,832	\$3,653	(\$821)
22 C	Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	31	\$11,625	\$15,058	(\$3,433)
22 D	Each Mixer System	19	\$15,010	\$19,821	(\$4,811)
22 E	Each Truck or Rail Loading System	2	\$792	\$1,026	(\$234)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment					
23 A	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	5	\$2,235	\$2,913	(\$678)
23 B	Each Storage Silo System	50	\$13,000	\$16,559	(\$3,559)
23 C	Each Loadout Station System	2	\$556	\$710	(\$154)
23 D	Each Belt Transfer Station	8	\$2,224	\$2,841	(\$617)
Schedule 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities					
1	Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor				
25 A	Per Tank	41	\$9,102	\$11,469	(\$2,367)
25 C	Per Truck Loading Head	90	\$117,270	\$155,889	(\$38,619)
25 D	Per Vapor Processor	3	\$948	\$1,218	(\$270)
2	Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor				
25 E	Per Tank	12	\$4,260	\$5,497	(\$1,237)
25 F	Per Truck Loading Head	12	\$3,852	\$4,953	(\$1,101)
3	Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:				
25 H	Per IR Loading Connector	22	\$8,228	\$10,646	(\$2,418)
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6					
26 A	VOCs Dispensing Facilities Equipped with Phase I & II controls (includes Phase I fee) - per nozzle	7,096	\$1,546,928	\$2,442,851	(\$895,923)
26 C	VOCs Dispensing Operation with Phase I only (Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	150	\$69,300	\$90,343	(\$21,043)
26 E	VOCs Dispensing Operation (Phase I and Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	88	\$35,728	\$46,359	(\$10,631)
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))					
27 A	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting ≤ 10 tons/year of VOC from Marine Coating Operations	89	\$56,515	\$74,258	(\$17,743)
27 D	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	40	\$28,360	\$37,345	(\$8,985)
27 E	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	2	\$1,748	\$2,312	(\$564)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
27 F	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at facilities emitting ≤10 tons/year of VOC from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations	26	\$20,332	\$26,831	(\$6,499)
27 I	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	9	\$11,403	\$15,146	(\$3,743)
27 J	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	99	\$72,270	\$95,269	(\$22,999)
27 K	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	88	\$66,176	\$87,236	(\$21,060)
27 L	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from Wood Products Coating Operations	44	\$30,536	\$40,219	(\$9,683)
27 N	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	43	\$17,716	\$23,024	(\$5,308)
27 O	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	17	\$9,095	\$11,905	(\$2,810)
27 P	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	9	\$4,221	\$5,511	(\$1,290)
27 Q	Each Surface Coating Application Station without control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon per day or < 50 gallons per year	43	\$25,456	\$33,414	(\$7,958)
27 R	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper without control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons per year for Wood Product Coating Operations	302	\$257,908	\$340,902	(\$82,994)
27 T	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and <100 gallons/year	3	\$1,287	\$1,675	(\$388)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
27 U	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	52	\$26,364	\$34,453	(\$8,089)
27 V	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	8	\$7,480	\$9,905	(\$2,425)
27 W	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	8	\$4,448	\$5,830	(\$1,382)
Schedule 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks					
28 A	Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial Area > 5 sq. ft.	5	\$1,770	\$2,283	(\$513)
28 B	Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 sq. ft.	22	\$5,918	\$7,558	(\$1,640)
28 D	Each Paint Stripping Tank	6	\$1,596	\$2,038	(\$442)
28 F	Remote Reservoir Cleaners	48	\$12,240	\$15,568	(\$3,328)
28 H	Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial Area less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.	21	\$6,657	\$8,551	(\$1,894)
28 I	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.	26	\$6,188	\$7,840	(\$1,652)
28 J	Metal Inspection Tanks	1	\$222	\$280	(\$58)
28 K	Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	22	\$638	\$893	(\$255)
28 L	Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of less than or equal to 5 sq. ft.	17	\$204	\$384	(\$180)
28 M	Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	4	\$2,548	\$3,352	(\$804)
Schedule 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers					
30 A	Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	10	\$11,910	\$15,809	(\$3,899)
Schedule 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities					
31 A	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	2	\$1,256	\$1,650	(\$394)
31 B	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	149	\$57,514	\$74,624	(\$17,110)
Schedule 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing					
32 A	Each Copper Etching Tank	5	\$2,525	\$3,298	(\$773)
32 B	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	5	\$2,170	\$2,826	(\$656)
32 C	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	2	\$1,022	\$1,336	(\$314)
Schedule 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines					
34 A	Each Cogeneration Engine with in-stack Emission Controls	14	\$11,130	\$14,697	(\$3,567)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
34 B	Each Cogeneration Engine with Engine Design Emission Controls	10	\$4,830	\$6,301	(\$1,471)
34 C	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	526	\$173,054	\$223,239	(\$50,185)
34 D	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation	98	\$50,764	\$66,463	(\$15,699)
34 E	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	13	\$6,214	\$8,102	(\$1,888)
34 G	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation < 200 horsepower	75	\$24,150	\$31,106	(\$6,956)
34 H	Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	1,695	\$481,380	\$616,201	(\$134,821)
34 I	Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand	8	\$2,496	\$3,202	(\$706)
34 L	Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	17	\$7,123	\$9,259	(\$2,136)
34 W	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	921	\$248,670	\$317,274	(\$68,604)
34 X	Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	118	\$30,444	\$38,683	(\$8,239)
Schedule 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems					
35 A	Each System	8	\$2,072	\$2,640	(\$568)
Schedule 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms					
36 A	Each Booth or Room	50	\$16,700	\$21,520	(\$4,820)
Schedule 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths					
37 A	Each Application Station	25	\$10,550	\$13,719	(\$3,169)
37 C	Flame Spray (ID#APCD1976-SITE-00274)* Pursuant to Subsection ©(3)	8	\$2,496	\$3,202	(\$706)
Schedule 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing					
38 A	Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	8	\$2,024	\$2,570	(\$546)
38 B	Each Can Filling Line	8	\$2,152	\$2,741	(\$589)
38 C	Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	2	\$1,078	\$1,412	(\$334)
Schedule 39: Precious Metals Refining					
39 A	Each Process Line	1	\$589	\$772	(\$183)
Schedule 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers					
40 X	Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	19	\$5,225	\$6,676	(\$1,451)
Schedule 41: Perlite Processing					
41 A	Each Process Line	2	\$724	\$936	(\$212)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
41 B	Aztec Perlite (ID#APCD1978-SITE-01598) Pursuant to Subsection ©(3)	1	\$816	\$1,077	(\$261)
Schedule 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing					
42 A	Each Process Line	4	\$2,196	\$2,879	(\$683)
42 B	Each Screen Printing Operation	7	\$3,178	\$4,144	(\$966)
42 C	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	2	\$1,090	\$1,427	(\$337)
42 D	Each Conformal Coating Operation	2	\$1,386	\$1,825	(\$439)
Schedule 43: Ceramic Slip Casting					
43 A	Each Process Line	7	\$3,892	\$5,097	(\$1,205)
Schedule 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials					
44 A	Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	7	\$2,268	\$2,917	(\$649)
44 B	Solvent Recovery Stills with a rated capacity equal to or greater than 7.5 gallons	5	\$1,650	\$2,127	(\$477)
Schedule 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing					
46 A	Each Process Line	10	\$5,190	\$6,785	(\$1,595)
Schedule 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers					
47 A	Each Organic Gas Sterilizer / Aerator requiring control	10	\$5,460	\$7,149	(\$1,689)
Schedule 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing					
48 A	Municipal Waste Storage & Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	9	\$19,206	\$25,630	(\$6,424)
48 C	Municipal Waste Storage & Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	21	\$111,006	\$148,703	(\$37,697)
Schedule 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment					
49 A	Non-Operational Status Equipment	146	\$39,712	\$50,609	(\$10,897)
Schedule 50: Coffee Roasters					
50 A	Each Coffee Roaster	26	\$9,334	\$12,052	(\$2,718)
Schedule 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment					
51 A	Each On-site Processing Line	3	\$1,224	\$1,589	(\$365)
51 C	USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID#APCD1986-SITE-02755)*Pursuant to subsection ©(3)	2	\$2,168	\$2,876	(\$708)
Schedule 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation Equipment					
52 A	Air Stripping Equipment	1	\$538	\$705	(\$167)
52 B	Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)	28	\$17,528	\$23,022	(\$5,494)
Schedule 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing					
54 A	Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	16	\$11,568	\$15,253	(\$3,685)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks					
55 A	Each Hard or Decorative Chrome plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System	1	\$1,891	\$2,521	(\$630)
55 B	Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	3	\$3,075	\$4,074	(\$999)
55 D	Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	19	\$6,080	\$7,819	(\$1,739)
Schedule 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities					
56 A	Each Sewage Treatment Facility	18	\$18,306	\$24,268	(\$5,962)
56 B	Each Wastewater Odor Treatment System that is not part of a Permitted Sewage Treatment Facility	59	\$32,273	\$42,295	(\$10,022)
Schedule 58: Bakeries					
58 A	Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	3	\$1,824	\$2,396	(\$572)
Schedule 59: Asbestos Control Equipment					
59 C	Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	14	\$4,270	\$5,477	(\$1,207)
Schedule 91: Miscellaneous					
91 A	Miscellaneous Operations	138	\$60,444	\$78,585	(\$18,141)
TOTAL			\$4,406,535	\$6,159,862	(\$1,753,327)

The renewal fees show an annual under-recovery of approximately \$1.7 million, which represents a cost recovery level of 72%. Approximately \$896,000 of the \$1.7 million is associated with Schedule 26A – Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Dispensing facilities, followed by \$135,000 associated with Schedule 34H for certified standby engine. These fee schedules have such large deficits due to their high volume of activity. The annual cost recovery of 72% is slightly lower than the average per unit cost recovery of 77%, as it indicates that the bulk of the District’s workload is in those line items, which have a lower per unit cost recovery. The renewal fees are the largest source of fee-related revenue for the District, and as such has the greatest impact on the District’s overall cost recovery.

7. Source Testing

The Source Testing Fee is an annual, bi-annual, or triennial fee charged by the District for specific facilities and permit holders that require their emission sources to be tested. The Source Testing division of the District is responsible for conducting these source tests, as well as reviewing any source tests conducted by external consultants. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results calculated through this study associated with source testing.

1 Per Unit Results

The full cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by fee schedule, the name, the current fee, the full cost calculated through this study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each Source Testing service.

Table 8: Source Testing Fees – Cost Per Unit Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 92: Source Testing Performed by the District				
92 C	Each Sulfur Oxides Source Test		Time & Materials	
92 D	Annual Fee for each Biennial Cycle Test for NOx and CO (1/2 the cost of one test)	\$1,166	\$2,337	(\$1,171)
92 E	Each Ethylene Oxide Source Test		Time & Materials	
92 F	Each Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$2,333	\$4,674	(\$2,341)
92 G	Each Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$2,690	\$4,910	(\$2,221)
92 H	Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of > 100 lbs Per Hour		Time & Materials	
92 I	Each Ammonia Source Test	\$1,114	\$3,589	(\$2,475)
92 J	Continuous Emission Monitor System Evaluation		Time & Materials	
92 K	Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of < 100 lbs Per Hour		Time & Materials	
92 M	Each Mass Emissions Source Test	\$1,100	\$2,640	(\$1,540)
92 O	Each Multiple Metals Source Test		Time & Materials	
92 P	Each Chromium Source Test		Time & Materials	
92 Q	Each VOC Onsite Analysis	\$5,129	\$11,767	(\$6,638)
92 R	Each VOC Offsite Analysis	\$1,202	\$2,757	(\$1,555)
92 S	Each Hydrogen Sulfide Source Test		Time & Materials	
92 T	Each Acid Gas Source Test		Time & Materials	
92 V	Annual Fee for Optional Source Test Pilot Study		Time & Materials	
92 W	Particulate Matter Source Test	\$3,297	\$7,758	(\$4,462)
92 X	Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test	\$7,355	\$18,418	(\$11,063)
92 Y	Particulate Matter and Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen Source Test	\$5,260	\$14,108	(\$8,848)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit)
92 Z	Miscellaneous Source Test (Special Tests not Listed)		Time & Materials	
Schedule 93: Witness of Source Tests Performed by Independent Contractors				
93 A	Test Witness and Report Review		Time & Materials	
93 C	Test Procedure Review		Time & Materials	
93 D	Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness	\$2,392	\$3,396	(\$1,004)
93 E	Each Ethylene Oxide Test Witness Day	\$1,976	\$3,411	(\$1,436)

Similar to the other three areas of the fee schedule, the District is under-recovering for all source test related services. However, this category has the largest per unit deficits. This under-recovery ranges from a low of \$1,004 for Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness (93D) to a high of \$11,063 for Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test (92x). Many of these source tests require 2 staff positions to conduct the test and can require several hours of preparation and testing and multiple site visits to collect the correct information. It is important to note that the District has historically kept source testing fees low to encourage compliance with testing requirements. This is one of the reasons for the large per unit deficits for this category. On average source testing is recovering about 47% of its costs.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. The following table shows by fee schedule (for those fee schedules that had workload), the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 9: Source Testing Fees – Annual Results

Fee Sched	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Schedule 92: Source Testing Performed by the District					
92 D	Annual Fee for each Biennial Cycle Test for NOx and CO (1/2 the cost of one test)	10	\$11,663	\$23,368	(\$11,705)
92 F	Each Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	195	\$455,607	\$912,882	(\$457,274)
92 G	Each Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	5	\$13,448	\$24,551	(\$11,103)
92 I	Each Ammonia Source Test	27	\$30,075	\$96,912	(\$66,836)
92 M	Each Mass Emissions Source Test	34	\$37,386	\$89,761	(\$52,374)
92 Q	Each VOC Onsite Analysis	17	\$86,218	\$197,803	(\$111,584)
92 R	Each VOC Offsite Analysis	58	\$69,716	\$159,923	(\$90,207)
92 W	Particulate Matter Source Test	6	\$19,779	\$46,551	(\$26,772)
92 X	Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test	7	\$51,482	\$128,925	(\$77,444)

Fee Sched	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
92 Y	Particulate Matter and Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen Source Test	6	\$32,612	\$87,467	(\$54,855)
Schedule 93: Witness of Source Tests Performed by Independent Contractors					
93 D	Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness	3	\$7,176	\$10,189	(\$3,013)
93 E	Each Ethylene Oxide Test Witness Day	1	\$1,976	\$3,411	(\$1,436)
TOTAL			\$817,137	\$1,781,741	(\$964,603)

The annual deficit associated with source testing is approximately \$964,000 and represents a cost recovery level of 46%. The largest source of the deficit at \$457,000 is associated with schedule 92F or the carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxides source test. The per unit deficit for that category is \$2,341 and combined by the sheer volume of activity, it results in a significant deficit. The next largest deficit for this category at \$111,500 is 92Q, which has a per unit deficit of \$6,638. The large per unit deficits in this category contribute to the significant dollar under-recovery for these fees.

8. Asbestos Fees

The Asbestos fees charged by the District are in relation to whenever any renovation or demolition project involves asbestos and has an impact on the air quality. Along with inspections and review of the project, the fees also cover notices being mailed or provided to nearby residents. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results calculated through this study as it relates to inspecting for asbestos.

1 Per Unit Results

The full cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by fee schedule, the name, the current fee, the full cost calculated through this study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each service.

Table 10: Asbestos Fees – Cost Per Unit Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
1	Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings have four or fewer dwelling units): (Notification)			
	Less than 100 sq. ft.	\$533	\$835	(\$302)
	100-500 sq. ft.	\$533	\$862	(\$329)
	501-2,000 sq. ft.	\$593	\$927	(\$334)
	2,001-5,000 sq. ft.	\$670	\$1,044	(\$374)
	5,001-10,000 sq. ft.	\$680	\$1,081	(\$401)
	10,000+ sq. ft.	\$806	\$1,103	(\$297)
1	Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings have four or fewer dwelling units): (Online Notification)			
	Less than 100 sq. ft.	\$390	\$605	(\$215)
	100-500 sq. ft.	\$390	\$632	(\$242)
	501-2,000 sq. ft.	\$450	\$697	(\$247)
	2,001-5,000 sq. ft.	\$528	\$814	(\$286)
	5,001-10,000 sq. ft.	\$538	\$851	(\$313)
	10,000+ sq. ft.	\$664	\$873	(\$209)
2	Planned (Annual) Renovation Operations (added to appropriate renovation operations fees)	\$119	\$124	(\$5)
3	Emergency Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$119	\$124	(\$5)
4	Demolition Operations: Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present (notification):			
	Including RACM Removal	\$660	\$953	(\$293)
	No RACM Removal	\$660	\$886	(\$226)

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
4	Demolition Operations: Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present (Online Notification):			
	Including RACM Removal	\$517	\$743	(\$226)
	No RACM Removal	\$517	\$676	(\$159)
5	Emergency Demolition Operations (add to demolition operations fees listed above)	\$119	\$124	(\$5)
6	Revised Notification Fee for Renovations, Demolitions, Planned Renovations, and Emergency Operations	\$46	\$99	(\$53)
7	Cancellation Fee for Renovations or Demolition Operations	\$60	\$198	(\$138)

As the table indicates, the District is under-recovering for all asbestos-related fee categories. The smallest deficit of \$5 is associated with planned renovation operations, emergency renovations or emergency demolitions. The largest deficit of \$401 is associated with 5,001-10,000 sq. ft. renovation operations with no online notification. The average cost recovery for asbestos fees is 69%.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. The following table shows by fee schedule (for those fee schedules that had workload), the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 11: Asbestos Fees – Annual Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
1	Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings have four or fewer dwelling units): (Notification)				
	100-500 sq. ft.	29	\$15,457	\$24,991	(\$9,534)
	501-2,000 sq. ft.	31	\$18,383	\$28,742	(\$10,359)
	2,001-5,000 sq. ft.	7	\$4,690	\$7,311	(\$2,621)
	5,001-10,000 sq. ft.	5	\$3,400	\$5,403	(\$2,003)
	10,000+ sq. ft.	3	\$2,418	\$3,309	(\$891)
1	Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings have four or fewer dwelling units): (Online Notification)				
	100-500 sq. ft.	142	\$55,380	\$89,702	(\$34,322)
	501-2,000 sq. ft.	165	\$74,250	\$115,026	(\$40,776)
	2,001-5,000 sq. ft.	60	\$31,680	\$48,867	(\$17,187)
	5,001-10,000 sq. ft.	24	\$12,912	\$20,413	(\$7,501)
	10,000+ sq. ft.	53	\$35,192	\$46,274	(\$11,082)
2	Planned (Annual) Renovation Operations (added to appropriate renovation operations fees)	7	\$833	\$866	(\$33)

Fee Sched.	Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
3	Emergency Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	524	\$62,356	\$64,807	(\$2,451)
4	Demolition Operations: Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present (notification):				
	Including RACM Removal	133	\$87,780	\$126,791	(\$39,011)
4	Demolition Operations: Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present (Online Notification):				
	Including RACM Removal	96	\$49,632	\$71,376	(\$21,744)
5	Emergency Demolition Operations (add to demolition operations fees listed above)	2	\$238	\$247	(\$9)
TOTAL			\$454,601	\$654,125	(\$199,524)

Asbestos related fees are under-recovering their costs by approximately \$199,000 annually. The largest source of this deficit is \$41,000 associated with the 501-2,000 sq. ft. of renovation operations including online notifications, followed by \$39,000 for demolition operations. These line items have a significant annual workload. The current annual cost recovery for these fees is 69%, which closely mirrors the per unit cost recovery of 69% for this fee category.

