

Air Pollution Control Board
Brian P. Bilbray District 1
George F. Bailey District 2
Susan Golding District 3
Leon L. Williams District 4
John MacDonald District 5

Air Pollution Control Officer R. J. Sommerville

DATE:

July 28, 1992

TO:

Air Pollution Control Board

SUBJECT:

Amendments of Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees) and

Rule 42 (Hearing Board Fees) for FY 1992-93

SUMMARY:

This letter incorporates changes to proposed Rules 40 and 42 set for public hearing on May 12, 1992 (APCB#1). Changes include deleting the California Consumer Price Index (CPI) increase, addressing Advisory Committee concerns, and adding a section to Fee schedule 28 pertaining to contract service coating application cleanup devices.

Rule 40 specifies Air Pollution Control District fees, including permit fees. Rule 42 specifies Hearing Board fees. State law authorizes the Air Pollution Control Board to adopt permit fees to recover the cost of District programs related to stationary sources which are not otherwise funded. Other significant funding sources include state and federal grants and subventions.

The recommended revisions (Attachment 1) to Rules 40 and 42 dated July 13, 1992, revise the emission based portion of the fees caused by changes in emissions from equipment, add fees for the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" program, allow the payment of fees in more than one payment for hardship cases, adds fee schedules to reduce fees for small emitting sources, contract services coating application cleanup equipment, and makes other minor changes.

No increases reflecting the 4.5% CPI are included. District fee revenues will be \$166,789 or 2.4% less than if CPI increases had been included.

Issue

Should the Board adopt the proposed changes to Rules 40 and 42 and establish District fees for FY 1992-93, including the addition of Fee Schedule 27(t) pertaining to small marine coating operators?

References

November 5, 1991	Board adopted FY 1991-92 fees.
May 12, 1992	Board set public Hearing date for FY 1992-93 fees and directed APCO to prepare an alternative eliminating Consumer Price Index increases.
June 16, 1992	Board continued hearing to July 14,1992.
July 14, 1992	Board continued hearing to July 15, 1992.

SUBJECT: Amendments of Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees) and

Rule 42 (Hearing Board Fees) for FY 1992-93

July 15, 1992

Board continued hearing to July 28, 1992 and requested information re: proposed new Fee Schedule 27(t).

Recommendation

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL OFFICER:

Following the hearing: (a) adopt the resolution dated 7/13/92 revising Rules 40 and Rule 42, and (b) make appropriate findings of necessity, authority, clarity, consistency, non-duplication and reference required by Section 40727 of the State Health and Safety Code.

Advisory Statement

On May 13, 1992, the Air Pollution Control Advisory Committee reviewed a resolution amending Rules 40 and 42 (Attachment 5). The Committee was advised that the Board had directed development of an alternative to that resolution eliminating the CPI increases. The following is the Advisory Committee's Statement:

The Advisory Committee expressed concern over the economic difficulties experienced by industry in San Diego County and recommended that the Board consider the impact of air pollution control regulations on the economy of the region.

The Committee further recommended that the Board approve the proposed rule amendments with the following changes: 1) approve the alternate amendments to eliminate Consumer Price Index increases, 2) do not adopt the amended Fee Schedule 27(p) because it would be so difficult to enforce that it would encourage entrepreneurs to violate the 100 gallon per year threshold, and 3) revise Section 40 (b) to indicate that the penalty would be imposed only if underreporting of emissions was done intentionally.

The recommended resolution addresses the Committee's concerns as stated in recommendations (1) and (3). The District's analysis of recommendation (2), related to small marine coating operations, is included in the Background Statement.

Fiscal Impact

The funding source is the current year budget. If approved, this request will result in \$0 current year cost, \$0 annual cost and requires the addition of \$0 staff.

Alternatives

• Do not adopt the amendments to Rules 40 and 42. Fees will remain at current levels. This is not recommended because: companies that substantially reduced emissions during the affected emission inventory period will be unfairly penalized and not realize reduced fees; there will be no provision for authorizing companies to make partial payments for renewal and application fees in hardship cases; and, small operations will have to pay the same fees as larger sources because new fee schedules will not be adopted.

• Delete Fee Schedule 27 (t) and adopt the remaining amendments to Rules 40 and 42. This is not recommended because it would require marine coating companies emitting no more 0.2 tons per year of organic compounds to pay the same emission fee as marine coating applications emitting up to ten (10) tons per year. This difference in the renewal fee is \$381. Application fees would also be higher than if Schedule 27(t) is approved.

BACKGROUND:

State Law authorizes the Air Pollution Control Board to adopt a schedule of fees to recover the cost of Air Pollution Control District programs related to permitted stationary sources that are not otherwise funded (Health & Safety Code, Section 42311). The proposed fees for Fiscal Year 1992-93 are based on a methodology reflecting Board policy adopted March 24, 1987. The policy requires: (1) the cost of District activities related to permitted stationary sources is to be recovered through a fee based on direct labor and emissions; (2) all new permitting costs should be borne by new permittees; (3) fixed asset replacement costs related to permitted sources are to be recovered through fees; (4) any general fund contribution related to permitted stationary sources is to be recovered through permit fees; (5) noncomplying sources bear the increased enforcement costs the District incurs (those sources requiring more enforcement because of noncompliance pay for the additional enforcement, rather than the cost being borne by other permittees); and, (6) labor related fees are to be reviewed in detail every three years rather than on an annual basis, and the District's historical labor tracking data will be used to establish a base fee.

The proposed fees for Fiscal Year 1992-93 are attached (Attachment 1). Column (1) is the initial application fee for new Authorities to Construct and Permits to Operate. As shown, this is listed as either T&RN or a set "fixed" fee. T&RN in Column (1) indicates that equipment covered by the fee schedule either varies so significantly in the amount of District time required to process the application that a standardized or "fixed" fee cannot be set or that the District has not processed enough applications to have established an average labor requirement. Therefore each applicant pays for the actual cost of processing the applications plus the first year's renewal fee. The "T" represents the "time and materials that the application cost the District" The "RN" stands for the renewal fee listed in Column (2). Fixed fees In Column (1) reflect the average labor and other direct and indirect costs to the District to process the application plus the first year's renewal fee [from Column (2)]. Fixed fees are employed when the types of equipment being permitted in the fee schedule are sufficiently alike that their applications will require about the same level of effort to evaluate and process and where the average amount of labor required is known. As proposed, the application processing portion (labor and materials) of this column is the same as the FY 1991-92 fees. There are no CPI adjustments to address inflation. Labor rates to be charged under this proposal are the same as for FY 1991-92. There have been no CPI adjustments.