9. Hearing Board Fees

The Hearing Board fees charged by the District are in relation to when permit-related decisions are appealed by the permit holder or a variance is being asked from the existing permit conditions to the District's hearing officer. The fees cover the costs of conducting the civil investigation and the time associated with preparation for the hearing. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results calculated through this study for hearing board fees.

1 Per Unit Results

The full cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by fee schedule, the name, the current fee, the full cost calculated through this study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each service.

Table 12: Hearing Board – Cost Per Unit Results

Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
Emergency Variance	\$977	\$1,808	(\$831)
90-Day Variance	\$1,259	\$2,118	(\$859)
Regular Variance	\$1,197	\$2,068	(\$871)
Interim/Regular Variance	\$1,459	\$2,316	(\$857)
Permit Appeals	\$1,544	\$2,593	(\$1,049)
Modify an existing variance or abatement order	\$888	\$1,523	(\$635)

The under-recoveries associated with the Hearing Board are extremely large with the smallest deficit being \$635 for modifications to an existing variance, and the largest deficit of \$1,049 associated with any general permit appeals. The average per unit cost recovery for the hearing board is 59%. These types of fees are typically subsidized in other jurisdictions and air districts to allow it to be easier for permit holders to appeal decisions to the hearing board.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. The following table shows by fee schedule (for those fee schedules that had workload), the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 13: Hearing Board Fees – Annual Results

Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
90-Day Variance	1.00	\$1,259	\$2,118	(\$859)
Modify an existing variance or abatement order	1.00	\$888	\$1,523	(\$635)
TOTAL		\$2,147	\$3,641	(\$1,494)

The annual deficit for the Hearing Board Fees Category is approximately \$1,500. The largest component of component of this deficit is \$859 associated with the 90-day variance. The District does not receive a lot of hearing board cases annually, as such even with an overall annual cost recovery of 59%, it has minimal impact on the District's overall cost recovery.

10. Processing Fees

The District charges three different administrative fees as it relates to permit applications. The first type of fee is a non-refundable processing fee associated with all new permits and is associated with inputting information in the system and setting up the permit. The District also charges a permit processing and site handling and processing fee for all renewal permits. These fees are meant to recover the costs associated with the permit processing staff. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results calculated for the non-refundable processing fee.

1 Per Unit Results

The full cost calculated for each service includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by fee schedule, the name, the current fee, the full cost calculated through this study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each service.

Table 14: Processing Fees – Cost Per Unit Results

Description	Current Fee	Full Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit)
Non-Refundable Processing Fee	\$74	\$217	(\$143)
Site ID Processing & Handling Fee	\$35	\$40	(\$5)
Permit Processing Fee	\$25	\$30	(\$5)

The District is currently recovering for all of its permit processing fees, with the under-recovery ranging from \$5 for permit processing staff and \$143 for the non-refundable processing fee.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. The following table shows by fee, the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 15: Processing Fees – Annual Results

Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Non-Refundable Processing Fee	292	\$21,608	\$63,388	(\$41,730)
Site ID Processing & Handling Fee	4,000	\$140,000	\$158,663	(\$19,536)
Permit Processing Fee	13,995	\$349,875	\$420,546	(\$70,671)
TOTAL		\$511,483	\$642,547	(\$131,064)

The annual deficit associated with the processing fees is approximately \$131,000 and represents an annual cost recovery level of 80%. The largest source of this deficit (54%) is associated with the permit processing fee, which only has a per unit deficit of \$5 but due to the sheer number of renewal permits has a larger impact upon the District's overall cost recovery.

11. Time and Materials (Schedule 94)

Schedule 94 of the District's fee schedule is a list of the different staff positions at the District, which can provide services to permit holders, and their fully burdened hourly rate. This rate is then charged and assessed for any fees that are considered time and materials. The following subsections discuss the per unit and annual results calculated for the District's Schedule 94 or time and material related services.

1 Per Unit Results

It is important to note that the District lists several positions in Schedule 94 that do not currently exist at the District, and as such hourly rates for those positions have not been calculated. It is recommended that if those positions are not budgeted and will not be budgeted in the future at the District, they should be removed from the schedule, as permit holders do not have the ability or option to utilize those staff positions.

The fully burdened hourly rate for each staff position includes direct staff costs, departmental overhead, and districtwide overhead (including Countywide overhead). The following table details by existing positions, the current burdened rate, the fully burdened rate calculated through the study, and the surplus or associated deficit with each rate.

Table 16: Time and Material (Staff Hourly Rates) – Cost Per Unit Results

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
94 U	Air Pollution Control Aide (94u)	\$57	\$216	(\$159)
94 X	Air Pollution Control Civil Actions Investigator (94x)	\$135	\$237	(\$102)
94 E	Air Quality Inspector II (94e)	\$168	\$226	(\$58)
94 Z	Air Quality Specialist (94z)	\$100	\$275	(\$174)
94 Q	Associate Air Resources Specialist (94q)	\$168	\$259	(\$91)
94 J	Associate Chemist (94j)	\$119	\$204	(\$85)
94 C	Associate Engineer (94c)	\$171	\$266	(\$95)
94 R	Associate Meteorologist (94r)	\$119	\$176	(\$57)
94 K	Senior Chemist (94k)	\$143	\$230	(\$87)
94 D	Senior Engineer (94d)	\$207	\$291	(\$84)
94 F	Supervising Air Quality Inspector (94f)	\$238	\$247	(\$9)

As the table indicates the District is under-recovering for all of its fully burdened hourly rates. The under-recovery ranges from a low of \$9 for the Supervising Air Quality Inspector to a high of \$174 for the Air Quality Specialist. It is important to note that while this schedule represents the hourly rates, it does not represent the salaries paid to District staff; rather, the rate represents the true cost of staff to the District. The average per unit cost recovery for Schedule 94 is 62%.

In order to estimate the annual number of hours billed, the project team calculated an average hourly rate to be divided against the District’s time and material revenue line item. The average hourly rate utilized was not for all positions, but rather based upon the most typical position(s) that utilize time and materials, which is the Associate / Sr. Chemist, and the Associate / Sr. Engineer. The following table compares the current average billable rate to the full cost billable rate:

Table 17: Average Billable Rate Comparison

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Full Cost	Surplus / (Deficit) Per Unit
94 J	Associate Chemist (94j)	\$119	\$204	(\$85)
94 C	Associate Engineer (94c)	\$171	\$266	(\$95)
94 K	Senior Chemist (94k)	\$143	\$230	(\$87)
94 D	Senior Engineer (94d)	\$207	\$291	(\$84)
AVERAGE		\$160	\$248	(\$88)

Based upon the billable rate average, the District is under-recovering on average by \$88 per hour.

2 Annual Results

In addition to the per unit analysis, the project team also collected information regarding the annual implications of the full cost calculated. While there was not detailed information available regarding the different hours and positions calculated for each time and materials fee charged by the District, there was total revenue information available for these fees. The total revenue was divided by an average hourly rate to determine the estimated number of hours that could be billed. The following table shows the total revenue budgeted for time and materials services in FY21, the average hourly rate, and the total hours billed for it:

Table 18: Estimated # of Annual Hours for T&M Revenue Calculation

Category	Amount
FY21 T&M Budgeted Revenue	\$1,240,638
Average Billable Rate	\$160
Total Annual Hours	7,754

The total estimated annual billed time and material hours were approximately 7,754. These 7,754 hours were multiplied by the current and full cost average billable rates of \$160 and \$229 to calculate the estimated annual cost associated with Time and Material fees. The following table shows for time and material fees, the total annual hours, the revenue at current annual hours, the annual cost, and the associated annual surplus / (deficit):

Table 19: Time & Material Fees – Annual Results

Description	Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Revenue at Full Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Time and Material Fees	7,754	\$1,240,638	\$1,921,565	(\$680,927)

The annual under-recovery associated with labor rates is approximately \$681,000 and reflects a cost recovery level of 65%. The reason for this difference is due to the large per unit deficit of \$88 per hour. Therefore, even though the concept of fully burdened hourly rates or time and material fees is to be full cost recovery, if the hourly rate being utilized is not the true fully burdened hourly rate, then the District cannot achieve full cost recovery.

Cost Recovery and Fee Analysis Scenarios

SAN DIEGO AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT,
CALIFORNIA

FINAL REPORT

April 2021

matrix 
consulting group

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction and Executive Summary	1
2.	Scenario 1 – Fee Deferral & No Fee Increases	3
3.	Scenario 2 – No Increase	4
4.	Scenario 3 – 15% Increase	5
5.	Scenario 4 – 15% Standard Increase	8
6.	Scenario 5 – 15% Increase + Per Capita	10

1. Introduction and Executive Summary

The Matrix Consulting Group was retained by the San Diego Air Pollution Control District to conduct a cost recovery and fee analysis of the District's existing fees for service. The following report summarizes the scenarios developed for the District to increase fees for service and the associated cost recoveries for those scenarios.

1 Project Background and Overview

The District conducts an annual review of its fees to ensure that all appropriate costs are reflected. This annual calculation currently incorporates Vehicle Registration revenues to offset some of the fee-related costs. In July 2020, the Auditor of the State of California conducted an audit of the District and identified that it was utilizing Vehicle Registration revenue to offset fee or permit-related services. While this is allowed, the auditor recommended that the District consider conducting a thorough evaluation of the District's fees charged to permit holders and facility owners to determine their fair share of cost associated with those activities, rather than those fees being subsidized by vehicle registration fees.

The Matrix Consulting Group analyzed the cost of service relationships that exist between the District and its customers in relation to Initial Application Fees, Renewal Fees, Source Testing, Asbestos, Hearing Board, and Time and Material fees. The results of this study provided the District with a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can be legally charged. In order for the District to help achieve cost recovery there are several options that the District can pursue. The purpose of this supplemental report is to review those scenarios and options for discussion with the District's Board.

The following report provides the District board with five different scenario options related to affecting the current and future cost recovery levels. The five scenarios range from no changes to targeted increases based upon different fee categories. The goal of the District is to minimize its reliance on Vehicle Registration fee funding; however, even the Auditor's report recognizes that this is not feasible within a single fiscal year, due to the significant current deficit and large impact upon rate payors. Therefore, this supplemental report was developed to provide the Board with potential options to increase fees to help minimize the reliance on Vehicle registration funding for specifically offsetting stationary and permitted source related costs and bring the District in compliance with the auditor's findings. All revenue and fee figures in this report are from the District's Cost Recovery Analysis Report / Study completed in 2021.

2 Summary of Findings and Recommendations

The following table compares the potential cost recovery level, and the number of years it will take for the District to achieve full cost recovery based upon the different scenarios.

Table 1: Summary of Scenarios and Implications

#	Scenario	Fee Revenue Increase	Fee-Related Cost Recovery %	# of Years to Full Cost Recovery	Reliance on Vehicle Registration Fee Funding
1	Fee Deferral and No Fee Inc.	N / A	N / A	N / A	Yes
2	No Fee Increase	\$0	66%	N / A	Yes
3	15% Fee increase	\$1.2 million	76%	8	Yes
4	15% Standardized Increase	\$1.4 million	78%	5	Yes
5	15% Increase + Per Capita Fee	\$1.2 million	76%	8	No

As the table indicates, Scenarios 3-5 provide the District with a fee increase, and other than Scenario 5, all scenarios still require the District to rely on Vehicle registration fee revenue for fee-related services. It is important to note that while Scenario 5 will generate additional revenue for the District and allow the District to subsidize fees through the per capita fee, it does not result in increased fee revenue or increase fee-related cost recovery other than the 15% increases annually.

The majority of the options require the District to implement a fee increase, whether it is an across the board 15% fee increase (Scenarios 3 and 5) or a targeted fee increase in Scenario 4. These fee increases enable the District to phase in full cost recovery and phase out reliance on Vehicle Registration revenue to bring the District in compliance with the findings from the State Auditor’s report as well as to ensure that permit holders are paying for their fair share of services. Based upon the analysis conducted in this report and the cost of service study, the Matrix Consulting Group **recommends that the District staff and the Board consider implementing Scenario 4**. The following table shows by major fee category the proposed fee increase under Scenario 4 and the resulting cost recovery.

Table 2: Proposed Cost Recovery Impacts of Scenario 4 Fee Increases

Fee Category	FY 21-22 Fee Inc. %	FY 21-22 Cost Recovery %
Application Fixed	20%	78%
Renewal	10%	79%
Source Testing	15%	63%
Asbestos	25%	85%
Hearing Board	25%	74%
T&M	30%	84%
Processing Fee	15%	91%

Scenario 4 increases all fee categories, but targets the fee increases to allow the District to achieve cost recovery faster for certain types of fees (i.e., Application and T&M) and smooth the effect for fee increases for the majority of its ratepayers (renewal fees). This Scenario is also in alignment with District’s historical practices and as such will be easier to implement as stakeholders are already familiar with these types of increases.

2. Scenario 1 – Fee Deferral & No Fee Increases

The District currently is in the midst of a fee deferral, meaning that not only have fees not increased, but the District has deferred the collection of fees from rate payers. The first scenario for the Board to consider is to not only have no fee increases, but that fees continue to be deferred. In this scenario, the fees would be deferred for another fiscal year and as such while costs would increase, there would be no corresponding change in FY21-22 revenue, resulting in a lower cost recovery and higher deficit.

It is difficult to accurately estimate the fiscal impact of fee deferrals, as its primary impact is upon the District’s cash flow. The following table summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of this scenario from the perspective of internal (District) and external (permit and fee holders):

Table 3: Scenario 1 – Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External: No immediate fee increases for rate payers. • Internal: No need to change current fee system to account for any fee increases. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: The fee-related deficit continues to be subsidized by Vehicle Registration fee funding. • Internal: Vehicle registration fee payers are subsidizing facility holders. • Internal: Fee deferrals have to be accounted for and added into future billings – creating more work for District staff and more shock for facility / permit holders.

The scenario’s major advantage is for external stakeholders in that there is no immediate impact on rate payers. All of the disadvantages for this scenario are related to internal stakeholders, including not being in compliance with state auditor findings of utilizing Vehicle registration funds to subsidize facility and permit holders rather than offset mobile-related emissions. Under this scenario, the District does not have a clear path towards increasing cost recovery or achieving full cost recovery through fees.

3. Scenario 2 – No Increase

This scenario mimics Scenario 1, with the only difference being that there would be no fee deferrals. The District would not change any individual fee amounts, but instead of deferring collection, it would start collecting for renewals, new applications, as well as source testing, hearing board, and other miscellaneous fees. This would indicate that the District's current deficit would remain with no changes. The following table shows the current deficit and cost recovery percentage by major fee category for the District:

Table 4: Annual Cost Recovery Analysis – Scenario 2

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)	Cost Recovery %
Initial Application	\$441,825	\$684,032	(\$242,207)	65%
Renewal Fees	\$4,406,535	\$6,159,862	(\$1,753,327)	72%
Source Testing	\$817,137	\$1,781,741	(\$964,603)	46%
Asbestos Fees	\$454,601	\$654,125	(\$199,524)	69%
Hearing Board Fees	\$2,147	\$3,641	(\$1,494)	59%
Time & Material	\$1,240,638	\$1,921,565	(\$680,927)	65%
Processing Fee	\$511,483	\$642,547	(\$131,064)	80%
TOTAL	\$7,874,366	\$11,847,512	(\$3,973,146)	66%

Under this scenario, the District's current deficit of \$3.9 million would be unaltered and the District would still be at 66% cost recovery for fees for service. This would suggest that the District would continue to rely on Vehicle Registration fee funding to help bridge the \$3.9 million gap between fees for service and the cost associated with providing those fee-related services. The following table summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of this scenario from the perspective of internal (District) and external (permit and fee holders):

Table 5: Scenario 2 – Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External: No fee increases for rate payers. • Internal: No need to change current fee system to account for any fee increases. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: The fee-related deficit continues to be subsidized by Vehicle Registration fee funding. • Internal: Vehicle Registration fee payers are subsidizing facility and permit holders.

While this scenario allows rate payers to not have any immediate fee increases, it continues to put the District in a situation, where fee-related activities have to be subsidized by Vehicle registration fees rather than those facility or permit holders who are directly benefitting from the service. Under this scenario, the District does not have a clear path towards increasing cost recovery or achieving full cost recovery through fees.