Column (2) is the annual renewal fee for Permits to Operate. It is comprised of the Engineering and Enforcement related costs to inspect the permitted equipment, maintain records, process fees, etc., plus an emissions based fee which distributes specific costs attributable to stationary sources to equipment according to their emissions. For FY 1992-93 no increases are proposed for either the labor based portion of the renewal or the cost per ton of emission. There are adjustments between individual fee schedules reflecting the shifts in emission patterns during the 1989 emission inventory year.

SUBJECT: Amendments of Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees) and

Rule 42 (Hearing Board Fees) for FY 1992-93

Under this proposal, fees from permit applications, renewals and source tests will be \$6.95 million in FY 1992-93. This is the amount adopted by the Board during FY 1991-92 budget deliberations and represents 68% of all District revenues and will offset 63% of budgeted expenditures. It is \$166,789 less than fees would be if CPI adjustments were approved and \$132,529 less than FY 1991-92 budgeted amount of \$7.1 million. Changes from budget are based on reduced numbers of applications being projected for FY 1992-93, given the current economy.

Small Marine Coating Applications

During the FY 1992-93 fee development and review process the District was asked to address the problem of companies applying less than 100 gallons of VOC containing materials per year. Presently only companies applying less than 1 gallon per day or 50 gallons per year of materials containing organic solvents (including coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds (VOCs)) qualify for a low \$181 Schedule 27 (p) renewal fee. All others are grouped with higher emitters and pay higher fees. Small marine coating application facilities exceeding Schedule 27(p) thresholds are permitted under Schedule 27 (a) intended for facilities emitting up to 10 tons per year. Schedule 27(a) renewal fees are \$381 higher than Schedule 27 (p) renewal fees because of the difference in allowable emissions.

The District recommended revising Fee Schedule 27(p) to increase maximum materials application thresholds to less than 5 gallons per day or 100 gallons per year.

During it's review of proposed changes to Rules 40 and 42 the Air Pollution Control Advisory Committee opposed revising Fee Schedule 27(p). Committee members expressed concern that enforcement would be difficult if thresholds, particularly the daily thresholds were increased since a person could be in compliance with the daily limits and conceal the fact that they were exceeding the annual limits.

To address the Advisory Committee's concerns and minimize the likelihood of abuse, the proposal was amended. Instead of amending Schedule 27(p), a new Fee Schedule 27(t) has been added for marine coating applications reflecting more moderate thresholds of less than 3 gallons per day and less than 100 gallons per year.

District staff believe that this is an enforceable and fair way to bring low emitting sources into the permit system. Continuing to group these sources with higher emitters and charging them the significantly higher fees actually discourages compliance and encourages attempts to circumvent permit requirements in Rule 11.

District Rule 11(h)(1), effective May 28, 1990, requires any company applying more than 20 gallons of VOC containing coating materials per year to have a District permit. Companies applying less than 20 gallons per year are required to keep daily use and purchase records to substantiate that they are exempt from permit requirements. These record keeping and permitting requirements combined with District inspection practices are adequate in most cases to obtain voluntary compliance. Intentional failure to comply results in the issuance of a "Notice of Violation" and possible penalties.

SUBJECT: Amendments of Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees) and Rule 42 (Hearing Board Fees) for FY 1992-93

Other Changes to Rules 40 and 42

- Fees have been revised to reflect changes in the emissions based portion of the fee caused by changes in emissions from equipment for which the fee was developed.
- Rule 40(a) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees, is revised to clarify that required fees must accompany applications for an Authority to Construct or Permit to Operate.
- Rule 40(a) is amended to authorize the Air Pollution Control Officer to allow an applicant to divide the application fee into two payments where payment in full at the time the application is filed would constitute a financial hardship.
- Rule 40(b) Annual Renewal Fees, is amended to provide penalties for permitted equipment for which emissions or material usage have been under-reported. It is also amended to authorize the Air Pollution Control Officer to negotiate a renewal fee payment schedule when a permittee certifies and documents that payment in full by the renewal deadline would constitute a financial hardship.
- Rule 40(1) Asbestos Demolition, is amended to eliminate penalty fees for failure to submit appropriate fees with plans. Proposed plans or revisions will not be considered received unless accompanied with payment.
- Rule 40(m) is revised to reflect fees for facilities subject to the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" (AB2588) program. In past years these fees were developed by the State Air Resources Board. The District has now developed its own fee schedule for this program which more accurately reflects District costs.
- Rule 40(q) Certification of Equipment, is added to enable the District to recover costs of certifying equipment for multiple locations.
- Fee Schedules 8(d) and (e); 13(i), (j) and (k); and 14(f) have been deleted as unnecessary.
- Fee Schedules 27(f) and (g) have been amended to define permitted unit as being the specified operation. This reflects the current practice of determining permit units.
- Fee Schedule 28 (n) is added in response to an industry request to distinguish between Coating Application Equipment Cleanup Devices in Schedule 28 (g) and similar devices provided as a contract service. This addition will enable the company to file permit applications on behalf of his clients rather than their having to file separately. The base fees for the new fee schedule are the same as for Schedule 28 (g). However, if the company can group applications for similar equipment it will pay reduced permit application processing costs as provided for in Rule 40 (a) (1).
- Fee Schedule 37 has a title change to clarify the type of equipment included.
- Fee Schedule 34(g) is added to provide a more appropriate fee for smaller engines for which Fee Schedule 34(d) would otherwise apply.