4. Scenario 3 – 15% Increase

This scenario is the first scenario in which the Board will have the option to increase fees. In this scenario, the District is proposing that the Board increase all fees by 15%. The 15% increase is applied on the current fee, and the actual fee amount increase is dependent upon the current amount. For example, 15% increase on a current fee of \$100 = \$15 increase; however, a 15% increase on a current fee of \$1,000 = \$150. To illustrate this example, specifically for District fees, the following table shows a sampling of some fees currently charged by the District, the new fee based upon the 15% increase, and the dollar increase:

Table 6: Sample Fee Increases – Scenario 3 – 15% Increase Across All Fees

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	\$ Increase	
FIXED FEES (APPLICATION):					
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths					
1	A	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$606	\$697	\$91
1	B	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$1,358	\$1,562	\$204
1	C	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$1,759	\$2,023	\$264
RENEWAL FEES:					
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6					
26	A	VOCs Dispensing Facilities Equipped with Phase I & II controls (includes Phase I fee) - per nozzle	\$218	\$251	\$33
26	C	VOCs Dispensing Operation with Phase I only (Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	\$462	\$531	\$69
26	E	VOCs Dispensing Operation (Phase I and Phase II exempt) - Fee per Facility	\$406	\$467	\$61
SOURCE TESTING:					
Schedule 92: Source Testing Performed by the District					
92	I	Each Ammonia Source Test	\$1,114	\$1,281	\$167
92	Q	Each VOC Onsite Analysis	\$5,129	\$5,898	\$769
92	R	Each VOC Offsite Analysis	\$1,202	\$1,382	\$180
ASBESTOS:					
6		Revised Notification Fee for Renovations, Demolitions, Planned Renovations, and Emergency Operations	\$46	\$53	\$7
7		Cancellation Fee for Renovations or Demolition Operations	\$60	\$69	\$9
HEARING BOARD FEES:					
		Emergency Variance	\$977	\$1,124	\$147
		90-Day Variance	\$1,259	\$1,448	\$189

Based upon the sample information provided, under this scenario, fee increases could be as minimal as \$7 for revised notification to a high of \$769 associated with Schedule 92Q for Each VOC Onsite Analysis. The following table shows for each of the major fee

categories, the current revenue, the projected revenue at 15% increase, and the resulting revenue increase:

Table 7: Revenue increase Impacts – Scenario 3

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Projected Revenue	\$ Difference
Initial Application	\$441,825	\$508,099	\$66,274
Renewal Fees	\$4,406,535	\$5,067,515	\$660,980
Source Testing	\$817,137	\$939,708	\$122,571
Asbestos Fees	\$454,601	\$522,791	\$68,190
Hearing Board Fees	\$2,147	\$2,469	\$322
Time & Material	\$1,240,638	\$1,426,734	\$186,096
Processing Fee	\$511,483	\$588,205	\$76,722
TOTAL	\$7,874,366	\$9,055,521	\$1,181,155

If a 15% fee increase were to be implemented, the District’s total revenue would increase by \$1.2 million from \$7.9 million to \$9.1 million. The largest increase in revenue would be renewal fees at \$661,000, followed by Time and Material fees at \$186,000. The \$1.2 million would represent a 15% increase in fee-related revenue and would result in cost recovery increasing from 54% to 63% and would reduce the deficit from \$6.6 million to \$5.4 million.

If the District decided to adopt a policy to increase fees by 15% a year until cost recovery was achieved, it would take between 3-8 years until all fee-related expenses could be funded by fee-related revenue. The following table summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of this scenario from the perspective of internal (District) and external (permit and fee holders):

Table 8: Scenario 3 – Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External: Standardized Fee increase for rate payers. • Internal: Simplified ability to increase fees in the District’s system. • Internal: Reducing reliance on Vehicle Registration venue by \$1.2 million. • Internal: Increased revenue for the District. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External: Fee increases for rate payers. • Internal: Limits the District’s ability to reduce reliance on Vehicle registration funding at a quicker speed (<i>3-8 years before full cost recovery through fees</i>). • Internal: Lack of targeted cost recovery for fees. • External: Not all fee amount increases are the same, ranging from \$7 to \$769, depending upon the current fee amount.

There are two key advantages to this scenario for internal stakeholders as it enables the District to start reducing the reliance on Vehicle Registration fees and it allows the District to do it in a simplified manner across all fee categories. There are two key disadvantages for external stakeholders in this scenario as it not only increases fees, but the amount that the fees are increased by depends on the amount of the current fee. As such, some industries with already high fees will see even more of an increase in their fees, compared

to other industries or fees, which already have lower fees, and will see correspondingly a smaller increase in their fees. As discussed in the sample table (Table 6), these fee increases could be as low as \$7 or as high as \$769 depending upon the fee schedule and the corresponding activity.

5. Scenario 4 – 15% Standard Increase

This scenario is similar to the Scenario 3 in that it allows for a 15% increase; however, it applies the 15% increase not to the individual fee amounts, but rather the aggregate or total revenue generated by fee categories. The California Health and Safety Code Section 41512.7(d)(2) states that the District has the ability to increase individual fees for service for permit to operate and authority to construct permits as long as the total revenue for those fee categories does not exceed more than 15% in a single fiscal year.

The District has traditionally followed this Health and Safety Code guideline by applying it to the Application Fees, Renewal Fees, Time and Material, and Processing Fee categories, as those fees fall under the “permit to operate” and “authority to construct” permit category. For all other fee categories – Source Testing, Asbestos, and Hearing Board, the District is not bound to any limits on fee or revenue increases, other than the requirement that the fee cannot exceed the cost of providing the service. Therefore, under this scenario, the District is able to apply different cost increases to the fee categories to allow for greater cost recovery for the District.

The project team worked with District staff to calculate different proposed percentage increases for each fee category, ensuring that for the four relevant categories, the total revenue could not increase more than 15%. The following table summarizes by major fee category for the District, the current cost recovery percentage, whether it is subject to the Aggregate Fee increase of 15%, the projected fee increase for FY21-22 and the resulting FY21-22 Cost Recovery %:

Table 9: Proposed Cost Recovery Impacts of Scenario 4 Fee Increases

Fee Category	Current Cost Recovery %	Subject to Aggregate Cap of 15%?	FY 21-22 Fee Inc. %	FY 21-22 Cost Recovery %
Application Fixed	65%	Yes	20%	78%
Renewal	72%	Yes	10%	79%
Source Testing	46%	No	15%	63%
Asbestos	69%	No	25%	85%
Hearing Board	59%	No	25%	74%
T&M	65%	Yes	30%	84%
Processing Fee	80%	Yes	15%	91%

The District’s current cost recovery for its fees ranges from a low of 46% for Source Testing to a high of 80% for Processing fees. The highlighted rows in the table above represent those categories that are subject to the 15% revenue limit, meaning the total revenue for those fees combined cannot exceed 15%. As the table indicates, fee categories that are subject to the cap of 15% revenue increase, the fee increases range from a low of 10% for renewal fees to a high of 30% for time and material fees. For all other fee categories, the fee increase was developed based upon deficits associated with those fee categories. The following table shows for each of the major fee categories, the

current revenue, the projected revenue at the targeted increase, and the resulting revenue increase:

Table 10: Revenue increase Impacts – Scenario 4

Fee Category	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Projected Revenue	\$ Difference
Initial Application	\$441,825	\$530,190	\$88,365
Renewal Fees	\$4,406,535	\$4,847,189	\$440,654
Source Testing	\$817,137	\$1,117,016	\$299,879
Asbestos Fees	\$454,601	\$554,888	\$100,287
Hearing Board Fees	\$2,147	\$2,684	\$537
Time & Material	\$1,240,638	\$1,612,829	\$372,191
Processing Fee	\$511,483	\$585,868	\$74,385
TOTAL	\$7,874,366	\$9,250,664	\$1,376,298

The District’s total revenue would increase by \$1.4 million from \$7.9 million to \$9.3 million. The largest increase in revenue would be renewal fees at \$441,000, followed by Time & Material fees at \$372,000. The \$1.4 million would represent a 17% increase in revenue for the District and would result in the District’s cost recovery increasing from 66% to 78% and would reduce the deficit from \$3.9 million to \$2.6 million. Therefore, this scenario allows for a greater impact on reducing the District’s reliance on Vehicle registration fee funding to help subsidize fee-related services. The following table summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of this scenario from the perspective of internal (District) and external (permit and fee holders):

Table 11: Scenario 4 – Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: Reducing reliance on Vehicle Registration fee funding by \$1.4 million. • Internal: Increased revenue for the District. • External: Largest fee increases targeted on new / application fees, and lowest fee increase for renewals or everyday businesses. • Internal: Allows certain fee categories to achieve cost recovery faster (i.e., 2-5 years for full cost recovery). • Internal: In alignment with historical District practices. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External: Fee increases for rate payers.

There are several advantages for internal stakeholders in this scenario, including allowing the District to have a significant reduction in its reliance on Vehicle registration fee funding and achieving targeted cost recovery for certain fee categories sooner. While the only disadvantage in this scenario is for external stakeholders by increasing fees, there is also an advantage in this scenario in that the fee increases are phased in and that the fees associated with the majority of the District’s external stakeholders (renewal fees) are being phased in more slowly compared to other fee categories to help smooth the financial impact upon those external stakeholders.

6. Scenario 5 – 15% Increase + Per Capita

The final fee increase scenario explored by the District was to take advantage of the California Health and Safety Section 40701.5, which states that if the District is unable to meet all of its funding needs it has the ability to impose a per capita fee. In this scenario, the District would increase all fee categories by a standard 15%, and the remaining deficit each year would be offset by a per capita fee. This scenario would eliminate the District’s reliance on Vehicle Registration Fee funding immediately, as the per capita fee would allow the District to cover the fee-related deficit.

It is important to note that the per capita fee would be temporary and would only be in place until the District is able to increase its fees annually by 15% to cover all of its fee-related costs. The following table shows the annual deficit based upon a 15% across the board fee increase:

Table 12: Annual Cost Recovery Analysis – Scenario 2

Fee Category	Total Projected Revenue	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Initial Application	\$508,099	\$684,032	(\$175,933)
Renewal Fees	\$5,067,515	\$6,159,862	(\$1,092,347)
Source Testing	\$939,708	\$1,781,741	(\$842,033)
Asbestos Fees	\$522,791	\$654,125	(\$131,334)
Hearing Board Fees	\$2,469	\$3,641	(\$1,172)
Time & Material	\$1,426,734	\$1,921,565	(\$494,831)
Processing Fee	\$588,205	\$642,547	(\$54,341)
TOTAL	\$9,055,521	\$11,847,512	(\$2,791,991)

The per capita fee would be based upon the anticipated population for San Diego County – unincorporated areas and all cities – as that is the service area for the District. Based upon the Department of Finance 2020 population projections, the population for San Diego County is approximately 3,343,355 people. The per capita fee was calculated based upon the proposed deficit associated with the 15% increase all fee categories divided by the total population of San Diego County. The following table shows the per capita fee calculation for FY21-22:

Table 13: FY21-22 Proposed Per Capita Calculation

Category	Amount
Annual Deficit with 15% Revenue Increase	\$2,791,991
Total San Diego County Population	3,343,355
Per Capita Fee	\$0.84

The per capita fee for FY21-22 would be approximately \$0.82 per San Diego County Resident. The per capita fee would be collected by individual cities and paid to the District. The fee would be assessed per household. An average household in San Diego County

has 2.87 residents¹, as such the \$0.84 would translate to a household annual fee of \$2.40 or a monthly fee of \$0.20 per household. The per capita fee would decrease every year until the District achieved cost recovery, which at a 15% increase per year, would take approximately 3-8 years to achieve. The following table shows the projected per capita fee for the next 5 years:

Table 14: Projected Per Capita Fee

Category	FY21-22	FY22-23	FY23-24	FY24-25	FY25-26
Per Capita Fee	\$0.84	\$0.52	\$0.20	\$0.14	\$0.06

As discussed, the per capita fee decreases each year, as the District’s deficit decreases. In Year 6 after the projected revenue increase, the deficit would be so minimal for the District that there would be no need for a per capita fee (almost \$20,000). Therefore, the District would only need to impose this fee for five years and it would allow the District to phase in the revenue increases, while also eliminating any reliance on Vehicle Registration fees. The following table summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of this scenario from the perspective of internal (District) and external (permit and fee holders):

Table 15: Scenario 5 – Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internal: Eliminates reliance on Vehicle Registration Fee Funding. • Internal: Increased revenue for the District. • External: Minimal per capita fee added onto each household to help phase in fee increases for permit and facility holders. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • External: Fee increases for rate payers. • External: County and City residents to subsidize private businesses receiving services from the District. • Internal: Lack of targeted cost recovery prolongs the District’s ability to achieve full cost recovery for 3-8 years. • Internal: 15% fee increase across the board can result in disproportionate increase for some fees based upon dollar value.

The primary advantage for internal stakeholders in this scenario is that it immediately eliminates the District’s reliance on Vehicle Registration funding. There are several disadvantages in this scenario for both internal and external stakeholders including the further subsidization of facility owners and permit holders by city and county residents through an additional per capita fee. This scenario also prolongs the District’s ability to achieve full cost recovery compared to a targeted approach.

¹ Based upon California Department of Finance average household information 2020.

**SAN DIEGO COUNTY
AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT**

**DRAFT PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO
RULE 40 – PERMIT AND OTHER FEES
RULE 42 – HEARING BOARD FEES**

PUBLIC MEETING REPORT

The San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District) held a public meeting on August 31, 2021, to discuss and receive input on the draft proposed amendments to Rule 40 – *Permit and Other Fees* and Rule 42 – *Hearing Board Fees*. A meeting notice was mailed to each permit holder, applicant, registration holder, and chamber of commerce in the region. Additionally, a meeting notice was posted on the District’s website and distributed to interested parties, including through the District’s electronic mail service.

The public meeting was attended by 7 stakeholders, representing businesses and facilities that are subject to permit fees and other fees. A summary of their comments on the proposal, and the District's responses, follows.

1. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Does the District anticipate additional 15% increases being proposed during the 2022 and 2023 years?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Currently, the District is recovering an estimated 66% of the cost for the Permitting Program. The Cost Recovery Study that was received by the Governing Board in May 2021 anticipates increasing fees each year until full cost recovery is achieved. The Cost Recovery Study concludes that increasing fees over the next 3-5 years will be needed to reach full cost recovery. The District Governing Board will conduct fee hearings each year and determine the fees for the following year.

2. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Is the goal to get to 100% cost recovery?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes, the District’s goal is to get to 100% full cost recovery in accordance with Governing Board direction and the California State Auditor’s recommendation to “increase fees until its revenue from permit fees is equal to the full cost of the permitting program.”

3. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Are there any other cost cutting activities to achieve financial stability rather than raising fees for end users?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes, the District is continuously reviewing programs and processes for efficiencies each year. As outlined in the Public Meeting held on 8/31/21, some recent examples include reducing staff within Source Testing; a new online customer Emissions Inventory System; a new mobile complaint application; public open data (Permits & Emissions, Complaints and Settled Cases); and public document library (Applications, Health Risk Assessment, Risk Reduction Plans, Violations and Enforcement).

4. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Will there be any additional hearings about this topic?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes, the first Governing Board Hearing to consider proposed amendments to Rules 40 and 42 will be held on October 14, 2021, and the second Board Hearing to consider adoption of the proposed amendments is planned to be held on December 9, 2021.

5. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

How is the current deficit being funded?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The deficit among the District's permitting programs is currently being funded with Vehicle License Fees. As summarized in the California State Auditor's Report 2019-127, "The amended law does not include a clear requirement that districts use the vehicle registration fees to reduce mobile emissions from vehicles (mobile emissions)¹." However, while use of vehicle registration fees in this manner complies with state law, the District's goal is to achieve full cost recovery for its permitting programs, as described above.

¹ <http://auditor.ca.gov/pdfs/reports/2019-127.pdf> page 17 of 65

6. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Can this recording be watched on YouTube at a later time?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes, this is being recorded live and you can find it on the District's YouTube channel (www.youtube.com/user/SanDiegoAPCD).

7. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

The California State audit stated that \$12.9M was raised for the District via Vehicle License Fees (VLF) that came from a \$4 fee per registration. I am told that \$4 is the maximum the County is allowed to charge. State law allows VLF to be up to \$6. Can you speak to the difference?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Please see District Response to Public Meeting Comment No. 5 for additional information regarding Vehicle License Fees. For more information, please refer to California Health and Safety Code Section 44225². In addition, California Proposition 26, passed by voters in November 2010, limits the District's ability to raise Vehicle License Fees without a County-wide vote.

8. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Could you please explain what is meant by a 15% increase for fees?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The District is proposing a 15% increase to each fee in Rule 40³ related to the Initial Evaluation, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Schedules 92 and 93 Source Testing, Schedule 94 Time and Material Labor Rates, Processing Fee, and Site ID Processing and Handling Fee. The District is also proposing a 25% increase to the Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Notification Fees and to the Hearing Board Fees found in proposed amended Rules 40 and 42⁴, respectively.

² https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?lawCode=HSC§ionNum=44225.

³ https://www.sdapcd.org/content/dam/sdc/apcd/PDF/Rules_and_Regulations/Workshops/R40_R42/R40-WSDraft.pdf

⁴ https://www.sdapcd.org/content/dam/sdc/apcd/PDF/Rules_and_Regulations/Workshops/R40_R42/R42-WSDraft.pdf

9. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Is the District considering raising the per ton emissions fee, which is currently \$116 per ton, in future years?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The per ton emissions fee is not currently proposed to change, but it will be analyzed in the next Cost Recovery Study which will analyze cost recovery for the 22-23 fiscal year. The District Governing Board will conduct fee hearings each year and determine the fees for the following year.

10. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Will comments be taken after today?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes, the public will have an opportunity to comment at the two upcoming Governing Board hearings. Comments may be made verbally at the hearing or submitted in writing prior to or at the hearing. See also District Response to Public Meeting Comment No. 4.

11. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Has the Board looked at these fee increases and considered the impact to small businesses or businesses in need?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The District will evaluate options that could provide assistance to businesses in need and bring potential options to the Governing Board for consideration.

12. PUBLIC MEETING COMMENT

Will the District develop a plan for how to expend the Vehicle License Fee funding that will be offset by the proposed fee increases? How will those funds be allocated?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes, this will be part of the budget process which includes public hearings to receive and consider input from members of the public. The Governing Board will decide how these funds will be expended in conformance with the limitations established in state law.