SUBJECT: Amendments of Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees) and Rule 42 (Hearing Board Fees) for FY 1992-93

- New Fee Schedules 28(m), 38(c), 42(e) and 47(b) are added for specific operations previously permitted under the miscellaneous Fee Schedule 91.
- Fee Schedules 42(b), (c), and (d) are added for specific electronic manufacturing operations which were previously permitted under Fee Schedule 27(d). This will reduce fees for qualifying operations.
- New Fee Schedules 33(c) and 38(d) are added and 33(a) and 38(a) are amended to distinguish small emission sources from larger emission sources within the can and coil coating industry and small paint and stain manufacturers. This will reduce fees for qualifying operations.

A public workshop was held on April 16, 1992, to discuss proposed changes to District Rule 40(m) regarding fees for facilities subject to the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" program. A separate public workshop was held on April 27, 1992, to discuss all other proposed changes to Rules 40 and 42. The workshop reports are attached.

As a result of the comments received at the April 27, 1992 workshop, the District proposes several changes to Rule 40 which will reduce fees for low emitters and for companies experiencing financial difficulties because of the area economy. These include amending existing fee schedules and establishing new ones with reduced fees for small sources of paint manufacturing, can and coil coating, and various coatings applications operations. This is in addition to the new reduced fee schedule recommended for small engines.

The District has also responded to requests to consolidate billings and enable multiple payments of application and renewal fees without penalty for companies where a single payment would constitute a financial hardship.

In addition to responding to workshop comments for these rule revisions, the District has committed to evaluating the current fee structure as part of the triennial fee review beginning this summer to: develop a means for charging a facility emission fee based on the facility's actual emissions rather than having its emission fee influenced by the operations of other companies within the same fee schedule; reviewing the way indirect cost multipliers are set and applied to labor, and; working to make the fees more responsive to change. Future workshop notices will also contain a financial statement to enable industry to understand District costs.

Concurrence:

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID E. JANSSEN Chief Administrative Officer R. J. SOMMERVILLE
Air Pollution Control Officer

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD AGENDA ITEM INFORMATION SHEET

SUBJECT: Amendments of Rule 40 (Permit and Other Fees) and Rule 42 (Hearing Board Fees) for FY 1992-93 **SUPV DIST.:** Form and Legality [X] Yes **COUNTY COUNSEL APPROVAL:** [] N/A [] Standard Form [] Ordinance [X] Resolution [] N/A AUDITOR APPROVAL: [X] Yes 4 VOTES: X Yes [] No FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT REVIEW: X Yes [] No **CONTRACT REVIEW PANEL:** [] Approved_ [X] N/A N/A **CONTRACT NUMBER(S):** PREVIOUS RELEVANT BOARD ACTION: Board Adopted FY 1991-92 fees 11/05/91(APCB#2) 05/12/92(APCB#1) Board set public Hearing date for FY 1992-93 fees and directed APCO to prepare an alternative eliminating Consumer Price Index increases. Board continued hearing to July 14,1992 6/16/92 7/14/92 Board continued hearing to July 15, 1992 7/15/92 Board continued hearing to July 28, 1992 and requested information re: proposed new Fee Schedule 27(t) **BOARD POLICIES APPLICABLE:** B-29 - Fees, grant revenues, contract. Department responsibility for recovery. YES **CITIZEN COMMITTEE STATEMENT: CONCURRENCES:** None **ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT:** Air Pollution Control District **CONTACT PERSON:** Nikki Kaul, (\$50)-3306

ED REPRESENTATIVE

JULY 28, 1992

MEETING DATE

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Air Pollution Control I	<u>Dist.</u> <u>Air Pollu</u> Progran	tion Control	Level of Mand Mandated/ X Mandated/		ervice Level is: retionary/Mandated etionary/Discretionary
Budget Page No. Sp	ecial District 1		Level of Mand	late for this Proposal/S	ervice Level is:
Revisions to District Re	ules 40 and 42 - Re	elated to Fees	Mandated/		retionary/Mandated
	(a) Budgeted Amount For Proposal	(b) Proposed Change in Budgeted Amount	(c) Proposed Revised Current Year Budget (a + b)	FUTURE YEAR ES' OF PROPOSA (d) 1st Subsequent Year	TIMATED BUDG L IF ADOPTED (e) 2nd Subsequent Year
Direct Cost:	\$_6,948,086	\$0	\$_6,948,086	\$ <u>6,948,086</u>	\$_6,948,086
Revenue:	6,948,086	0_	6,395,419	6,948,086	\$_6,948,086
NET GENERAL FUND COST	\$ 0	\$0	\$	\$0	\$0
Staff Years:	0	0	0	0	0
Sources of Revenue:	Permit Applicand Source Tes	cation, Renewal Fees	\$_6,948,086	\$ <u>6,948,086</u>	\$ <u>6,948,086</u>
Support/Other Dep	artment Impact	s: (Attach addition	al sheets as required))	
	None.				
Remarks: (Attach a	dditional sheets	s as required)			

Re Rules and Regulations of the)
Air Pollution Control District
of San Diego County)

RESOLUTION AMENDING RULE 40 AND RULE 42 OF REGULATION III OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

On motion of Member	Bilbray	, seconded by Member	MacDonald	the
following resolution is adopted:				

WHEREAS, the San Diego County Air Pollution Control Board, pursuant to Section 40702 of the Health and Safety Code, adopted Rules and Regulations of the Air Pollution Control District of San Diego County; and

WHEREAS, said Board now desires to amend said Rules and Regulations; and

WHEREAS, notice has been given and a public hearing has been had relating to the amendment of said Rules and Regulations pursuant to Section 40725 of the Health and Safety Code.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the San Diego County Air Pollution Control Board that the Rules and Regulations of the Air Pollution Control District of San Diego County be and hereby are amended as follows:

Amendments to Rule 40, Sections (a), (b), (c), (l), (m) and (q); Fee Schedules; and Rule 42 are to read as follows:

REGULATION III. FEES

1. RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES

Every applicant for an Authority to Construct and/or a Permit to Operate for any article, machine, equipment or other contrivance shall pay an evaluation fee for each permit unit in the amount prescribed in Section (h), except as provided in Subsection (1) below. No application for Authority to Construct or Permit to Operate shall be considered received unless accompanied with the appropriate fee. If a permit applicant certifies to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction through declaration that it is unable to pay either the full fixed fee for fixed fee applications or the estimated application and first year renewal costs for time and material applications, the District may authorize the applicant to divide the cost into two payments with the second payment due not later than 90 days after filing of the Application for Authority to Construct or Permit to Operate. The applicant will be required to pay any additional administrative costs resulting from this authorization.

7/28/92 (3, APCB)

Alternate/Rules 40 & 42 07/13/92

Other charges or refunds, if applicable, shall be as prescribed in Subsections (2) through (8) of this section.

(1) Reduced Fees for Similar Fee Units at a Single Location

Every applicant for Authorities to Construct and/or Permits to Operate for a series of similar fee units submitted concurrently and located at a single location shall pay the evaluation fee as prescribed in Section (h) for the first unit. For each additional unit, the fee shall be the annual renewal fee listed in Section (h), provided each unit will be operated independently, and the evaluation for an Authority to Construct for the first unit can be applied to the additional units because of similarity in design and operation. If all units cannot be evaluated and inspected for Permits to Operate at the same time the applicant shall pay a reinspection fee in accordance with Subsection (a)(7). The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to Fee Schedules 3 and 26.

(2) Review for Compliance with Rules 20.2, and 20.4, Regulation X and Regulation XI.

The actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District to determine compliance with Rule 20.2, Rule 20.4, Regulation X or Regulation XI shall be paid by the applicant, in addition to other applicable fees prescribed in this rule, if the District evaluation shows that such a determination is required. When notified that such a determination is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of the determination. The cost shall be determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

(3) Air Quality Analysis of Compliance with Rule 20.3

The actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District in preparing, processing, or reviewing an air quality analysis required by Rule 20.3 shall be paid by the applicant, in addition to other applicable fees prescribed in this rule. The cost shall be equal to a base fee of \$3,000 plus the cost determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. When notified that an analysis is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated by the District to cover the cost of the analysis. Any first level screening analysis required by the Air Pollution Control Officer under Rule 20.3(d) shall not require the base fee.

(4) Review for Compliance with Rule 51

The actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District to determine compliance with Rule 51 shall be paid by the applicant in addition to other applicable fees prescribed in this rule, if the basic evaluation shows that such a determination is required. When notified that such a determination is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated by the District to cover the cost of the determination. The cost shall be determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

(5) Amendments to an Authority to Construct Application

In accordance with Regulation II, an applicant may request written authorization to alter the proposed design and/or operational characteristics of a specified permit unit before work has begun on the Permit to Operate evaluation. The applicant shall pay the actual cost incurred by the District to evaluate the impact of the alteration not to exceed the value found in Column 1 of the applicable schedule of Section (h). When an additional fee is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of the additional evaluation. The estimate and the actual cost incurred by the District shall be

determined using the applicable indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. In addition, fees as specified in Subsections (a)(2), (3) and (4) shall be paid if appropriate.

(6) Alteration, Operational Change or Replacement Involving an Existing Permit Unit

Every applicant for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate involving the alteration of, an addition to, or a change in the permit conditions of any existing article, machine, equipment or other contrivance for which a Permit to Operate has been issued, shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of the evaluation. The estimate and the actual cost shall be determined by using the applicable indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94, not to exceed the value found in Column 1 of the applicable schedule of Section (h).

Replacement of non-identical permit units subject to fixed fees shall be charged the initial fee in Column (1) less Column (2) of the applicable schedule in Section (h), if there is a current permit on the equipment to be replaced. If the non-identical replacement involves an increase in renewal fees due to increased quantity or size, the applicant shall pay the incremental increase in the renewal fee. The increase shall be prorated from the effective date of the revised Permit to Operate until the renewal date established for the original equipment. In addition, fees as specified in Subsections (a)(2), (3) and (4) shall be paid if appropriate.

This subsection shall not apply where an entire permit unit is replaced or renovated.

(7) Reinspection Fees

If, during an inspection for a Permit to Operate, a permit unit cannot be evaluated, due to circumstances beyond the control of the Air Pollution Control District, the applicant shall pay the actual cost of a reinspection. The cost shall be determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

(8) Refunds, Forfeitures and Insufficient Payment of Fees

If an applicant withdraws an application before an engineering evaluation has been started, a full refund, less a \$33 processing and handling fee, shall be made to the applicant upon request.

If an Authority to Construct is denied or cancelled, or if an applicant withdraws an application before a Permit to Operate evaluation has been started, a refund at the rate of 50% of the initial application fee shall be made to the applicant upon request. A Permit to Operate evaluation shall be considered to have started on notification to the District that construction of the equipment has been completed. For portable and off the shelf equipment, the Permit to Operate evaluation shall be considered to have started when the contact has been made to schedule an inspection. This provision does not apply if the initial application fee is based upon the indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

A full refund of fees paid in conjunction with an application for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate shall be made to the applicant if the article, machine, equipment or other contrivance stated on the application does not come within the purview of state law or these Rules and Regulations.

If the actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District in Subsections (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6) and (a)(7) and the applicable time and material (T&M) portions of Section (h) is less than the amount deposited, the difference shall be refundable to the applicant. If any deposit is insufficient to pay all actual costs, the applicant shall pay an amount deemed sufficient by the Air Pollution Control Officer to complete the work in progress. If the applicant fails or refuses to pay such amount upon demand, the Air Pollution Control District

may recover the same by action in any court of competent jurisdiction. Until such amount is paid in full, the Air Pollution Control District shall not further process the Authority to Construct application unless the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed. An Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate shall not be issued until all required fees are paid. The Air Pollution Control Officer shall cancel an application when an applicant fails or refuses to pay such amount upon demand and the provisions of Rule 18 require action be taken on the application.

Where fees were submitted in accordance with Subsection (a)(1) and the applicant is entitled to a refund, the refund for additional units is equal to the annual renewal fee.

An applicant may appeal, directly to the Air Pollution Control Officer, any fee based on actual costs in Subsections (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6) and (a)(7) and the applicable time and material (T&M) portions of Section (h). Such appeal shall be in the form of a letter and shall specifically state the basis of the appeal.