REGULATION III: FEES

RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES (Adopted *(date of adoption)* & Effective ~~December 9, 2020~~ ~~December 1, 2021~~ January 1, 2022)

Table of Contents

(a)	APPLICABILITY	2
(b)	DEFINITIONS	2
(c)	GENERAL PROVISIONS	3
(d)	AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES	3
	(1) General Provisions	3
	(2) Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate	4
	Calculation Worksheet for Initial Application Fees	4
	(3) Initial Evaluation Fee	4
	(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fees	5
	(5) Additional Evaluation and Processing Fees for New or Revised Applications	6
	(6) Fees for Revisions to Valid Permits	6
	Calculation Worksheet for Modified Equipment Fees	6
	(7) Fees for Revisions to Valid Authorities to Construct	7
	(8) Special Application Processing Provisions	7
(e)	ANNUAL OPERATING FEES	10
	(1) General Provisions	10
	(2) Annual Operating Fees	10
	Calculation Worksheet for Annual Operating Fees	10
	(3) Staggered Renewal Dates	11
	(4) Split Payment of Annual Operating Fees	12
	(5) Inactive Status Permits	12
	(6) Expiration and Retirement of Permits	13
(f)	SPECIFIC PROGRAM FEES	13
	(1) General Provisions	13
	(2) Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Operation Plan	13
	(3) Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan Fee	14
	(4) Grid Search	14
	(5) New or Modified Power Plants	14
	(6) Toxic Hot Spots	15
	(7) California Clean Air Act	15
	(8) Title V Operating Permit	15
	(9) Synthetic Minor Source Permit	16
	(10) Determination of Exemption	16
	(11) California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)	16
(g)	LATE FEES	16
(h)	RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT(S) & REINSTATEMENT OF RETIRED PERMIT(S)	17
	(1) General Provisions	17
	(2) Renewal of Expired Permit(s) to Operate	17
	(3) Reinstatement of Retired Permit(s) to Operate	18
(i)	REFUNDS, INSUFFICIENT PAYMENT OF FEES AND CANCELLATIONS	18
	(1) General Provisions	18
	(2) Application Fee Refunds	18
	(3) Annual Operating Fee Refunds	19
	(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee Refunds	19
	(5) Other Fees	19
	(6) Cancellation Fees - Source Testing and Test Witnessing	19
	(7) Insufficient Payment of Fees	20
	Alphabetical List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type	21
	Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type	23

RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES

(a) APPLICABILITY

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of these rules, this rule shall be used to determine all fees charged by the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District (District), as authorized by the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board, except for those specified in Rule 42 – Hearing Board Fees. These include, but are not limited to, fees for: applications, permits, portable equipment registrations, renewals, source testing, asbestos demolition or renovation notifications, emergency episode plans, grid searches, technical consultations, new or modified power plants, Toxic Hot Spots, Title V Operating Permits, and Synthetic Minor Source Permits, and reviews, analyses, documents and procedures required or requested pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

(2) This rule shall be used to determine refunds, forfeitures and insufficient payment of fees, if applicable.

(b) DEFINITIONS

The following definitions shall apply for terms used in this rule:

(1) **“Annual Operating Fee”** means all fees related to a permit that are paid on an annual basis. These include, but are not limited to, the following: Site Identification (ID) Processing and Handling Fee, Permit Processing Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee, and Annual Source Test Fee.

(2) **“Applicant”** means the owner of the emission unit or operation, or an agent specified by the owner.

(3) **“Initial Application Fees”** means all fees related to an application. These include, but are not limited to, a Non-refundable Processing Fee, Initial Evaluation Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, an Additional Engineering Evaluation Fee and/or Source Test Fee.

(4) **“Location”** means the same as “Stationary Source” as defined in Rule 2 – Definitions.

(5) **“Permit to Operate”** or **“permit”** means any District authority to operate, such as a Permit to Operate, Certificate of Registration, Title V or Synthetic Minor Source permit, unless otherwise specified.

(6) **“T+M”** means time and material costs.

(7) **“Valid Permit or Valid Authority to Construct”** means a Permit or Authority to Construct for which all fees are current.

All other terms mean the same as defined in Rule 2 – Definitions unless otherwise defined by an applicable rule or regulation.

(c) GENERAL PROVISIONS

(1) No application shall be considered received unless accompanied by the completed application and associated supplemental forms (if applicable) and the appropriate Initial Evaluation Fees.

(2) All time and material (T+M) costs shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates.

(3) If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that the activities of any one company would cause an increase of at least 10% ~~percent~~ in any one Emission Unit Fee Schedule, the Air Pollution Control Officer may delete the costs attributed to that company from the cost data used to determine that type of Emission Unit Fee Schedule. The costs from such a company shall be recovered by development of a source-specific Emission Unit Fee Schedule. The specific Initial Evaluation or Emission Unit Renewal Fee Schedules shall be submitted to the Air Pollution Control District Governing Board for consideration and adoption.

(4) If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that a person has under-reported material usage, emissions or other information necessary for calculating an emissions inventory, and such under-reporting has led to an Air Contaminant Emissions Fee less than what would have been due if correct usage, emissions or other information had been reported, then the person shall pay the difference between the original and corrected Air Contaminant Emissions Fee plus a charge equal to 30% ~~percent~~ of the difference. Such charge shall not apply if the permittee demonstrates to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction that the under-reporting was the result of inadvertent error or omission which the permittee took all reasonable steps to avoid. Required fees not paid within 30 days of the due date shall be assessed a late fee in the amount prescribed in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(5) Credit card payments for fees will be assessed a processing fee of 2.19% of the amount paid by credit card. This processing fee covers only costs assessed to the District by credit card providers. Payments made using the online application submittal system will not be assessed a processing fee but will be subject to fees charged by the online submittal system vendor for the service. These convenience fees are not remitted to the District.

(d) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES

(1) General Provisions

(i) Every applicant for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate for any article, machine, equipment or other contrivance shall pay the applicable fees as specified in this Section (d) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees for each emission unit.

(ii) A ~~\$74~~85 Non-refundable Processing Fee shall be submitted with each application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate, Change of Location, Change to an Existing Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate, Like-Kind Replacement or Banking Emission Reduction Credits. This fee does not apply to applications for a Change of Ownership, Identical Replacement, or Fee Schedules 49(a) or 49(b).

(iii) When additional evaluation fees are required, the applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the evaluation costs upon receipt of such an invoice. The District may stop work on the application until the invoiced amount is fully paid.

(iv) Initial Evaluation Fees and Emission Unit Renewal Fees shall be determined using the amounts listed in Columns (1) and (2), respectively, of the Fee Schedules provided within this rule.

(2) Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate

The Initial Application Fees for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate application shall include a Non-refundable Processing Fee, Initial Evaluation Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, an Additional Engineering Evaluation Fee and/or Source Test Fee.

Calculation Worksheet for Initial Application Fees

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$ 74 <u>85</u>
Initial Evaluation Fee ¹	
Emission Unit Renewal Fee ¹	
Air Contaminant Emissions Fee ²	
Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees ³	
Source Test Fee ⁴	

Total: \$ _____

Notes:

1. See Fee Schedule. If T+M fee is indicated, call the District for a fee estimate.
2. See Subsection (d)(4) to determine applicable fee, based on total facility emissions.
3. See Subsection (d)(5) to determine if additional fees are required, or call the District for a fee estimate.
4. Call the District for a Source Test Fee estimate.

(3) Initial Evaluation Fee

The Initial Evaluation Fee shall be determined based on the specific type of equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted, as listed in Column (1) of the Fee Schedules provided within this rule.

(i) Where the fee specified in Column (1) is T+M, the fee shall be the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual evaluation cost at the time of application submittal.

(ii) If the equipment, process or operation for which an application is submitted is not listed in the Fee Schedules, the Initial Evaluation Fee shall be on a T+M basis,

including the Emission Unit Renewal Fee, as specified in Fee Schedule 91 – Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates.

(iii) If the equipment, process, or operation for which an application is required solely due to a change in Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, the evaluation fee shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsection (d)(5).

(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fees

The Air Contaminant Emissions Fee is an annual fee based on total air contaminant emissions from the stationary source. This fee shall also apply to portable equipment permitted or registered under these Rules and Regulations. For purposes of this subsection, the term “facility” means either the stationary source, or collection of portable equipment permitted or registered under a single site ID.

(i) For existing facilities, an Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall not be collected as part of an Initial Application Fee, if the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee was paid as part of the most recent Annual Operating Fees.

(ii) For new facilities, the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid with the first permit application filed for the new facility and based upon actual expected air contaminant emissions from the facility, as estimated by the District, for the calendar year in which the Permit to Operate is issued, as specified below. This fee shall remain unchanged until revised to reflect the most recent District approved emissions inventory report.

(A) If the actual expected annual emissions of carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), oxides of sulfur, particulate matter (PM₁₀) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) equal or exceed five tons, then the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be based on the total expected emissions of all these contaminants for that calendar year, multiplied by an air contaminant emissions fee rate of \$116 per ton.

(B) For all other new facilities, a single Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid based on the following table using the Fee Schedule that is most representative of the nature of the activities at the stationary source:

<u>Fee Schedule</u>	<u>Source Category Description</u>	<u>Annual Emissions Fee</u>
26(a)	VOC dispensing facility - Phase I and Phase II controls required	\$9 per nozzle
28(k and l)	Contract service solvent cleaning units (for contract companies with 100 or more units)	\$7 per cleaning unit
28(f)	Facilities with only remote reservoir units and no other permits at the facility	\$7 per cleaning unit
27(e)	Industrial surface coating applications	\$580
27(k)	Metal parts and aerospace coating applications	\$580

27(v)	Adhesive application operations	\$580
Various	All other stationary sources	\$116

If the most representative nature of the activities cannot be determined for facilities with more than one source category description or fee schedule, the highest applicable annual emissions fee shall apply.

(5) Additional Evaluation and Processing Fees for New or Revised Applications

If an application requires the District to evaluate the emission unit for compliance with Rule 51 – Nuisance, Rule 1200 – Toxic Air Contaminants-New Source Review, Rules 20.1 through 20.8 (New Source Review), Rules 26.0 through 26.10 (Emission Reduction Credits), pre-backfill inspections for gasoline dispensing facilities, Regulation X – New Source Performance Standards, Regulation XI – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants, Regulation XII – Toxic Air Contaminants, federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) requirements, a federal National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), State Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM), CEQA, or to conduct additional application processing procedures in accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 42301 or 42301.6, or to witness testing or conduct inspections to verify compliance with any State Vapor Recovery Executive Order as part of a Like Kind Replacement application processed according to Rule 11 (d)(5)(ii), the applicant shall pay the actual cost incurred by the District for such evaluation and processing procedures, and any additional fees specified by this rule. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual evaluation cost at the time of application submittal or upon request by the District.

(6) Fees for Revisions to Valid Permits

The owner of a valid permit, or his agent, may submit an application to propose the types of changes listed below. The evaluation fee for a revision shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsections (d)(5), (d)(6)(v), and (d)(6)(vi). The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost of evaluating the proposed change at the time of application submittal.

Calculation Worksheet for Modified Equipment Fees

Non-refundable Processing Fee	\$74 <u>85</u>
Initial Evaluation Fee ¹	
Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees ²	

Total: \$ _____

Notes:

1. See Fee Schedules, use Column (1). If T+M fee is indicated, call the District for a fee estimate.
2. See Subsection (d)(5) to determine if additional fees are required, or call the District for a fee estimate.

(i) Operational Change: An application which proposes an operational change of a valid permit.

(ii) Condition Change: An application which proposes a condition change of a valid permit.

(iii) Additions, Alterations and Replacement of Equipment: An application which proposes an addition, alteration or replacement of an emission unit described in a valid permit.

(iv) Review for a Change of Location: An application which proposes a change of location for an emission unit with a valid permit. An application is not required for any change of location within a stationary source or for a portable emission unit.

(v) Ownership Change: An application which proposes an ownership change for a valid permit shall pay an administrative fee of ~~\$74~~^{\$85}. The applicant shall demonstrate to the District's satisfaction proof of entitlement to the Permit to Operate at the time of application submittal. Prior to an ownership change application being processed, payment of all outstanding charges that are normally due and associated with that permit must be paid.

(vi) Like-Kind Replacement Units per Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, Subsection (d)(5): An application for a permit change to reflect an eligible like-kind replacement emission unit pursuant to Rule 11 (d)(5)(ii), shall pay a fee of \$374, in addition to the Non-refundable Processing Fee and any additional fees provided under Subsection (d)(5) of this rule.

(7) Fees for Revisions to Valid Authorities to Construct

The owner of a valid Authority to Construct, or his agent, may submit an application to propose the types of changes listed in Subsections (d)(6)(i thru v). The evaluation fee for a revision shall be based on the actual evaluation cost incurred by the District, not to exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee, except as provided under Subsection (d)(5). The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost of evaluating the proposed change at the time of application submittal.

(8) Special Application Processing Provisions

(i) Reduced Fees for Similar Emission Units at a Single Stationary Source

If more than one application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is submitted at the same time for similar emission units at the same stationary source location, then the first emission unit shall be charged the Initial Application Fee as specified in Subsection (d)(2). Each additional emission unit shall be charged the Emission Unit Renewal Fee and the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to evaluate the emission unit and act upon the applications. The total cost for each additional emission unit shall not exceed the Initial Evaluation Fee (Column (1)), except as provided under Subsection (d)(5).

This provision only applies to the extent that each emission unit will be operated independently, and the evaluation for an Authority to Construct for the first emission unit can be applied to the additional units because of similarity in design and operation, and each emission unit can be evaluated and inspected for a Permit to Operate at the same time. The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to Fee Schedules 3 and 26.

(ii) Reinspection Fees

If during an inspection for a Permit to Operate, an emission unit cannot be evaluated due to circumstances beyond the control of the District, the applicant shall pay the actual time and material costs of performing a reinspection. An estimated reinspection fee, as determined by the District, may be required to be deposited with the District prior to reinspection of the emission unit.

(iii) Split Fee Payments for Applications

An applicant may request, due to financial hardship, to split the payment of evaluation fees due to financial hardship-Initial Application Fees into two equal payments. This request must be made in writing. The first payment, equal to 50% of the Initial Application Fees, plus an administrative fee of \$75, must be deposited with the application. The second payment, equal to the remaining balance, is due no later than 60 days after filing the application. Failure to pay the Initial Application Fees in full within 60 days after filing the application, may result in cancellation of the application, as specified in Subsection (i)(7) – Insufficient Payment of Fees.

(iv) Fees for Expedited Application Processing

If an applicant requests expedited processing of an application and the District determines that such expedited processing is available through voluntary overtime work, the applicant shall pay fees equal to one and one-quarter times the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates for the overtime work. At the time of submittal of the application, the applicant shall deposit a fee equal to that otherwise specified by this rule. If the application receives expedited processing, no final action shall be taken on the application until the applicant has paid the remainder of the fees required by this paragraph.

(v) Requirement for Defense and Indemnification Agreement

On a case-by-case basis, where significant risk to the District is identified in connection with the processing of an application, the Air Pollution Control Officer may require a defense and indemnification agreement from the applicant. The agreement shall be in a form approved by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

On a case-by-case basis, the Air Pollution Control Officer may determine to require security from the applicant. A determination to require security shall only be made by the Air Pollution Control Officer, and shall not be delegable. The Air Pollution Control Officer shall establish the form and amount of the security, as well as the time the security is to be provided to the District.

(vi) Indemnification

Each applicant, to the extent the applicant is at fault in causing liability to the District, shall indemnify the District, its agents, officers and employees (collectively "District Parties") from any claim, action, liability, or proceeding against the District Parties to attack, set aside, void or annul the applicant's project or any of the proceedings, acts or determinations taken, done or made as a result of District's processing and/or approval of the project, as specified below. Each applicant's obligation to indemnify shall apply to any lawsuit or challenge against the District Parties alleging failure to comply with the requirements of any federal, state, or local laws, including, but not limited to, requirements of these Rules and Regulations. This indemnification requirement shall be included in the application form provided to all applicants.

Each applicant's obligation to indemnify the District Parties shall include, but not be limited to, payment of all court costs and attorneys' fees, costs of any judgments or awards against the District, damages, and/or settlement costs, which arise out of District's processing and/or approval of the applicant's project, except that an applicant shall only be responsible for indemnifying the District Parties in the amount of liability which is equal to the proportion of fault caused by the applicant, as determined by a court. Where any court action results in a ruling for the plaintiff/petitioner, the applicant and the District shall request a determination on the percentage contribution of fault from the court which adjudicated the underlying challenge to the applicant's project.

Notwithstanding this subsection, when a defense and indemnification agreement is required for a project under Subsection (d)(8)(v) above, the provisions of the defense and indemnification agreement shall apply to the applicant and not the provisions of this subsection.

(vii) Fees for Previously Permitted Emission Units Operating Without Valid Permits

In addition to the fees otherwise specified by this Section (d) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees, a person who is applying for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate for a previously permitted emission unit that was operated after the applicable permit expired, and is no longer eligible for reinstatement, shall pay the annual operating and late fees specified in Sections (e) Annual Operating Fees, Section (f) Specific Program Fees, and Section (g) Late Fees, that would have otherwise been due. Such payment shall not negate any fines and penalties that may be assessed for violations of the requirement to operate with a valid permit.

(e) ANNUAL OPERATING FEES

(1) General Provisions

(i) Annual Operating Fees are due on an annual basis and shall be paid by any person who is required to maintain a Permit to Operate or Temporary Authorization pursuant to Rule 10 – Permits Required, Section (b) Permit to Operate.

(ii) Annual Operating Fees are due by 5 PM Pacific Time on the date the permit expires. Permits expire on the last day of the renewal month. Payments received after the permit expiration date are subject to the late fee provisions of Section (g) – Late Fees.

~~(iii) Annual Operating Fees Due Between July 1, 2020 and March 31, 2021: Notwithstanding the above, those Annual Operating Fees which were due to be paid between July 1, 2020 and March 31, 2021, will be due instead by 5 PM Pacific Time on April 1, 2021. Permits associated with those Annual Operating Fees will expire on April 1, 2021, if the fees are not paid. Payments received after April 1, 2021, are subject to the late fee provisions of Section (g) – Late Fees.~~

(2) Annual Operating Fees

The following applicable fees shall be paid as part of the Annual Operating Fees: Site ID Processing and Handling Fee, Permit Processing Fee, Emission Unit Renewal Fee, Air Contaminant Emissions Fee, and if applicable, District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee and Annual Source Test Fee.

Calculation Worksheet for Annual Operating Fees

Site ID Processing and Handling Fee	\$ 35-40
Permit Processing Fee (\$ 25-29 x number of permitted units)	
Emission Unit Renewal Fee (See (iii) below)	
Air Contaminant Emissions Fee (See (iv) below)	
District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee (See (v) below)	
Annual Source Test Fee (See (vi) below)	

Total: \$ _____

(i) Site ID Processing and Handling Fee: A site ID processing and handling fee of \$~~35-40~~ per facility.

(ii) Permit Processing Fee: A permit processing fee of \$~~25-29~~ per Permit to Operate.

(iii) Emission Unit Renewal Fee: An annual renewal fee, for each specific type of emission unit, as specified in the Fee Schedules (Column (2)).