If an applicant has not applied for a refund within six months after notification has been made of eligibility for a refund, all rights to such refund shall be forfeited.

(b) ANNUAL RENEWAL FEES

An annual renewal fee shall be paid in the amount prescribed in Section (h) by any person who is required to apply for annual renewal of a permit pursuant to Rule 10(h). In order to effect a staggered renewal schedule as authorized by Rule 10(h), Permits to Operate may be issued or renewed for periods less than twelve months in increments of one month. When the renewal date is changed the renewal fee shall be prorated.

If a permittee certifies to the Air Pollution Control Officer' satisfaction through declaration that payment in full of permit to operate renewal fees would result in undue financial hardship, the District may negotiate an amended fee payment schedule, provided that the amended schedule includes reimbursing the District for any increased costs of processing the extra payments. Failure to make any payments by any negotiated due date may result in penalties as otherwise authorized in Rule 40 and/or cancellation of the permit.

If the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that the activities of any one company would cause an increase of at least ten percent in any specific fee schedule, the Air Pollution Control Officer may delete the cost incurred as a result of that company from the cost data used to determine the fee schedule. A specific fee schedule for the company shall be developed, in this case, to recover the District cost in connection with that company's activities. The specific fee schedule developed in this case shall be submitted to the Air Pollution Control Board for consideration and adoption.

If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that a person has under-reported material usage, emissions or other information necessary for emissions inventory, and such under-reporting has led to a permit renewal fee less than what would have been due if correct usage, emissions or other information had been reported, then the person shall pay the difference between the original and corrected renewal fees plus a penalty equal to 30 percent of the difference. Such penalty shall not apply if the permittee demonstrates to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction that the under-reporting was the result of inadvertent error or omission which the permittee took all reasonable steps to avoid. If the amount due is not paid within 60 days of the due date, a penalty fee equal to 30 percent of the amount due shall be added, and an additional 10 percent added for each subsequent calendar month or portion thereof. In no case shall the penalty fee exceed 100 percent of the applicable fees.

(c) TRANSFER OF PERMITS

An applicant for the transfer of Permit(s) to Operate at a single location from one person to another or for inclusion or removal of any person(s) from the Permit(s) to Operate shall pay a fee of \$31, and shall supply proof of entitlement to operate provided no alteration, addition, or change in location has been made to the permit item on the application.

If, after an Authority to Construct has been issued and before a Permit to Operate has been granted, another person is designated to be the permittee, that person shall submit an application for Permit to Operate and pay the refundable portion of the initial application fee as determined from Subsection (a)(8) provided that construction will be made in accordance with the Authority to Construct that was previously issued.

(1) ASBESTOS DEMOLITION OR RENOVATION OPERATION PLAN

The owner or operator of a demolition or renovation operation to which Regulation XI Subpart M (NESHAPS) of the Rules and Regulations of the Air Pollution Control District apply, shall pay to the District a fee of \$84 for the evaluation of each required plan (Notice of Intention) to demolish or renovate and \$10 for each revision thereof.

The fees required by this rule shall be due at the time the asbestos control plan is received pursuant to Regulation XI Subpart M (NESHAPS). Plans or revisions thereof will not be considered received unless accompanied with the required fee.

(m) TOXIC HOT SPOTS

The owner or operator of a facility who is required by the Health and Safety Code §44300 et seq. to participate in the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment program and to pay related fees, shall pay the applicable fees, as specified below, to the District within 60 days of receipt of notice by the District of required fees. Failure to submit the fees within 60 days of the notice will result in a penalty fee equal to 30 percent of the applicable fees. An additional penalty fee of 10 percent of the applicable fees shall be added for each subsequent calendar month, or portion thereof, the payment of fees is late. In no case shall the penalty fee exceed 100 percent of the applicable fees.

(1) The owner or operator of a facility that has been identified by the District as falling into one of the following program phases shall pay an annual fee as follows:

Program Phase	Annual Fee (\$) /Facility
Phase I facilities	\$2021
Phase II facilities	\$ 763
Phase III facilities	\$ 57
U.S. Navy/Marine Corps Phase I facilities	\$6044
Municipal Waste Landfills	\$ 235

For the purposes of this section:

(i) Phase I facilities are those facilities that emit, have emitted or have the potential to emit 25 tons per year or more of either total suspended particulates (TSP), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), oxides of sulfur (SOx) or total organic gases (TOG) and that are required to inventory emissions pursuant to Division 26, Part 6 of the Health and Safety Code,

- (ii) Phase II facilities are those facilities that emit, have emitted or have the potential to emit 10 tons per year or more, but less than 25 tons per year, of either TSP, NOx, SOx or TOG and that are required to inventory emissions pursuant to Division 26, Part 6 of the Health and Safety Code, and
- (iii) Phase III facilities are those facilities that emit, have emitted and have the potential to emit not more than 10 tons per year of either TSP, NOx, SOx or TOG and that are required to inventory emissions or provide production or materials usage data to the District pursuant to Division 26, Part 6 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (2) The owner or operator of a Phase I facility that is identified, pursuant to \$44360 of the Health and Safety Code, as being subject to the health risk assessment requirements of the program, or who volunteers to prepare such a risk assessment, shall pay a fee of \$3391 in addition to the applicable fee specified in Subsection (m)(1).