(iv) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee: An annual Air Contaminant Emissions Fee based on total emissions from the stationary source. This fee shall also apply to portable equipment permitted or registered under these Rules and Regulations. For purposes of this subsection, the term “facility” means either the stationary source, or collection of portable equipment permitted or registered under a single site ID.

(A) For facilities with annual emissions of either carbon monoxide (CO), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), oxides of sulfur, particulate matter (PM₁₀) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) that equal or exceed five tons, as indicated by the most recent District approved emission inventory report or an initial evaluation made pursuant to Subsection (d)(4)(ii), the Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be based on the total calendar year emissions of all these contaminants, multiplied by an air contaminant emissions fee rate of \$116 per ton.

(B) For all other facilities, a single Air Contaminant Emissions Fee shall be paid based on the following table using the Fee Schedule that is most representative of the nature of the activities at the stationary source:

<u>Fee Schedule</u>	<u>Source Category Description</u>	<u>Annual Emissions Fee</u>
26(a)	VOC dispensing facility - Phase I and Phase II controls required	\$9 per nozzle
28 (k and l)	Contract service solvent cleaning units (for contract companies with 100 or more units)	\$7 per cleaning unit
28(f)	Facilities with only remote reservoir units and no other permits at the facility	\$7 per cleaning unit
27(e)	Industrial surface coating applications	\$580
27(k)	Metal parts and aerospace coating applications	\$580
27(v)	Adhesive application operations	\$580
Various	All other stationary sources	\$116

If the most representative nature of the activities cannot be determined for facilities with more than one source category description or fee schedule, the highest applicable annual emissions fee shall apply.

(v) District and State Air Toxic Hot Spots Fee: If applicable, the stationary source-specific fee required under the Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act as specified in Subsection (f)(7).

(vi) Annual Source Test Fee: If a periodic source test is required, the applicable source test fee, as specified in Fee Schedules 92 and/or 93.

(3) Staggered Renewal Dates

The District may initiate, or the owner of a Permit to Operate may request in writing, to change the renewal month of all permits located at a single facility. When the established renewal month for a facility is changed to a new renewal month, the amount

due for each permit shall be prorated to reflect the new renewal month. Revised permits will be issued after the prorated amount has been paid.

(4) Split Payment of Annual Operating Fees

Owners or operators may request, *due to financial hardship*, ~~to~~ split *the* payment of the Annual Operating Fees ~~due to financial hardship~~ *into four equal payments*. This request must be made in writing at least ~~one day~~ *seven days* prior to the due date. The first payment, *equal to 25% of the Annual Operating Fees*, plus an administrative fee of \$75, must be deposited by 5 PM Pacific Time on the ~~date the permit expires~~ *last day of the renewal month*. The ~~second~~ *subsequent three* payments, *equal to 25% each of the Annual Operating Fees*, ~~is~~ *are* due no later than 30, 60, and 90 days after the ~~date the permit expires~~ *last day of the renewal month*.

Permits *with approved split payment requests will* expire ~~on~~ *120 days after* the last day of the renewal month *if the Annual Operating Fees are not paid in full*. ~~The renewed permit or~~ will be issued *for the remainder of the annual period* after ~~the second full~~ payment *of the Annual Operating Fees* is made. *Failure to pay the Annual Operating Fees in full within 120 days after the last day of the renewal month, shall be assessed a late fee in the amount prescribed in Section (g) – Late Fees. Permits that have expired after the 120 days, pursuant to this subsection, will be renewed or reinstated if the requirements set out in Rule 10 – Permits Required Section (h) and this Rule 40 Section (h) are met.*

(5) Inactive Status Permits

A person who holds a valid permit who desires to have that permit placed on inactive status pursuant to Rule 10 – Permits Required shall submit an application requesting such change and shall pay the Initial Evaluation Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (1)). If such request is received at the time of annual renewal of the permit, the person shall also pay the annual Emission Unit Renewal Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (2)). Thereafter, the annual Emission Unit Renewal Fee for the inactive status permit shall be as specified in Fee Schedule 49(a)(Column (2)). When a person who holds a valid inactive status permit applies, in accordance with Rule 10, for the condition prohibiting operation to be removed and the permit returned to active status, the owner or operator shall pay the Initial Evaluation Fee specified in Fee Schedule 49(b)(Column (1)), any Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees required pursuant to Subsection (d)(5), and the applicable Annual Operating Fee specified in this Section (e) Annual Operating Fees for that category of emission unit with an active status permit, prorated for the portion of the permit renewal year remaining.

(6) Expiration and Retirement of Permits

(i) Expiration of Permits due to Non-Payment of Annual Operating Fees

If Annual Operating Fees are not paid by the permit expiration date, the permit will expire on that date. An expired permit may be renewed within six months of the expiration date as provided in Subsection (h)(2).

(ii) Retirement of Permits due to Non-payment of Annual Operating Fees

If Annual Operating Fees are not paid within six months from the permit expiration date, the permit will be retired on the day following the last day of the six-month period from the permit expiration date. A retired permit may be reinstated within six months of the retirement date as provided in Subsection (h)(3). Emission units for which a permit was not reinstated within six months of the retirement date will require an application for a new Permit to Operate.

(iii) Retirement by Permittee Request

Owners or operators may, at any time, request retirement of a valid permit(s). This request must be made in writing. Retired permit(s) may be reinstated within six months of the date of retirement as provided in Subsection (h)(3).

(f) SPECIFIC PROGRAM FEES

(1) General Provisions

For all of the applicable programs listed below, a late fee as described in Section (g) – Late Fees shall be assessed if the required fees are not paid within 30 days after the due date.

(2) Asbestos Demolition or Renovation Notification

For each asbestos demolition or renovation notification subject to Rule 1206 – Asbestos Removal, Renovation, and Demolition, the owner or operator shall pay the applicable fees specified below. For projects where one notification is submitted for both renovation and demolition operations, the owner or operator shall pay both applicable renovation and demolition fees. Fees are due at the time a notification is submitted. Notifications or revisions thereof will not be considered received unless accompanied with the required fees. The terms used below are defined in Rule 1206.

<u>TYPE OF OPERATION</u>	<u>Notification Fee</u>	<u>Online Notification Fee¹</u>
1. Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings having four or fewer dwelling units)		
<100 sq. ft.	\$666	\$488
≥100 sq. ft. to 500 sq. ft.	\$533-666	\$390-488
501 to 2,000 sq. ft.	\$593-741	\$450-563
2,001 to 5,000 sq. ft.	\$670-838	\$528-660

5,001 to 10,000 sq. ft.	\$ 680 - 850	\$ 538 - 673
>10,000 sq. ft.	\$ 806 - 1008	\$ 664 - 830
2. Planned (Annual) Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$ 119 - 124	\$ 119 - 124
3. Emergency Renovation Operations (add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$ 119 - 124	\$ 119 - 124
4. Demolition Operations Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites or sites with no asbestos present	\$ 660 - 825	\$ 517 - 646
5. Emergency Demolition Operations (add to demolition operation fee listed above)	\$ 119 - 124	\$ 119 - 124
6. Revised Notification Fee for Renovations, Demolitions, Planned Renovations, and Emergency Operations ² (NOTE: a revision is defined as a change in the original start date or when the amount of asbestos changes by greater than or equal to 20%.)	\$ 46 - 58	N/A
7. Cancellation Fee for Renovations or Demolitions Operations	\$ 60 - 75	N/A

Notes:

1. Online notification fees apply when the notification is submitted to the District using the ~~County of San Diego's~~ online Citizen Access Portal.
2. Additional fees may be required if the revised amount of asbestos to be removed increases to a higher category. The additional fee will be the difference between the fee paid and the fee required for the new category.

(3) Air Pollution Emergency Episode Plan Fee

The owner or operator of a facility for which a plan or a plan update is required by District Regulation VIII – San Diego Air Pollution Emergency Plan shall pay a \$147 evaluation fee for each plan or plan update, at the time the plan is submitted for review.

(4) Grid Search

Any school district, individual, business or agency that submits a request for the District to conduct a grid search to identify all facilities with the potential to emit hazardous air contaminants (pollutants) shall deposit an initial fee of \$362 at the time the grid search is requested. If the actual costs incurred are greater than the amount deposited, the school district, individual, business or agency that made the request shall submit an additional amount as specified by the District to recover the remaining actual costs of performing the grid search.

(5) New or Modified Power Plants

Any source subject to the requirements of Rule 20.5 - Power Plants, shall reimburse the District for the actual costs incurred in order to comply with the provisions of Rule 20.5. The applicant shall deposit the amount estimated to cover the actual cost at the time of application submittal.

(6) Toxic Hot Spots

The owner or operator of a facility who has been identified by the District as being subject to the requirements of California Health and Safety Code Section 44300 et seq. (the Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Information and Assessment Act), shall pay the applicable fees specified below to the District within 30 days of receipt of an invoice for the required fees.

(i) The owner or operator of a facility identified by the District as subject to any of the site-specific program requirements listed below shall pay an annual site-specific program fee. The amount of the site-specific program fee shall be equal to the actual costs incurred by the District associated with the site-specific program requirements for each affected facility.

(A) Toxic air contaminant emissions source testing when necessary to determine emissions for inclusion in a toxic air contaminant emissions inventory.

(B) ~~Public Health~~ Health risk assessment or updated ~~public~~ health risk assessment pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44360 et seq. or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(C) Public notification of ~~public~~ health risks pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44362 or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(D) Facility toxic air contaminant risk reduction audit and plan pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 44390 or Rule 1210 – Toxic Air Contaminant Public Health Risks-Public Notification and Risk Reduction.

(ii) In addition to the fee specified in Subsection (f)(7)(i), the owner or operator of a facility subject to the requirements of California Health and Safety Code Section 44300 et seq. shall pay an annual fee for the recovery of State program costs. The amount of the annual State program fee for each facility shall be that specified by the ~~ARB~~ California Air Resources Board in accordance with the State Air Toxics “Hot Spots” Fee Regulation contained in Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Section 90700 et seq.

(7) California Clean Air Act

The owner or operator of a stationary source who is required by Title 17, California Code of Regulations, Section 90800, et seq., to pay a fee adopted by the California Air Resources Board shall pay the required fee to the District within 30 days of receipt of an invoice for the required fees.

(8) Title V Operating Permit

The owner or operator of a stationary source subject to the requirements of Regulation XIV – Title V Operating Permits, shall pay the actual time and materials costs incurred by the District to review and act upon an application for initial permit, permit modification,

administrative permit amendment, Section 502(b)(10) change (42 U.S.C. §7661a), Trading Under an Emissions Cap Operational Flexibility change, enhanced Authority to Construct and/or Title V operating permit renewal; to evaluate such source for compliance with Regulation XIV and the terms and conditions of a Title V operating permit, including, but not limited to, the costs incurred to document such evaluation, to prepare reports, and to take any actions necessary in cases of noncompliance; to reopen an existing Title V operating permit; and to cancel a Title V operating permit. All such applications shall also pay the Non-refundable Processing Fee of \$85.

(9) Synthetic Minor Source Permit

The owner or operator of a stationary source that submits an application to obtain a Synthetic Minor Source (SMS) Permit pursuant to Rule 60.2 - Limiting Potential to Emit-Synthetic Minor Sources, shall pay the fees specified below to recover the actual costs incurred by the District to review and act upon an application for initial permit, permit modification and/or permit renewal.

<u>Non-refundable Processing Fee</u>	<u>\$85</u>
Application evaluation fee (new or modified permits)	T+M
SMS permit renewal fee	T+M

(10) Determination of Exemption

The owner or operator of any emission unit or process requesting a determination of exemption pursuant to Rule 11 – Exemptions from Rule 10 Permit Requirements, Subsection (d)(19), shall pay the Non-refundable Processing Fee of \$85, plus an evaluation fee based on T+M ~~(with an initial deposit of \$410)~~ to recover the actual costs incurred by the District to evaluate the emission unit or process.

(11) California Environmental Quality Act

Whenever the District is requested or required to conduct analyses, review or prepare documents, or conduct and/or participate in administrative procedures, meetings or hearings pursuant to CEQA, the District costs shall be paid by the persons requesting and/or receiving such services. District staff costs shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates. Costs to the District resulting from the activities of other agencies or consultants to the District necessary to provide such services shall be included in the total District costs. Persons requesting and/or receiving such services shall be charged the estimated cost of providing those services and shall deposit such amount to the District in advance of the service, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the District. If the actual costs incurred are greater than the amounts deposited, the persons requesting and/or receiving the services shall deposit additional amounts as specified by the District to recover the remaining actual costs. Any funds deposited in excess of actual costs incurred shall be refunded.

(g) LATE FEES

(1) Late fees for Annual Operating Fees due to the District shall apply as follows:

(i) A late fee of ~~30% percent~~ of the Annual Operating Fees due or \$250, whichever is less, shall be added for fees paid later than the last day of the renewal month.

(ii) An additional late fee of ~~10% percent~~ of the Annual Operating Fees due shall be added for each additional month or portion thereof that the fees remain unpaid.

(iii) In no case shall the late fees exceed ~~100% percent~~ of the total Annual Operating Fees.

(2) Late fees for any payments due to the District, except Annual Operating Fees, shall apply as follows:

(i) A late fee of ~~30% percent~~ of the amount due shall be added for payments made more than 30 days after the due date.

(ii) An additional late fee of ~~10% percent~~ of the amount due shall be added for each additional month or portion thereof that the payment is not received.

(iii) In no case shall the late fees exceed ~~100% percent~~ of the amount due.

(3) On a case-by-case basis, upon written request, the Air Pollution Control Officer may waive late fees due to financial hardship during declared federal, State, or local emergencies provided that the Annual Operating Fees, and any other payments due to the District, have been made in full.

(h) RENEWAL OF EXPIRED PERMIT(S) & REINSTATEMENT OF RETIRED PERMIT(S)

(1) General Provisions

In addition to the Annual Operating Fees due for renewing an expired permit or reinstating a retired permit, any applicable fees pursuant to Subsection (d)(6), such as an ownership change, change of location, or modification, shall be paid concurrently.

New owners seeking to renew or reinstate a retired permit are responsible for payment of all outstanding charges that are normally due and associated with that retired or expired permit.

(2) Renewal of Expired Permit(s) to Operate

An expired permit can be renewed within six months of the expiration date by paying the applicable Annual Operating Fees and the late fees as specified in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(3) Reinstatement of Retired Permit(s) to Operate

A retired permit can be reinstated within six months of the retirement date by submitting a written request, and paying the applicable Annual Operating Fees, a reinstatement fee of \$75 and the late fees as specified in Section (g) – Late Fees.

(i) REFUNDS, INSUFFICIENT PAYMENT OF FEES AND CANCELLATIONS

(1) General Provisions

(i) No refunds shall be issued for amounts of less than \$25.

(ii) If an applicant does not sign, date and return a refund claim form within six months after receipt of the form, all rights to a refund shall be forfeited.

(2) Application Fee Refunds

(i) If an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is withdrawn by the applicant:

(A) before the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$74~~85 Non-refundable Processing Fee.

(B) after the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$74~~85 Non-refundable Processing Fee, and all costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(ii) If an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate is denied or cancelled, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$74~~85 Non-refundable Processing Fee, the Initial Evaluation Fee (if a dollar amount is listed in Column (1), and not T+M), and all other costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(iii) Certificate of Registration Refunds: If an application for a Certificate of Registration is withdrawn by the applicant after the engineering evaluation has begun, or withdrawn seven days after the date of receipt, or the application is denied or cancelled, the District will refund the Initial Application Fee, less the ~~\$74~~85 Non-refundable Processing Fee, the Initial Evaluation Fee, and all other costs incurred by the District to evaluate the application.

(iv) Refund Due to Overpayment of T+M, Initial Evaluation Fees, or Additional Engineering Evaluation Fees: If the total cost incurred by the District to evaluate any application involving T+M fees is less than the amount deposited by the applicant, the District will refund any overage beyond its actual evaluation costs and less the ~~\$74~~85 Non-refundable Processing Fee. This provision does not apply to Initial Evaluation Fees for which a fixed amount is established in the Fee Schedules.

(v) Exempt Equipment Refunds: Except for requests for exemption processed according to Rule 40(f)(10), ~~if~~ the District determines that the article, machine equipment or other contrivance for which the application was submitted is not within the purview of state law or these Rules and Regulations, a full refund of the fees paid will be issued to the applicant. If a request for a determination of exemption is withdrawn by the applicant before the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire deposit and any other fees paid. If a request for a determination of exemption is withdrawn by the applicant after the engineering evaluation has begun, the District will refund the entire deposit and any other fees paid, less any costs incurred by the District to evaluate the request.

(3) Annual Operating Fee Refunds

A refund of the Annual Operating Fees shall not be issued unless the fees for the upcoming year are paid prior to the Permit to Operate renewal date and the request for a refund of these fees is made prior to the Permit to Operate renewal date. No refunds will be made for fees or late payments made after the due date.

(4) Air Contaminant Emissions Fee Refunds

(i) New Facilities: The Air Contaminant Emissions Fee portion of the Initial Application Fee shall only be refunded if the application is withdrawn or cancelled prior to the issuance of a Startup Authorization or Permit to Operate.

(ii) Existing Facilities: Air Contaminant Emissions Fees paid by existing facilities as part of their Annual Operating Fee or an Initial Application Fee shall not be refundable, unless all Permit(s) to Operate at the facility are retired.

(5) Other Fees

Asbestos Notifications: Refunds of asbestos notification fees shall be issued only if a cancellation notice is received by the District prior to the notification start date. A refund will not be issued if the notice of cancellation is received by the District on or after the notification start date.

(6) Cancellation Fees – Source Testing and Test Witnessing

Substitution of another facility for a scheduled test shall be considered a cancellation subject to the provisions listed below.

(i) Fee Schedule 92(a): If a source test cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled source test date a cancellation fee of \$500 shall be charged.

(ii) Fee Schedules 92(b-z) and 93: If a source test or test witnessing cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled source test date a cancellation fee of \$250 shall be charged.

(iii) Vapor Recovery (Phase I, II): If a VOC vapor recovery system test witness cancellation notice is not received at least two working days prior to a scheduled test date a cancellation fee of \$250 shall be charged.

(7) Insufficient Payment of Fees

(i) If the fees deposited by an applicant to cover the cost of evaluating an application for an Authority to Construct/Permit to Operate or other District evaluation is insufficient to complete the work in progress, the applicant shall deposit an amount deemed sufficient by the District to complete the work, except if the amount is \$25 or less.