(q) CERTIFICATION OF EQUIPMENT

Every applicant who applies for certification of equipment shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of review and certification. The estimate and the actual cost shall be determined by using the applicable indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

INDEX OF FEE SCHEDULES

- SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths
 - (a) Pot 100 Pounds Capacity or Larger with no Peripheral Equipment
 - (b) Pot 100 Pounds Capacity or Larger Loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hopper
 - (c) Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System
 - (d) Spent Abrasive Handling System
- SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths
 - (a) Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth
 - (b) Cabinet, Room or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System
- SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt
 - (a) Kettle or Tanker with Capacity Greater than 85 Gallons
 - (b) Kettle or Tanker with Capacity Greater than 85 Gallons and Requiring Emission Control Equipment
- SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants
- SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills
 - (a) Drill with Water Controls
 - (b) Drill with Controls other than Water
- SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Screens, when not used as part of another permit item in these schedules
- SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants
 - (a) Crusher System
 - (b) Screening System
 - (c) Loadout System
 - (d) Aggregate Drier System
- SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo System.
 - (a) Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)
 - (b) Mixer over One Cubic Yard Capacity
 - (c) Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a permit
- SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

SCHEDULE 10: Brick Manufacturing Plants

- (a) Clay Batching and Extruding System
- (b) Crusher-Screen System
- (c) Kiln

SCHEDULE 11: Tire Buffers

SCHEDULE 12: Fish Canneries and Smoke Houses

- (a) Dryer (also called Meal Drying and Grinding System)
- (b) Precooker
- (c) Vat and Vibrating Screen System
- (d) Scrap Cooker and Grinder System
- (e) Cooker
- (f) Dry Pet Food Processing System
- (g) Digester Tank
- (h) Smoke House
- (i) Loadout System

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

- (a) 1 MM BTU's/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU's/HR Input
- (b) 50 up to but not including 250 MM BTU's/HR Input
- (c) 250 MM BTU's/HR up to 1050 MM BTU's/HR Input or up to but not including 100 Megawatt Gross Output whichever is Greater (Based on an Average Boiler Efficiency of 32.5%)
- (d) 100 Megawatt Gross Output or Larger (Based on an Average Boiler Efficiency of 32.5%)
- (e) RESERVED
- (f) 1 MM BTU's/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU's/HR Input at a Single Site where more than 5 such Units are Located
- (g) Notice of Intention 250 MM BTU's/HR up to 1050 BTU's/HR or up to but not including 100 Megawatt Output
- (h) Notice of Intention Each 100 Megawatt Output or Greater

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

- (a) Waste Burning Capacity up to and including 100 LBS/HR
- (b) Waste Burning Capacity Greater than 100 LBS/HR
- (c) Burning Capacity up to and including 50 LBS/HR used exclusively for the Incineration or Cremation of Animals
- (d) Incinerator at Kaiser Permanente
- (e) Emission Controls or Modifications

SCHEDULE 15: Burn Out Ovens

- (a) Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing
- (b) Wire Reclamation
- (c) IC Engine Parts Refurbishing

SCHEDULE 16: Core and Plastics Annealing/Softening Ovens

- (a) Oven
- (b) Plastic Annealing/Softening Ovens

SCHEDULE 17: Brake Debonders

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

- (a) Sweat Furnace
- (b) Electric Arc Furnace
- (c) Pit or Stationary Crucible
- (d) Pot Furnace
- (e) Induction Furnace
- (f) Cupola
- (g) Reverberatory Furnace
- (h) Brass Melting Furnace U.S. Navy

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET & TURBO FAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS

- (a) Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet, Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand
- (b) Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a Facility where more than one such Unit is located
- (c) Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Test Stand

GAS TURBINE ENGINES

- (d) Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input
- (e) Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input
- (f) Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input
- (g) Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation
- (h) Standby Gas Turbines used for Emergency Power Generation

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

- (a) Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder
- (b) Metal Shredder
- (c) Garbage and Refuse Shredder
- (d) Air Classifier
- (e) Drier

- SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants
 - (a) Receiving System (includes Silos)
 - (b) Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill
 - (c) Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill
 - (d) Mixer System
 - (e) Truck Bulk Loading System
- SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment
 - (a) Receiving System (Railroad and Truck Unloading)
 - (b) Storage Silo System
 - (c) Loadout Station System
 - (d) Belt Transfer Station
- SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing and Detergent Spray Towers
 - (a) Grain Mixing System (Includes Receiving, Transfer, Mixing, Blending, Storage, and Loadout Bagging)
 - (b) Detergent Spray Tower
 - (c) Dry Chemical Mixers with capacity over One-Half Cubic Yard
- SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities
 - PART 1 BULK PLANTS AND BULK TERMINALS EQUIPPED WITH OR PROPOSED TO BE EQUIPPED WITH A VAPOR PROCESSOR
 - (a) Per Tank
 - (b) Tank Rim Seal Replacement
 - (c) Per Truck Loading Head
 - (d) Vapor Processor
 - PART 2 BULK PLANTS NOT EQUIPPED WITH OR NOT PROPOSED TO BE EQUIPPED WITH A VAPOR PROCESSOR
 - (e) Per Tank
 - (f) Per Truck Loading Head
 - (g) RESERVED
 - PART 3 FACILITIES FUELING INTERMEDIATE REFUELERS (IR) FOR SUBSEQUENT FUELING OF MOTOR VEHICLES, BOATS OR AIRCRAFT
 - (h) Per IR Loading Connector

SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities Subject to District Rules 61.0 thru 61.6

(a) Phase II Vapor Recovery Facility

(b) Addition of Tanks at a Permitted Facility

(c) Facilities where only Phase I controls are required

- (d) Addition of Nozzles at Permitted Facilities where Phase II is required
- (e) Non-Retail Facilities with 260-550 Gallon Tanks and no other Non-Bulk Gasoline Dispensing Permits

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds)

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

- (t) Marine Coating Application at Facilities where combined coating, adhesive and cleaning solvents usage is <3 gallons per day and <100 gallons per year
- (a) Marine Coating Application at Facilities emitting < 10 Tons/Year Organic Compounds from Marine Coating Operations
- (b) Marine Coating Application at Facilities emitting 10 or more Tons/Year Organic Compounds from Marine Coating Operations
- (c) Each additional Marine Coating Permit Unit

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS

- (d) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station using > 1 gallon/day without Control Equipment and not covered by other Fee Schedules at Facilities emitting < 5 Tons/Year
- (e) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station without Control Equipment and not covered by other Fee Schedules at Facilities emitting 5 or more Tons/Year
- (f) Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at Facilities emitting < 10 Tons/Year from these types of operations
- (g) Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at Facilities emitting 10 or more Tons/Year from these types of operations
- (h) RESERVED
- (i) Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment
- (j) Surface Coating Application Station Subject to Rules 67.3 or 67.9 without Control Equipment at Facilities emitting < 5 Tons/Year
- (k) Surface Coating Application Station Subject to Rules 67.3 or 67.9 without Control Equipment at Facilities emitting 5 or more Tons/Year
- (l) Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at a Facility emitting < 5 Tons/Year and using > 500 Gallons/Year
- (m) Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at a Facility emitting 5 or more Tons/Year
- (n) Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts Facility subject t Rule 67.16
- (o) Union Tribune Publishing Graphic Arts Operation
- (p) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station without Control Equipment and not covered by other fee schedules using <1 gallon per day or 50 gallons per year
- (q) Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at a facility using 500 gallons or less per year

SCHEDULE 27: continued

PART 3 - AUTOMOTIVE PAINTING

- (r) Facility applying 5 gallons or less of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) Per Day
- (s) Facility applying more than 5 gallons of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) Per Day

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

- (a) Vapor Degreaser > 5 sq. ft.
- (b) Cold Solvent Degreaser > 5 sq. ft.
- (c) Corrosion Control Carts
- (d) Paint Stripping Tanks
- (e) Vapor Phase Solder Reflow Unit
- (f) Remote Reservoir Cleaners
- (g) Coating Application Equipment Cleaners
- (h) Vapor Degreaser (< 5 sq. ft)
- (i) Cold Solvent Degreaser (< 5 sq. ft)
- (j) Metal Inspection Tanks
- (k) Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners
- (1) Small Contract Service Cold Degreasers
- (m) Facility-Wide Solvent Application Operations
- (n) Contract Services Coating Application Equipment Cleaners

SCHEDULE 29: Solder Levelers and Hydrosqueegees

SCHEDULE 30: Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer

SCHEDULE 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities

- (a) Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment
- (b) Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents
- (c) Facility using Solvents not required to install Control Equipment
- (d) Naval Training Center Dry Cleaning Facility

SCHEDULE 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing

- (a) Copper Etching Tank
- (b) Acid Chemical Milling Tank
- (c) Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank

SCHEDULE 33: Can and Coil Manufacturing and Coating Operations

- (a) Process Line Applying 1000 Gallons or More Per Year
- (b) Research and Development Coil Coating Line
- (c) Process Line Applying <1000 Gallons Per Year

SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines

(a) Cogeneration Engine with In-Stack Emission Controls

(b) Cogeneration Engine with Engine Design Emission Controls

(c) Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee

(d) Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation

(e) Grouping of Engines (> 200 Horsepower) for Dredging or Crane Operation

(f) Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer

- (g) Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation (< 200 Horsepower)
- SCHEDULE 35: Bulk Flour, Powered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage System
- SCHEDULE 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms
- SCHEDULE 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths
- SCHEDULE 38: Paint, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing
 - (a) Paint, Stain and Ink Manufacturing Lines Producing 10,000 Gallons

(b) Can Filling Lines

- (c) Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing
- (d) Paint, Stain and Ink Manufacturing Lines Producing <10,000 Gallons
- SCHEDULE 39: Precious Metals Refining
- SCHEDULE 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers
- SCHEDULE 41: Perlite Processing
- SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing
 - (a) Electronic Manufacturing Operations
 - (b) Electronic Manufacturing Screen Printing
 - (c) Electronic Manufacturing Coating/Maskant Application
 - (d) Electronic Manufacturing Conformal Coating
 - (e) Electronic Manufacturing Facility-wide Solvent Application
- SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting
- SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials
 - (a) Evaporators and Driers
 - (b) Solvent Recovery Stills

SCHEDULE 45: Rubber Mixers

SCHEDULE 46: Reverse Osmosis Membrane Manufacturing

SCHEDULE 47: (a) Organic Gas Sterilizers

(b) Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator

SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing

(a) Sanitary Landfill

(b) Temporary Storage and/or Transfer Station(c) Landfill Gas Flare or Containment System

(d) Municipal Waste Incinerator

(e) North County Resource Recovery

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters

SCHEDULE 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment

(a) Onsite

(b) Offsite

SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping and Soil Remediation Equipment

(a) Air Stripping Equipment

(b) Soil Remediation Equipment

SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment

(a) Lens Casting Equipment

(b) Lens Coating Equipment

SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing

(a) Pharmaceutical Manufacturing

(b) Protein Synthesis Employing Solvents

SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating & Chromic Acid Anodizing

(a) Emissions Collection System serving one or more plant and/or Anodizing Tank(s)

b) Facility with Decorative Plating Tank(s) Only

(c) Hard Chrome Plating or Chromic Acid Tank

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

(a) Sewage Treatment Facility

(b) Wastewater Odor Treatment Equipment that is not part of a Permitted Sewage Treatment Facility

(c) Sewage Sludge Composting Facility

SCHEDULE 57: Laundry Facilities Processing Material Containing Organic Compounds

SCHEDULE 58 through 90, RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous - Hourly rates

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Done by the District

- (a) Particulate Matter Source Test
- (b) Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test
- (c) Oxides of Sulfur Source Test
- (d) Hydrocarbon Vapor Processor Test
- (e) Observation and Reporting of Odor Panel Test
- (f) Carbon Monoxide Source Test (continuous analyzer)(g) Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test (continuous analyzer)
- (h) Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test
- (i) Ammonia Slippage Source Test

SCHEDULE 93: Observations and Evaluations of Source Testing Performed by Private Companies

- (a) Observations
- (b) Source Test Reports
- (c) Test Procedure Review

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T&M) Labor Rates

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis of Architectural Coatings

SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs incurred by Non-Compliance Sources

SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths

Any permit unit consisting of air hoses, with or without water lines, with a single pot rated at 100 pounds capacity or more of sand regardless of abrasive used, and a nozzle or nozzles. (Equipment not operated solely in Schedule 2 facilities).