(ii) The Air Pollution Control Officer may cancel an application when an applicant fails or refuses to deposit such amount within 45 days of demand or fails or refuses to deposit such amount by the date required by Rule 18 – Action on Applications for action to be taken on the application, whichever date is sooner.

(iii) If the applicant fails or refuses to deposit such amount upon demand, the District may recover the same through a collection agency or by action in any court of competent jurisdiction, including small claims court. Until such amount is paid in full, the District shall not further process the application unless the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed.

(iv) Returned Checks: Any person who issues a check to the District, which is returned by the bank upon which it is drawn without payment, shall pay a returned check fee of \$25.

(v) The Air Pollution Control Officer may refuse to process an application and/or refuse to renew a Permit to Operate if the applicant has any unpaid invoices more than 60 days overdue or has any late fees or outstanding court judgments which are owed to the District. The Air Pollution Control Officer may refuse to process an application if a prior applicant for the equipment or project which is the subject of the application has unpaid invoices or late fees related to that equipment or project.

In the event that processing of an application is stopped pursuant to this provision, the timelines for taking action on an application specified in Rule 18 – Action on Applications shall no longer apply to that application.

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF FEE SCHEDULES BY EMISSION UNIT TYPE

Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths	Schedule 2
Abrasive Blasting Equipment - Excluding Rooms and Booths	Schedule 1
Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Adhesive Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Adhesive Materials Application Operations.....	Schedule 27
Air Stripping Equipment.....	Schedule 52
Anodizing Tanks.....	Schedule 55
Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))	Schedule 27
Asbestos Control Equipment	Schedule 59
Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers	Schedule 40
Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt.....	Schedule 3
Automotive Refinishing Operations	Schedule 27
Bakeries	Schedule 58
Boilers and Heaters.....	Schedule 13
Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Bulk Terminal Grain Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23
Burn Out Ovens	Schedule 15
Can and Coil Manufacturing and Coating Operations.....	Schedule 33
Cement Silo System (Separate from Plants).....	Schedule 8
Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Ceramic Slip Casting	Schedule 43
Coffee Roasters.....	Schedule 50
Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Concrete Batch Plants.....	Schedule 8
Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants	Schedule 9
Copper Etching	Schedule 32
Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Dry Chemical Mixing.....	Schedule 24
Dry Chemical Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23
Dry Cleaning Facilities	Schedule 31
Electronic Component Manufacturing.....	Schedule 42
Electric Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Engines - Internal Combustion	Schedule 34
Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials	Schedule 44
Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants.....	Schedule 22
Filtration Membrane Manufacturing	Schedule 46
Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Gasoline Stations	Schedule 26
Grinding Booths and Rooms	Schedule 36
Hexavalent Chromium Plating	Schedule 55
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants.....	Schedule 4
Industrial Coating Applications.....	Schedule 27

Alphabetical List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type - continued

Industrial Waste Water Treatment.....	Schedule 51
Ink Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Intermediate Refueler Facilities (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Internal Combustion Engines (Piston Type).....	Schedule 34
Internal Combustion Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 34
Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	Schedule 30
Marine Coatings	Schedule 27
Metal Inspection Tanks.....	Schedule 28
Metal Melting Devices	Schedule 18
Municipal Waste Storage and Processing.....	Schedule 48
Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities	Schedule 26
Non-Municipal Incinerators.....	Schedule 14
Non-Operational Status Equipment.....	Schedule 49
Oil Quenching	Schedule 19
Organic Gas Sterilizers	Schedule 47
Paint and Stain Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Paper Shredders or Grinders.....	Schedule 21
Perlite Processing.....	Schedule 41
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing.....	Schedule 54
Plasma Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37
Precious Metals Refining.....	Schedule 39
Rock Drills.....	Schedule 5
Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19
Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules.....	Schedule 6
Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants.....	Schedule 7
Sewage Treatment Facilities.....	Schedule 56
Soil Remediation Equipment.....	Schedule 52
Solder Paste Manufacturing.....	Schedule 38
Soldering Equipment (Automated).....	Schedule 29
Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44
Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Vapor Solvent Cleaning Operations	Schedule 28
Wood Shredders or Grinders	Schedule 21

CATEGORIZED LIST OF FEE SCHEDULES BY EMISSION UNIT TYPE

ABRASIVE BLASTING EQUIPMENT

Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths	Schedule 2
Abrasive Blasting Equipment - Excluding Rooms and Booths	Schedule 1

ASPHALT RELATED OPERATIONS, EQUIPMENT AND PROCESSES

Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers	Schedule 40
Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt.....	Schedule 3
Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants.....	Schedule 4

COATING, ADHESIVE AND INK APPLICATION EQUIPMENT & OPERATIONS

Adhesive Materials Application Operations.....	Schedule 27
Automotive Refinishing Operations	Schedule 27
Can and Coil Coating Operations	Schedule 33
Graphic Arts Operations	Schedule 27
Industrial Coating Applications.....	Schedule 27
Miscellaneous Parts Coatings	Schedule 27
Wood, Metal, Marine, Aerospace Coatings.....	Schedule 27

CONCRETE EQUIPMENT

Cement Silo System (Separate from Plants).....	Schedule 8
Concrete Batch Plants.....	Schedule 8
Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity	Schedule 8
Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants.....	Schedule 9

COMBUSTION AND HEAT TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

Boilers and Heaters.....	Schedule 13
Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 20
Internal Combustion Engines (Piston Type).....	Schedule 34
Internal Combustion Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands	Schedule 34
Non-Municipal Incinerators.....	Schedule 14

DRY CHEMICAL OPERATIONS

Dry Chemical Mixing.....	Schedule 24
Dry Chemical Storage System.....	Schedule 35
Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment.....	Schedule 23

ELECTRONIC MANUFACTURING

Electronic Component Manufacturing.....	Schedule 42
Soldering Equipment (Automated).....	Schedule 29

FOOD PROCESSING AND PREPARATION EQUIPMENT

Bakeries	Schedule 58
Bulk Flour and Powdered Sugar Storage Systems	Schedule 35
Coffee Roasters.....	Schedule 50

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type - continued

FUEL STORAGE, TRANSFER AND DISPENSING EQUIPMENT

Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Gasoline Stations	Schedule 26
Intermediate Refueler Facilities (Volatile Organic Compounds)	Schedule 25
Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities	Schedule 26

MACHINING EQUIPMENT

Grinding Booths and Rooms	Schedule 36
Paper or Wood Shredders or Grinders.....	Schedule 21
Plasma, Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths.....	Schedule 37

METAL TREATMENT OPERATIONS

Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Copper Etching.....	Schedule 32
Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks	Schedule 55
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Oil Quenching and Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19

METALLURGICAL PROCESSING EQUIPMENT

Acid Chemical Milling	Schedule 32
Can and Coil Manufacturing Operations.....	Schedule 33
Copper Etching.....	Schedule 32
Hot Dip Galvanizing.....	Schedule 32
Metal Inspection Tanks.....	Schedule 28
Metal Melting Devices	Schedule 18
Oil Quenching and Salt Baths.....	Schedule 19
Plasma and Electric Deposition Spray Booths	Schedule 37
Precious Metals Refining.....	Schedule 39

MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURING AND PROCESSING

Ceramic Slip Casting	Schedule 43
Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44
Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants.....	Schedule 22
Filtration Membrane Manufacturing	Schedule 46
Ink Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	Schedule 30
Municipal Waste Storage and Processing.....	Schedule 48
Non-Operational Status Equipment	Schedule 49
Organic Gas Sterilizers	Schedule 47
Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	Schedule 38
Perlite Processing.....	Schedule 41
Pharmaceutical Manufacturing.....	Schedule 54
Stills Processing Organic Materials.....	Schedule 44

MIXING, BLENDING AND PACKAGING EQUIPMENT

Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity.....	Schedule 8
Dry Chemical Mixing	Schedule 24

Categorized List Of Fee Schedules By Emission Unit Type - continued

OVENS

Burn Out Ovens Schedule 15

SAND, ROCK AND AGGREGATE RELATED OPERATIONS

Rock Drills..... Schedule 5

Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations..... Schedule 6

Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants..... Schedule 7

SOLVENT CLEANING OPERATIONS

Cold Solvent and Remote Reservoir Cleaning Operations..... Schedule 28

Dry Cleaning Facilities Schedule 31

Vapor Solvent Cleaning Operations Schedule 28

SPRAY BOOTH OPERATIONS

Coating, Adhesives and Painting Operations Schedule 27

Plasma, Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths..... Schedule 37

STORAGE AND TRANSFER EQUIPMENT

Bulk Flour and Powdered Sugar Storage Systems Schedule 35

Bulk Plants and Terminals (Volatile Organic Compounds) Schedule 25

Bulk Terminal Grain Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment..... Schedule 23

Dry Chemical Storage Systems Schedule 35

Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment..... Schedule 23

TREATMENT AND REMEDIATION OPERATIONS

Air Stripping Equipment..... Schedule 52

Asbestos Control Equipment Schedule 59

Evaporators, Dryers, and Stills Processing Organic Materials..... Schedule 44

Industrial Waste Water Treatment..... Schedule 51

Sewage Treatment Facilities..... Schedule 56

Soil Remediation Equipment..... Schedule 52

FEE SCHEDULES

The Fee Schedules shall be used in determining the Initial Evaluation Fees and Emission Unit Renewal Fees using the amounts listed in Columns (1) and (2), respectively for each emission unit. The fees specified below do not include all applicable fees. See Sections (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i) for other required fees.

SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths

Any permit unit consisting of air hoses, with or without water lines, with a single pot rated at 100 pounds capacity or more of sand regardless of abrasive used, and a nozzle or nozzles. (Equipment not operated solely in Schedule 2 facilities).

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$606 - 697	\$198 - 228
(b) Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$1358 - 1562	\$170 - 196
(c) Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$1759 - 2023	\$160 - 184
(d) Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	\$1358 - 1562	\$160 - 184
(x) Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$418 - 481	\$234 - 269

SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$3627 - 4171	\$347 - 399
(b) Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$4191 - 4820	\$373 - 429

SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	\$1081 - 1243	\$221 - 254
(w) Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$281 - 323	\$197 - 227

SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	T+M	\$1205 - 1386

SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(w) Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12-07 12.1 Regulation III	\$473 - 544	\$256 - 294 Rule 40
	D-26	

SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules

Fee Unit	(1)	(2)
	Initial Evaluation Fee	Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Screen Set	\$3398 <u>3908</u>	\$384 <u>442</u>
(x) Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$486 <u>559</u>	\$254 <u>292</u>

SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants

Fee Unit	(1)	(2)
	Initial Evaluation Fee	Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	T+M	\$652 <u>750</u>
(b) Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	T+M	\$316 <u>363</u>
(c) Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	T+M	\$312 <u>359</u>
(x) Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$486 <u>559</u>	\$236 <u>271</u>

SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems

Fee Unit	(1)	(2)
	Initial Evaluation Fee	Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	T+M	\$647 <u>744</u>
(b) Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	T+M	\$239 <u>275</u>
(c) Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	T+M	\$373 <u>429</u>
(d) RESERVED		
(x) Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant or stand-alone Cementitious Material Storage Silo, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$537 <u>618</u>	\$271 <u>312</u>

SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit	(1)	(2)
	Initial Evaluation Fee	Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Plant	T+M	\$459 <u>528</u>

SCHEDULE 10: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 11: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 12: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$2347-2699	\$307-353
(b) Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+M	\$426-490
(c) RESERVED		
(d) Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+M	\$879-1011
(e) RESERVED		
(f) Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	\$2270-2611	\$267-307
(g) RESERVED		
(h) RESERVED		
(w) <u>Each unit greater than 2 MM BTU/HR to less than 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12</u>	<u>\$782</u>	<u>\$231</u>

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Crematory or waste incinerator burning*	T+M	\$668-768
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	T+M	\$317-365

*Excluding units of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.

SCHEDULE 15: Burn-Out Ovens

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+M	\$316-363
(b) RESERVED		
(c) RESERVED		
(d) USN SIMA (ID #APCD1981-SITE-02798)*	T+M	\$194-223

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 16: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 17: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Each Pit or Stationary Crucible/Pot Furnace	T+M	\$324 <u>373</u>
(d) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Tank	T+M	\$191 <u>220</u>

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND TURBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS		
(a) Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+M	\$312 <u>359</u>
(b) Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+M	\$175 <u>201</u>
(c) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+M	\$134 <u>154</u>
GAS TURBINE ENGINES		
(d) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+M	\$822 <u>945</u>
(e) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+M	\$1029 <u>1183</u>
(f) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+M	\$2955 <u>3398</u>
(g) Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+M	\$295 <u>339</u>
(h) Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+M	\$211 <u>243</u>

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+M	\$266 <u>306</u>
(w) <u>Each Paper Shredder with a maximum throughput capacity of greater than 600 pounds per hour, Registered Under Rule 12</u>	<u>\$753</u>	<u>\$366</u>

SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+M	\$ 379 <u>436</u>
(b) Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+M	\$ 354 <u>407</u>
(c) Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	T+M	\$ 375 <u>431</u>
(d) Each Mixer System	T+M	\$ 790 <u>909</u>
(e) Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+M	\$ 396 <u>455</u>
(f) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	T+M	\$ 447 <u>514</u>
(b) Each Storage Silo System	\$ 1472 <u>1693</u>	\$ 260 <u>299</u>
(c) Each Loadout Station System	T+M	\$ 278 <u>320</u>
(d) Each Belt Transfer Station	T+M	\$ 278 <u>320</u>
(w) <u>Each Grain Silo at beer breweries producing less than 100,000 barrels (3.1 million gallons) per year, Registered Under Rule 12</u>	\$ <u>753</u>	\$ <u>344</u>

SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard	T+M	\$ 205 <u>236</u>

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
1. Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:		
(a) Per Tank	T+M	\$ 222 <u>255</u>
(b) Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+M	N/A
(c) Per Truck Loading Head	T+M	\$ 1303 <u>1498</u>
(d) Per Vapor Processor	T+M	\$ 316 <u>363</u>
(g) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities – continued

- 2. Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:
 - (e) Per Tank T+M \$355-408
 - (f) Per Truck Loading Head T+M \$321-369

“Vapor Processor” means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compounds by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, absorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.
- 3. Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR’s) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:
 - (h) Per IR Loading Connector T+M \$374-430

If a facility falls into Parts 1, 2, or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the “per nozzle” fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.

**SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities
Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Facilities where Phase I and Phase II controls are required (includes Phase I fee) Renewal Fee: Fee x number of nozzles	\$2368 <u>2723</u>	<u>\$218-251</u>
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Facilities where only Phase I controls are required (includes tank replacement) Fee Per Facility	\$2201 <u>2531</u>	\$462 <u>531</u>
(d) RESERVED		
(e) Non-retail facilities with 250-550 gallon tanks and no other non-bulk gasoline dispensing permits Fee Per Facility	\$685 <u>788</u>	\$406 <u>467</u>

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Marine Coating application operation, except where Fee Schedule 27(t) applies	\$2614 <u>3006</u>	\$635 <u>730</u>
(b) RESERVED		
(c) RESERVED		
(t) Each Marine Coating application operation at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and < 100 gallons/year	\$1177 <u>1354</u>	\$429 <u>493</u>
(x) RESERVED		
(y) RESERVED		
(z) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))
 – continued

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING

(Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.)

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(d) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$2252 - 2590	\$709 - 815
(e) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$874 - 1005
(f) Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Except If Using Only Polyester Resin	\$3596 - 4135	\$782 - 899
(g) RESERVED		
(h) RESERVED		
(i) Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	T+M	\$1267 - 1457
(j) Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$4868 - 5598	\$730 - 840
(k) Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M	\$752 - 865
(l) Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings	\$3343 - 3844	\$694 - 798
(m) RESERVED		
(n) Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	\$1816 2088	\$412 - 474
(o) Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	T+M	\$535 - 615
(p) Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon/day or < 50 gallons/year	\$2252 - 2590	\$469 - 539
(q) Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons/year for Wood Products Coating Operations	\$3343 - 3844	\$592 - 681

PART 3 – MOTOR VEHICLE AND MOBILE EQUIPMENT REFINISHING OPERATIONS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(r) Each facility applying Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$2813 - 3235	\$854 - 982
(s) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))
 – continued

PART 4 - ADHESIVE MATERIALS APPLICATION OPERATIONS

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(u) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1765-2030	\$507-583
(v) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1765-2030	\$935-1075
(w) Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	\$1765-2030	\$556-639

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet	T+M	\$354-407
(b) Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	\$1554-1787	\$269-309
(c) RESERVED		
(d) Each Paint Stripping Tank	\$1964-2259	\$266-306
(e) RESERVED		
(f) Remote Reservoir Cleaners	\$689-792	\$255-293
(g) RESERVED		
(h) Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area ≤ 5 square feet	\$599-689	\$317-365
(i) Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	\$442-508	\$238-274
(j) Metal Inspection Tanks	\$1211-1393	\$222-255
(k) Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	T+M	\$29-33
(l) Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of ≤ 5 square feet	T+M	\$12-14
(m) Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	T+M	\$637-733

SCHEDULE 29: Automated Soldering Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Solder Leveler	\$2733-3143	\$368-423

SCHEDULE 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	T+M	\$1191-1370

SCHEDULE 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	\$1242-1428	\$628-722
(b) Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+M	\$386-444

SCHEDULE 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Copper Etching Tank	T+M	\$505-581
(b) Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+M	\$434-499
(c) Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+M	\$511-588

SCHEDULE 33: ~~Can and Coil Manufacturing and Coating Operations~~ RESERVED

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines and Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Processes

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine with Add-on Control Equipment	T+M	\$795-914
(b) Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine without Add-on Control Equipment	T+M	\$483-555
(c) Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$2991-3440	\$329-378
(d) Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation \geq 200 horsepower	T+M	\$518-596
(e) Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	T+M	\$478-550
(f) Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+M	\$160-184
(g) Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation < 200 horsepower	\$2450-2818	\$322-370
(h) Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$2176-2502	\$284-327
(i) Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand	T+M	\$312-359
(l) Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	T+M	\$419-482
(w) Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	\$319-367	\$270-311
(x) Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$524-603	\$258-297
(z) Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12, Conversion from Valid Permit	\$349-401	N/A

SCHEDULE 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each System	T+M	\$259 <u>298</u>

SCHEDULE 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Booth or Room	\$2176 <u>2502</u>	\$334 <u>384</u>

SCHEDULE 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Application Station	T+M	\$422 <u>485</u>
(c) Flame Spray (ID #APCD1976-SITE-00274)*	T+M	\$312 <u>359</u>

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	T+M	\$253 <u>291</u>
(b) Each Can Filling Line	T+M	\$269 <u>309</u>
(c) Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	T+M	\$539 <u>620</u>
(d) Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year	T+M	\$1051 <u>1209</u>
(f) Ferro Electronic Material Systems (ID #APCD2001-SITE-04439)*	T+M	\$636 <u>731</u>

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 39: Precious Metals Refining

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$589 <u>677</u>

SCHEDULE 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(x) Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1	\$554 <u>637</u>	\$275 <u>316</u>

SCHEDULE 41: Perlite Processing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$362 <u>416</u>
(b) Aztec Perlite (ID #APCD1978-SITE-01598)*	T+M	\$816 <u>938</u>

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$549 <u>631</u>
(b) Each Screen Printing Operation	T+M	\$454 <u>522</u>
(c) Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	T+M	\$545 <u>627</u>
(d) Each Conformal Coating Operation	T+M	\$693 <u>797</u>

SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$556 <u>639</u>

SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	T+M	\$324 <u>373</u>
(b) Solvent Recovery Stills, on-site, batch-type, solvent usage > 350 gallons per day	\$1998 <u>2298</u>	\$330 <u>380</u>

SCHEDULE 45: RESERVED**SCHEDULE 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Process Line	T+M	\$519 <u>597</u>

SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Organic Gas Sterilizer/Aerator requiring control	T+M	\$546 <u>628</u>
(b) RESERVED		

SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M	\$ 2134 - 2454
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M	\$ 5286 - 6079

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$ 210 - 242	\$ 272 - 313
(b) Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$ 188 - 216	N/A

SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Coffee Roaster	\$ 2679 - 3081	\$ 359 - 413

SCHEDULE 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each On-site Processing Line	\$ 2275 - 2616	\$ 408 - 469
(b) RESERVED		
(c) USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID #APCD1986-SITE-02755)*	T+M	\$ 1084 - 1247

*Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping and Soil Remediation Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Air Stripping Equipment	T+M	\$ 538 - 619
(b) Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)	T+M	\$ 626 - 720

SCHEDULE 53: RESERVED**SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing**

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	T+M	\$ 723 - 831

SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks, and Chromate Conversion Coating Tanks

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Hard or Decorative Chrome Plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System	T+M	\$1891 - <u>2175</u>
(b) Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	T+M	\$1025 - <u>1179</u>
(c) RESERVED		
(d) Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	T+M	\$320 - <u>368</u>

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Each Wastewater Treatment Facility, or Each Water Reclamation Facility	T+M	\$1017 - <u>1170</u>
(b) Each Wastewater Pump Station	T+M	\$547 - <u>629</u>

SCHEDULE 57: RESERVED

SCHEDULE 58: Bakeries

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	T+M	\$608 - <u>699</u>

SCHEDULE 59: Asbestos Control Equipment

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) RESERVED		
(b) RESERVED		
(c) Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	\$1660 - <u>1909</u>	\$305 - <u>351</u>

SCHEDULES 60 THROUGH 90 RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates

Fee Unit	(1) Initial Evaluation Fee	(2) Emission Unit Renewal Fee
(a) Miscellaneous Operations	T+M	\$438 - <u>504</u>

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Performed by the District

The owner or operator of an emission unit which requires source testing to determine compliance shall pay the applicable source test fee(s) listed below if the source testing is performed by the District or a District contractor. If the source test requires significantly more on-site time than is provided by the fixed fees specified below (e.g., tall stacks), the additional costs incurred by the District shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94 and related material and other costs. The owner or operator shall pay such fees upon notification from the District that such fees are required.

<u>Fee Unit</u>	<u>Fee</u>
(a) RESERVED	
(b) RESERVED	
(c) Each Sulfur Oxides Source Test	T+M
(d) Annual Fee for each Biennial Cycle Test for NOx and CO (1/2 the cost of one test)	\$1166 <u>1341</u>
(e) Each Ethylene Oxide Source Test	T+M
(f) Each Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$2333 <u>2682</u>
(g) Each Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$2690 <u>3093</u>
(h) Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of > 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M
(i) Each Ammonia Source Test	\$1114 <u>1281</u>
(j) Continuous Emission Monitor System Evaluation	T+M
(k) Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of < 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M
(l) RESERVED	
(m) Each Mass Emissions Source Test	\$1100 <u>1265</u>
(n) RESERVED	
(o) Each Multiple Metals Source Test	T+M
(p) Each Chromium Source Test	T+M
(q) Each VOC Onsite Analysis	\$5129 <u>5898</u>
(r) Each VOC Offsite Analysis	\$1202 <u>1382</u>
(s) Each Hydrogen Sulfide Source Test	T+M
(t) Each Acid Gas Source Test	T+M
(u) RESERVED	
(v) Annual Fee for Optional Source Test Pilot Study	T+M
(w) Each Particulate Matter Source Test	\$3297 <u>3791</u>
(x) Each Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test	\$7355 <u>8458</u>
(y) Each Particulate Matter and Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen Source Test	\$5260 <u>6049</u>
(z) Miscellaneous Source Test (Special Tests not Listed)	T+M

SCHEDULE 93: Witness of Source Tests Performed by Independent Contractors

The owner or operator of an emission unit which requires source testing to determine compliance for the purpose of quantifying emissions to determine whether a Permit to Operate shall be issued or if the emission unit is in compliance, and chooses to have the testing performed by an independent contractor, shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to observe such testing and review the resulting source test report.

Any person, company, agency that requests review of a test procedure shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District to review such test procedures. Such requests shall be accompanied by an amount estimated to cover actual District costs.

Fee Unit	Fee
(a) Test Witness and Report Review	T+M
(b) RESERVED	
(c) Test Procedure Review	T+M
(d) Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness	\$2392 <u>2751</u>
(e) Each Ethylene Oxide Test Witness Day	\$1976 <u>2272</u>

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates

Employee Classification (Fee Unit)	Hourly Rate
Air Pollution Control Aide (94u)	\$57 <u>66</u>
Air Pollution Control Civil Actions Investigator (94x)	\$135 <u>155</u>
Air Pollution Test Technician RESERVED (94m)	\$89
Air Quality Inspector I RESERVED (94o)	\$153
Air Quality Inspector II (94e)	\$168 <u>193</u>
Air Quality Specialist (94z)	\$100 <u>115</u>
Assistant Air Resources Specialist RESERVED (94s)	\$85
Assistant Chemist RESERVED (94i)	\$110
Assistant Engineer RESERVED (94b)	\$170
Assistant Meteorologist RESERVED (94g)	\$100
Associate Air Resources Specialist (94q)	\$168 <u>193</u>
Associate Chemist (94j)	\$119 <u>137</u>
Associate Engineer (94c)	\$171 <u>197</u>
Associate Meteorologist (94r)	\$119 <u>137</u>
Instrument Technician I RESERVED (94l)	\$94
Instrument Technician II RESERVED (94n)	\$92
Senior Chemist (94k)	\$143 <u>164</u>
Senior Engineer (94d)	\$207 <u>238</u>
Senior Meteorologist RESERVED (94h)	\$132
Supervising Air Quality Inspector (94f)	\$238 <u>247</u>
Supervising Air Resource Specialist RESERVED (94y)	\$146
Supervising Instrument Technician RESERVED (94t)	\$99

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis

When the District determines a sample and/or analysis is needed for the purpose of determining potential emissions and/or determining compliance with District Rules and Regulations, the actual T+M costs incurred by the District for collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the reports, shall be paid by the permittee, applicant or other persons for activities for which a Permit is not required.

**SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs Incurred by the District for Sources
Not in Compliance**

Whenever the District is requested or required to provide consultation, testing or inspection to any person or facility, beyond the consultation testing and inspection covered by the permit fees, or related to a Notice of Violation and/or Notice to Comply, the person or facility shall pay the actual T+M costs incurred by the District for the cost of such services.

SCHEDULE 97: Other Charges

Whenever the District is requested or required to provide consultation, legally required testimony, testing, inspection, engineering or services, the cost of such services shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Fee Schedule 94 – Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates. Persons requesting and/or receiving such services shall be charged the estimated cost of providing such services and shall deposit such amount to the District in advance of the service, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the District. In the case of consultations requested prior to filing an application, any funds deposited in excess of actual costs incurred for such consultations shall be refunded or applied as a credit against required application fees.

RULE 42. HEARING BOARD FEES (Adopted ~~April 10, 2019~~ *(date of adoption)* & Effective ~~July 1, 2019~~ ~~December 1, 2021~~ January 1, 2022)

(a) This rule shall not apply to petitions filed by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

(b) Every petitioner in a proceeding before the Hearing Board shall pay to the Clerk of the Hearing Board, on filing, a filing fee for each petition, including each petition for rehearing, in the amount shown below:

- (1) For each petition for Regular Variance.....~~\$1197~~ 1496
- (2) For each petition for an Interim & Regular Variance.....~~\$1459~~ 1824
- (3) For each petition for 90-Day Variance.....~~\$1259~~ 1574
- (4) For each petition for an Emergency Variance pursuant to the provisions of Rule 97 – Emergency Variance or Rule 98 – Breakdown Conditions-Emergency Variance~~\$977~~ 1221
- (5) For each petition filed pursuant to Rule 25 – Appeals.....~~\$1544~~ 1930
- (6) For each petition to modify an existing variance or abatement order ~~\$888~~ 1110

(c) In the event that a petition is withdrawn or a hearing is not held for any reason, the petitioner shall be entitled to a refund of the filing fee, less the actual costs incurred.

(d) The Hearing Board may waive all or part of the fees specified in Subsection (b)(5) if it determines that circumstances warrant that waiver. Any request for such a waiver shall be submitted with the petition, which may be submitted without the required fees. If the waiver request is denied by the Hearing Board, the required fees shall be submitted by the end of business day following the hearing on the waiver request.

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths							
1 A	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$ 606	\$ 697	\$ 91	\$ 198	\$ 228	\$ 30
1 B	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	\$ 1,358	\$ 1,562	\$ 204	\$ 170	\$ 196	\$ 26
1 C	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$ 1,759	\$ 2,023	\$ 264	\$ 160	\$ 184	\$ 24
1 D	Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	\$ 1,358	\$ 1,562	\$ 204	\$ 160	\$ 184	\$ 24
1 X	Each Portable Abrasive Blasting Unit, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$ 418	\$ 481	\$ 63	\$ 234	\$ 269	\$ 35
Schedule 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths							
2 A	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$ 3,627	\$ 4,171	\$ 544	\$ 347	\$ 399	\$ 52
2 B	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	\$ 4,191	\$ 4,820	\$ 629	\$ 373	\$ 429	\$ 56
Schedule 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt							
3 A	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons	\$ 1,081	\$ 1,243	\$ 162	\$ 221	\$ 254	\$ 33
3 W	Each Kettle or Tanker, Registered Under Rule 12	\$ 281	\$ 323	\$ 42	\$ 197	\$ 227	\$ 30
Schedule 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant							
4 A	Each Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,205	\$ 1,386	\$ 181
Schedule 5: Rock Drills							
5 W	Each Drill, Registered Under Rule 12 or 12.1	\$ 473	\$ 544	\$ 71	\$ 256	\$ 294	\$ 38
Schedule 6: Sand, Rock, Aggregate Screens, and Other Screening Operations, when not used in Conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules							
6 A	Each Screen Set	\$ 3,398	\$ 3,908	\$ 510	\$ 384	\$ 442	\$ 58
6 X	Each Portable Sand and Gravel Screen Set, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$ 486	\$ 559	\$ 73	\$ 254	\$ 292	\$ 38
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants							
7 A	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 652	\$ 750	\$ 98
7 B	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 316	\$ 363	\$ 47

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants - continued							
7 C	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 312	\$ 359	\$ 47
7 X	Each Portable Rock Crushing System, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$ 486	\$ 559	\$ 73	\$ 236	\$ 271	\$ 35
Schedule 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems							
8 A	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 647	\$ 744	\$ 97
8 B	Each Mixer over one cubic yard capacity	T+M		\$ -	\$ 239	\$ 275	\$ 36
8 C	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a Permit	T+M		\$ -	\$ 373	\$ 429	\$ 56
8 D	RESERVED						
8 X	Each Portable Concrete Batch Plant or stand-alone Cementitious Material Storage Silo, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$ 537	\$ 618	\$ 81	\$ 271	\$ 312	\$ 41
Schedule 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants							
9 A	Each Plant	T+M		\$ -	\$ 459	\$ 528	\$ 69
Schedule 10: RESERVED							
Schedule 11: RESERVED							
Schedule 12: RESERVED							
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters							
13 A	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	\$ 2,347	\$ 2,699	\$ 352	\$ 307	\$ 353	\$ 46
13 B	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+M		\$ -	\$ 426	\$ 490	\$ 64
13 D	Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 879	\$ 1,011	\$ 132
13 F	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located	\$ 2,270	\$ 2,611	\$ 341	\$ 267	\$ 307	\$ 40

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 13: Boilers and Heaters - continued							
13 G	RESERVED						
13 H	RESERVED						
13 W	Each 2 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 5 MM BTU/HR, Registered Under Rule 12	\$ -	\$ 782	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 231	\$ -
Schedule 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators							
14 A	Crematory or waste incinerator burning *	T+M		\$ -	\$ 668	\$ 768	\$ 100
14 B	RESERVED						
14 C	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals	T+M		\$ -	\$ 317	\$ 365	\$ 48
*Excluding units of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.							
Schedule 15: Burn-Out Ovens							
15 A	Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+M		\$ -	\$ 316	\$ 363	\$ 47
15 C	RESERVED						
15 D	USN SIMA (ID # APCD1981-SITE-02798) *	T+M		\$ -	\$ 194	\$ 223	\$ 29
* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)							
Schedule 16: RESERVED							
Schedule 17: RESERVED							
Schedule 18: Metal Melting Devices							
18 C	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible	T+M		\$ -	\$ 324	\$ 373	\$ 49
18 D	RESERVED						
Schedule 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths							
19 A	Each Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 191	\$ 220	\$ 29

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands							
	GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND TURBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS						
20 A	Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+M		\$ -	\$ 312	\$ 359	\$ 47
20 B	Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+M		\$ -	\$ 175	\$ 201	\$ 26
20 C	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+M		\$ -	\$ 134	\$ 154	\$ 20
	GAS TURBINE ENGINES						
20 D	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+M		\$ -	\$ 822	\$ 945	\$ 123
20 E	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,029	\$ 1,183	\$ 154
20 F	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+M		\$ -	\$ 2,955	\$ 3,398	\$ 443
20 G	Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 295	\$ 339	\$ 44
20 H	Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 211	\$ 243	\$ 32
Schedule 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units							
21 A	Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+M		\$ -	\$ 266	\$ 306	\$ 40
21 W	Each Paper Shredder	\$ -	\$ 753	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 366	\$ -
Schedule 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants							
22 A	Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 379	\$ 436	\$ 57
22 B	Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+M		\$ -	\$ 354	\$ 407	\$ 53
22 C	Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	T+M		\$ -	\$ 375	\$ 431	\$ 56
22 D	Each Mixer System	T+M		\$ -	\$ 790	\$ 909	\$ 119
22 E	Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+M		\$ -	\$ 396	\$ 455	\$ 59
22 F	RESERVED						

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment							
23 A	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading	T+M		\$ -	\$ 447	\$ 514	\$ 67
23 B	Each Storage Silo System	\$ 1,472	\$ 1,693	\$ 221	\$ 260	\$ 299	\$ 39
23 C	Each Loadout Station System	T+M		\$ -	\$ 278	\$ 320	\$ 42
23 D	Each Belt Transfer Station	T+M		\$ -	\$ 278	\$ 320	\$ 42
23 W	Each Grain Silo	\$ -	\$ 753	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 344	\$ -
Schedule 24: Dry Chemical Mixing							
24 C	Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard	T+M		\$ -	\$ 205	\$ 236	\$ 31
Schedule 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities.							
1	Bulk Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:						
25 A	Per Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 222	\$ 255	\$ 33
25 B	Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+M		\$ -	N/A	\$ -	\$ -
25 C	Per Truck Loading Head	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,303	\$ 1,498	\$ 195
25 D	Per Vapor Processor	T+M		\$ -	\$ 316	\$ 363	\$ 47
25 G	RESERVED						
2	Bulk Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be equipped with a vapor processor:						
25 E	Per Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 355	\$ 408	\$ 53
25 F	Per Truck Loading Head	T+M		\$ -	\$ 321	\$ 369	\$ 48
"Vapor Processor" means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compound by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, absorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.							

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities - continued							
3	Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, or aircraft:						
25 H	Per IR Loading Connector	T+M		\$ -	\$ 374	\$ 430	\$ 56
If a facility falls into Part 1, 2 or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the "per nozzle" fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.							
Schedule 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities. Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6							
26 A	Facilities where Phase I and Phase II controls are required (includes Phase I fee)	\$ 2,368	\$ 2,723	\$ 355	\$ 218	\$ 251	\$ 33
26 C	Facilities where only Phase I controls are required (includes tank replacement)	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,531	\$ 330	\$ 462	\$ 531	\$ 69
26 E	Non-retail facilities with 250-550 gallon tanks and no other non-bulk gasoline dispensing permits Fee Per Facility	\$ 685	\$ 788	\$ 103	\$ 406	\$ 467	\$ 61
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC))							
PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS							
27 A	Each Marine Coating application operation, except where Fee Schedule 27(t) applies	\$ 2,614	\$ 3,006	\$ 392	\$ 635	\$ 730	\$ 95
27 T	Each Marine Coating application operation at facilities where combined coating and cleaning solvent usage is < 3 gallons/day and < 100 gallons/year	\$ 1,177	\$ 1,354	\$ 177	\$ 429	\$ 493	\$ 64
27 Z	RESERVED						

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC)) - continued							
	PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING (Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.)						
27 D	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon/day of surface coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$ 2,252	\$ 2,590	\$ 338	\$ 709	\$ 815	\$ 106
27 E	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other fee schedules at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$ 874	\$ 1,005	\$ 131
27 F	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line	\$ 3,596	4,135	\$ 539	\$ 782	\$ 899	\$ 117
27 I	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,267	\$ 1,457	\$ 190
27 J	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$ 4,868	\$ 5,598	\$ 730	\$ 730	\$ 840	\$ 110
27 K	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	T+M		\$ -	\$ 752	\$ 865	\$ 113
27 L	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at facilities using > 500 gallons/year of wood products coatings and emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from Wood Products Coating Operations	\$ 3,343	\$ 3,844	\$ 501	\$ 694	\$ 798	\$ 104
27 M	RESERVED						

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
	Schedule 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOC)) - continued						
	PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING (Includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, and manufacturing products with materials which contain VOCs, etc.) - continued						
27 N	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	\$ 1,816	\$ 2,088	\$ 272	\$ 412	\$ 474	\$ 62
27 O	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line Using Only Polyester Resin	T+M		\$ -	\$ 535	\$ 615	\$ 80
27 P	Each Surface Coating Application Station w/o control equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon/day or < 50 gallons/year	\$ 2,252	\$ 2,590	\$ 338	\$ 469	\$ 539	\$ 70
27 Q	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using < 500 gallons/year for Wood Products Coating Operations	\$ 3,343	\$ 3,844	\$ 501	\$ 592	\$ 681	\$ 89
	PART 3 - MOTOR VEHICLE AND MOBILE EQUIPMENT REFINISHING OPERATIONS						
27 R	Each facility applying Coating Materials subject to Rule 67.20 (as applied or sprayed)	\$ 2,813	\$ 3,235	\$ 422	\$ 854	\$ 982	\$ 128
	PART 4 - ADHESIVE MATERIALS APPLICATIONS OPERATIONS						
27 U	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting ≤ 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$ 1,765	\$ 2,030	\$ 265	\$ 507	\$ 583	\$ 76
27 V	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment at facilities emitting > 5 tons/year of VOC from equipment in this fee schedule	\$ 1,765	\$ 2,030	\$ 265	\$ 935	\$ 1,075	\$ 140
27 W	Each Adhesive Materials Application Station w/o control equipment where adhesive materials usage is < 55 gallons/year	\$ 1,765	\$ 2,030	\$ 265	\$ 556	\$ 639	\$ 83

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks							
28 A	Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$ 354	\$ 407	\$ 53
28 B	Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	\$ 1,554	\$ 1,787	\$ 233	\$ 269	\$ 309	\$ 40
28 D	Each Paint Stripping Tank	\$ 1,964	\$ 2,259	\$ 295	\$ 266	\$ 306	\$ 40
28 F	Remote Reservoir Cleaners	\$ 689	\$ 792	\$ 103	\$ 255	\$ 293	\$ 38
28 H	Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area ≤ 5 square feet	\$ 599	\$ 689	\$ 90	\$ 317	\$ 365	\$ 48
28 I	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area ≤ 5 square feet	\$ 442	\$ 508	\$ 66	\$ 238	\$ 274	\$ 36
28 J	Metal Inspection Tanks	\$ 1,211	\$ 1,393	\$ 182	\$ 222	\$ 255	\$ 33
28 K	Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with > 100 units	T+M		\$ -	\$ 29	\$ 33	\$ 4
28 L	Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of ≤ 5 square feet	T+M		\$ -	\$ 12	\$ 14	\$ 2
28 M	Each facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 637	\$ 733	\$ 96
Schedule 29: Automated Soldering Equipment							
29 A	Each Solder Leveler	\$ 2,733	\$ 3,143	\$ 410	\$ 368	\$ 423	\$ 55
Schedule 30: Solvent and Extract Dryers							
30 A	Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,191	\$ 1,370	\$ 179
Schedule 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities							
31 A	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	\$ 1,242	\$ 1,428	\$ 186	\$ 628	\$ 722	\$ 94
31 B	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+M		\$ -	\$ 386	\$ 444	\$ 58
Schedule 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing							
32 A	Each Copper Etching Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 505	\$ 581	\$ 76
32 B	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 434	\$ 499	\$ 65
32 C	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 511	\$ 588	\$ 77
Schedule 33: RESERVED							

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines							
34 A	Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine with Add-on Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$ 795	\$ 914	\$ 119
34 B	Each Cogeneration Engine or Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Engine without Add-on Control Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$ 483	\$ 555	\$ 72
34 C	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$ 2,991	\$ 3,440	\$ 449	\$ 329	\$ 378	\$ 49
34 D	Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation ≥ 200 horsepower	T+M		\$ -	\$ 518	\$ 596	\$ 78
34 E	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower > 200 HP	T+M		\$ -	\$ 478	\$ 550	\$ 72
34 F	Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+M		\$ -	\$ 160	\$ 184	\$ 24
34 G	Each Engine for Non-Emergency, Non-Cogeneration, and Not Waste Derived Fuel-Fired Operation < 200 horsepower	\$ 2,450	\$ 2,818	\$ 368	\$ 322	\$ 370	\$ 48
34 H	Each California Certified Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$ 2,176	\$ 2,502	\$ 326	\$ 284	\$ 327	\$ 43
34 I	Each Internal Combustion Engine Test Cell and Test Stand	T+M		\$ -	\$ 312	\$ 359	\$ 47
34 L	Each Diesel Particulate Filter Cleaning Process	T+M		\$ -	\$ 419	\$ 482	\$ 63
34 W	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12	\$ 319	\$ 367	\$ 48	\$ 270	\$ 311	\$ 41
34 X	Each Specified Eligible Portable Engine, Registered Under Rule 12.1	\$ 524	\$ 603	\$ 79	\$ 258	\$ 297	\$ 39
34 Z	Each Specified Eligible Engine, Registered Under Rule 12, Conversion from Valid Permit	\$ 349	\$ 401	\$ 52	N/A	N/A	\$ -
Schedule 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems							
35 A	Each System	T+M		\$ -	\$ 259	\$ 298	\$ 39
Schedule 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms							
36 A	Each Booth or Room	\$ 2,176	\$ 2,502	\$ 326	\$ 334	\$ 384	\$ 50
Schedule 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths							
37 A	Each Application Station	T+M		\$ -	\$ 422	\$ 485	\$ 63
37 C	Flame Spray (ID # APCD1976-SITE-00274) *	T+M		\$ -	\$ 312	\$ 359	\$ 47

* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal			
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	
Schedule 38: Paint, Adhesive, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing								
38 A	Each Process Line for Paint, Adhesive, Stain, or Ink Manufacturing at facilities producing > 10,000 gallons per year	T+M		\$ -	\$ 253	\$ 291	\$ 38	
38 B	Each Can Filling Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 269	\$ 309	\$ 40	
38 C	Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing	T+M		\$ -	\$ 539	\$ 620	\$ 81	
38 D	Each Paint, Adhesive, Stain or Ink Manufacturing facility producing <10,000 gallons per year	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,051	\$ 1,209	\$ 158	
38 F	Ferro Electronic Material Systems (ID # APCD2001-SITE-04439) *	T+M		\$ -	\$ 636	\$ 731	\$ 95	
* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)								
Schedule 39: Precious Metals Refining								
39 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 589	\$ 677	\$ 88	
Schedule 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers								
40 X	Each Portable Unheated Pavement Crushing and Recycling System, Registration Under Rule 12.1		\$ 554	\$ 637	\$ 83	\$ 275	\$ 316	\$ 41
Schedule 41: Perlite Processing								
41 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 362	\$ 416	\$ 54	
41 B	Aztec Perlite (ID # APCD1978-SITE-01598) *	T+M		\$ -	\$ 816	\$ 938	\$ 122	
* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)								
Schedule 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing								
42 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 549	\$ 631	\$ 82	
42 B	Each Screen Printing Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 454	\$ 522	\$ 68	
42 C	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 545	\$ 627	\$ 82	
42 D	Each Conformal Coating Operation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 693	\$ 797	\$ 104	
Schedule 43: Ceramic Slip Casting								
43 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 556	\$ 639	\$ 83	

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials							
44 A	Evaporators and Dryers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	T+M		\$ -	\$ 324	\$ 373	\$ 49
44 B	Solvent Recovery Stills, on-site, batch-type, solvent usage > 350 gallons per day	\$ 1,998	\$ 2,298	\$ 300	\$ 330	\$ 380	\$ 50
Schedule 45: RESERVED							
Schedule 46: Filtration Membrane Manufacturing							
46 A	Each Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 519	\$ 597	\$ 78
Schedule 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers							
47 A	Each Organic Gas Sterilizer/Aerator requiring control	T+M		\$ -	\$ 546	\$ 628	\$ 82
47 B	RESERVED						
Schedule 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing							
48 A	Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - not subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 2,134	\$ 2,454	\$ 320
48 C	Municipal Waste Storage and Processing - subject to the ARB Methane Emissions Regulation	T+M		\$ -	\$ 5,286	\$ 6,079	\$ 793
Schedule 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment							
49 A	Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$ 210	\$ 242	\$ 32	\$ 272	\$ 313	\$ 41
49 B	Activating Non-Operational Status Equipment	\$ 188	\$ 216	\$ 28			
Schedule 50: Coffee Roasters							
50 A	Each Coffee Roaster	\$ 2,679	\$ 3,081	\$ 402	\$ 359	\$ 413	\$ 54
Schedule 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment							
51 A	Each On-site Processing Line	\$ 2,275	\$ 2,616	\$ 341	\$ 408	\$ 469	\$ 61
51 C	USN Air Station NORIS Public Works (ID # APCD1986-SITE-02755) *	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,084	\$ 1,247	\$ 163
* Pursuant to Subsection (c)(3)							

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation Equipment							
52 A	Air Stripping Equipment	T+M		\$ -	\$ 538	\$ 619	\$ 81
52 B	Soil Remediation Equipment - On-site (In situ Only)	T+M		\$ -	\$ 626	\$ 720	\$ 94
Schedule 53: RESERVED							
Schedule 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing							
54 A	Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	T+M		\$ -	\$ 723	\$ 831	\$ 108
Schedule 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Anodizing Tanks, and Chromate Conversion Coating Tanks							
55 A	Each Hard or Decorative Chrome plating and/or Anodizing Tank or Group of Tanks Served by an Emission Control System	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,891	\$ 2,175	\$ 284
55 B	Each Decorative Plating Tank without Add-on Emission Controls	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,025	\$ 1,179	\$ 154
55 D	Each Chromate Conversion Coating Tank	T+M		\$ -	\$ 320	\$ 368	\$ 48
Schedule 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities							
56 A	Each Wastewater Treatment Facility, or Each Water Reclamation Facility	T+M		\$ -	\$ 1,017	\$ 1,170	\$ 153
56 B	Each Wastewater Pump Station	T+M		\$ -	\$ 547	\$ 629	\$ 82
Schedule 57: RESERVED							
Schedule 58: Bakeries							
58 A	Bakery Ovens at Facilities with Emission Controls Pursuant to Rule 67.24	T+M		\$ -	\$ 608	\$ 699	\$ 91
Schedule 59: Asbestos Control Equipment							
59 C	Portable Asbestos Mastic Removal Application Station	\$ 1,660	\$ 1,909	\$ 249	\$ 305	\$ 351	\$ 46

T+RN = time and renewal; T+M = time and material

**TABLE 1 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED FEE SCHEDULES 1 - 59**

Fee Sched.	Description	Application			Renewal		
		Current Initial Evaluation Fee	Proposed Initial Evaluation Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)	Current Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Proposed Emission Unit Renewal Fee	Increase/ (Decrease)
Schedule 91: Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates							
91 A	Miscellaneous – Hourly Rates	T+M		\$ -	\$ 438	\$ 504	\$ 66

**TABLE 2 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF MISCELLANEOUS AND REVISED SOURCE TESTING FEES**

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Increase / (Decrease)
	Schedule 92: Source Testing Performed by the District			
92 C	Each Sulfur Oxides Source Test	T+M		\$ -
92 D	Annual Fee for each Biennial Cycle Test for NOx and CO (1/2 the cost of one test)	\$ 1,166	\$ 1,341	\$ 175
92 E	Each Ethylene Oxide Source Test	T+M		\$ -
92 F	Each Carbon Monoxide and Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$ 2,333	\$ 2,682	\$ 349
92 G	Each Nitrogen Oxides Source Test	\$ 2,690	\$ 3,093	\$ 403
92 H	Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of > 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M		\$ -
92 I	Each Ammonia Source Test	\$ 1,114	\$ 1,281	\$ 167
92 J	Continuous Emission Monitor System Evaluation	T+M		\$ -
92 K	Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test with Waste Burning Capacity of < 100 lbs Per Hour	T+M		\$ -
92 L	RESERVED			
92 M	Each Mass Emissions Source Test	\$ 1,100	\$ 1,265	\$ 165
92 N	RESERVED			
92 O	Each Multiple Metals Source Test	T+M		\$ -
92 P	Each Chromium Source Test	T+M		\$ -
92 Q	Each VOC Onsite Analysis	\$ 5,129	\$ 5,898	\$ 769
92 R	Each VOC Offsite Analysis	\$ 1,202	\$ 1,382	\$ 180
92 S	Each Hydrogen Sulfide Source Test	T+M		\$ -
92 T	Each Acid Gas Source Test	T+M		\$ -
92 V	Annual Fee for Optional Source Test Pilot Study	T+M		\$ -
92 W	Each Particulate Matter Source Test	\$ 3,297	\$ 3,791	\$ 494
92 X	Each Particulate Matter and Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Source Test	\$ 7,355	\$ 8,458	\$ 1,103
92 Y	Each Particulate Matter and Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen Source Test	\$ 5,260	\$ 6,049	\$ 789
92 Z	Miscellaneous Source Test (Special Tests not Listed)	T+M		\$ -

**TABLE 3 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED SOURCE TEST WITNESS FEES**

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Increase / (Decrease)
	Schedule 93: Witness of Source Tests Performed by Independent Contractors			
93 A	Test Witness and Report Review	T+M		\$ -
93 B	RESERVED			
93 C	Test Procedure Review	T+M		\$ -
93 D	Each VOC Bulk Terminal Test Witness	\$ 2,392	\$ 2,751	\$ 359
93 E	Each Ethylene Oxide Test Witness Day	\$ 1,976	\$ 2,272	\$ 296

**TABLE 4 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED HOURLY LABOR RATE**

Fee Sched.	Description	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Increase / (Decrease)
	Schedule 94: Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates			
	<u>Employee Classification (Fee Unit)</u>			
94 U	Air Pollution Control Aide	\$ 57	\$ 66	\$ 9
94 X	Air Pollution Control Civil Actions Investigator	\$ 135	\$ 155	\$ 20
94 M	Air Pollution Test Technician RESERVED	\$ 89		
94 O	Air Quality Inspector I RESERVED	\$ 153		
94 E	Air Quality Inspector II	\$ 168	\$ 193	\$ 25
94 Z	Air Quality Specialist	\$ 100	\$ 115	\$ 15
94 S	Assistant Air Resources Specialist RESERVED	\$ 85		
94 I	Assistant Chemist RESERVED	\$ 110		
94 B	Assistant Engineer RESERVED	\$ 170		
94 G	Assistant Meteorologist RESERVED	\$ 100		
94 Q	Associate Air Resources Specialist	\$ 168	\$ 193	\$ 25
94 J	Associate Chemist	\$ 119	\$ 137	\$ 18
94 C	Associate Engineer	\$ 171	\$ 197	\$ 26
94 R	Associate Meteorologist	\$ 119	\$ 137	\$ 18
94 L	Instrument Technician I RESERVED	\$ 94		
94 N	Instrument Technician II RESERVED	\$ 92		
94 K	Senior Chemist	\$ 143	\$ 164	\$ 21
94 D	Senior Engineer	\$ 207	\$ 238	\$ 31
94 H	Senior Meteorologist RESERVED	\$ 132		
94 F	Supervising Air Quality Inspector	\$ 238	\$ 247	\$ 9
94 Y	Supervising Air Resource Specialist RESERVED	\$ 146		
94 T	Supervising Instrument Technician RESERVED	\$ 99		

**TABLE 5 - PROPOSED RULE 40 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED
ASBESTOS DEMOLITION AND RENOVATION NOTIFICATION FEES**

	Type of Operation	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Increase / (Decrease)	Current Fee	Proposed Online Notification Fee	Increase / (Decrease)
1.	Renovation Operations (excluding residential buildings having four or fewer dwelling units)						
	<100 sq.ft.	N/A	\$ 666	N/A	N/A	\$ 488	N/A
	100 sq. ft. or > 260 linear (ln.) ft. to 500 sq. or ln. ft.	\$ 533	\$ 666	\$ 133	\$ 390	\$ 488	\$ 98
	501 to 2,000 sq. or ln. ft.	\$ 593	\$ 741	\$ 148	\$ 450	\$ 563	\$ 113
	2,001 to 5,000 sq. or ln. ft.	\$ 670	\$ 838	\$ 168	\$ 528	\$ 660	\$ 132
	5,001 to 10,000 sq. or ln. ft.	\$ 680	\$ 850	\$ 170	\$ 538	\$ 673	\$ 135
	>10,000 sq. or ln. ft.	\$ 806	\$ 1,008	\$ 202	\$ 664	\$ 830	\$ 166
2.	Planned (Annual) Renovation Operations						
	(add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$ 119	\$ 124	\$ 5	\$ 119	\$ 124	\$ 5
3.	Emergency Renovation Operation						
	(add to appropriate renovation operation fee listed above)	\$ 119	\$ 124	\$ 5	\$ 119	\$ 124	\$ 5
4.	Demolition Operations						
	Regulated Asbestos Containing Material (RACM) sites or Non-RACM sites with no asbestos present	\$ 660	\$ 825	\$ 165	\$ 517	\$ 646	\$ 129
5.	Emergency Demolition Operations						
	(add to appropriate demolition operation fee listed above)	\$ 119	\$ 124	\$ 5	\$ 119	\$ 124	\$ 5
6.	Revised Notification Fee for Renovations, Demolitions, Planned Renovations, and Emergency Operations (NOTE: a revision is defined as a change in the original start date or when the amount of asbestos changes by greater than or equal to 20%)	\$ 46	\$ 58	\$ 12		\$ -	
7.	Cancellation Fee for Renovations or Demolitions Operations	\$ 60	\$ 75	\$ 15		\$ -	

**TABLE 6 - PROPOSED RULE 42 –
SUMMARY OF REVISED HEARING BOARD FEES**

	Description	Current Fee	Proposed Fee	Increase / (Decrease)
1.	Regular Variance	\$ 1,197	\$ 1,496	\$ 299
2.	Interim and Regular Variance	\$ 1,459	\$ 1,824	\$ 365
3.	90-Day Variance	\$ 1,259	\$ 1,574	\$ 315
4.	Emergency Variance	\$ 977	\$ 1,221	\$ 244
5.	Appeals	\$ 1,544	\$ 1,930	\$ 386
6.	Modify existing variance or abatement order	\$ 888	\$ 1,110	\$ 222