		Initi	al
<u>Fee</u>	<u>Unit</u>	A/C-P/O Fees	Renewal
(a)	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no	(1)	(2)
	Peripheral Equipment	\$347	\$145
(b)	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	T+RN	\$145
(c)	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$957	\$120
(d)	Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	T+RN	\$120

SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$1375	\$111
(b)	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	T+RN	\$111

SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt

Fee	Fee Unit		Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons.	\$619	\$151
(b)	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gals. & requiring emission control equipment.	T+RN	\$151

SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Plant	T+RN	\$4382

SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Drill with water controls	\$750	\$143
(b)	Each Drill with controls other than water	T+RN	\$143

SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Screens, when not used in conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Screen Set	\$2115	\$1046

SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
(a)	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line).	(1) T+RN	(2) \$2049
(b)	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system).	T+RN	\$1074
(c)	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time).	T+RN	\$1137
(d)	Each Aggregate Drier System	T+RN	\$1347

SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems

Fee	<u>Unit</u>	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated-Base Plants)	T+RN	\$591
(b)	Each Mixer over One Cubic Yard Capacity	T+RN	\$437
(c)	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another System requiring a Permit	T+RN	\$533

SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Plant	T+RN	\$774

SCHEDULE 10: Brick Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Clay Batching and Extruding System	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Crusher-Screen System	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Kiln	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 11: Tire Buffers

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Buffer	T+RN	\$233

SCHEDULE 12: Fish Canneries and Smoke Houses

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Dryer (Meal Drying and Grinding System)	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Precooker	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Vat and Vibrating Screen System	T+RN	T+M
(d)	Each Scrap Cooker and Grinder System	T+RN	T+M
(e)	Each Cooker	T+RN	T+M
(f)	Each Dry Pet Food Processing System	T+RN	T+M
(g)	Each Digester Tank	T+RN	T+M
(h)	Each Smoke House	T+RN	\$168
(i)	Each Loadout System	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input.	\$1328	\$299
(b)	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+RN	\$2531
(c)	Each 250 MM BTU/HR up to 1050 MM BTU/HR input or up to but not including 100 Mega-watt gross output whichever is greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%).	T+RN	\$497
(d)	Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+RN	\$82,511
(e)	RESERVED		
(f)	Each Unit 1 MM BTU's/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU's/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located.	\$1155	\$126
(g)	Each 250 MM BTU/HR up to 1050 MM BTU/HR input or up to but not including 100 Megawatt gross output, whichever is greater, where a Notice of Intention has been filed with the California Energy Commission.	T+RN	T+M
(h)	Each 100 Megawatt gross output or greater where a Notice of Intention has been filed with the California Energy Commission	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Waste burning capacity up to & including 100 lbs/hr*.	T+RN	\$3100
(b)	Waste burning capacity greater than 100 lbs/hr.	T+RN	\$1259
(c)	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals.	T+RN	\$280
(d)	Incinerator at Kaiser Permanente	T+RN	\$26,015
(e)	Emission Controls or Modification for ATCM	T+RN	N/A

^{*}Excluding incinerators of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.

SCHEDULE 15: Burn-Out Ovens

Fee	<u>Unit</u>	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+RN	\$193
(b)	Each Wire Reclamation Oven	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each IC Engine Parts Refurbishing Unit	T+RN	\$221

SCHEDULE 16: Core and Plastics Annealing/Softening Ovens

Fee	<u>Unit</u>	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Core Oven	T+RN	\$ 96
(b)	Each Plastic Annealing/Softening Ovens	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 17: Brake Debonders

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Brake Debonder	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Sweat Furnace	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Electric Arc Furnace	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible	T+RN	\$129
(d)	Each Pot Furnace	T+RN	\$127
(e)	Each Induction Furnace	T+RN	\$121
(f)	Each Cupola	T+RN	T+M
(g)	Each Reverberatory Furnace	T+RN	T+M
(h)	Brass Melting Furnace - U.S. Navy	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Tank	T+RN	\$ 74

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND TURBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS		
(a) Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+RN	\$1521
(b) Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+RN	\$1264
(c) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+RN	\$ 343
GAS TURBINE ENGINES		
(d) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+RN	\$4067
(e) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+RN	\$9044
(f) Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+RN	\$3440
(g) Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+RN	\$ 362
(h) Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+RN	\$ 107

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(a)	Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+RN	\$194	
(b)	Each Metal Shredder	T+RN	\$621	
(c)	Each Garbage & Refuse Shredder	T+RN	T+M	
(d)	Each Air Classifier	T+RN	T+M	
(e)	Each Dryer	T+RN	T+M	

SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal	
	(1)	(2)	
(a) Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+RN	\$185	
(b) Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+RN	\$ 51	
(c) Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	System, T+RN	\$ 62	
(d) Each Mixer System	T+RN	\$ 58	
(e) Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+RN	\$ 70	

SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	T+RN	\$252
(b)	Each Storage Silo System	T+RN	\$149
(c)	Each Loadout Station System	T+RN	\$454
(d)	Each Belt Transfer Station	T+RN	\$117

SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing and Detergent Spray Tower

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(a)	Each Grain Mixing System (includes receiving, transfer, mixing or blending, storage, and loadout bagging).	T+RN	\$106	
(b)	Each Detergent Spray Tower.	T+RN	T+M	
(c)	Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard.	T+RN	\$ 45	

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities

Fee Unit			Fees	Renewal	
1.		Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or osed to be equipped with a vapor processor:	(1)	(2)	
	(a)	Per Tank	T+RN	\$ 547	
	(b)	Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+RN	N/A	
	(c)	Per Truck Loading Head	T+RN	\$ 431	
	(d)	Per Vapor Processor	T+RN	\$2473	
2.		Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be ped with a vapor processor:			
	(e)	Per Tank	T+RN	\$ 75	
	(f)	Per Truck Loading Head	T+RN	\$128	
	(g)	RESERVED			

"Vapor Processor" means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compounds by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, absorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.

3. Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, and aircraft.

(h) Per IR Loading Connector

T+RN

\$ 82

If a facility falls into Parts 1, 2 or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the "per nozzle" fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.

SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(a)	INITIAL INSTALLATIONS AND TOTAL RENOVAT CONTROLS ARE REQUIRED (INCLUDES PHASE I		PHASE I & PHASE II	
	Base Fee/Per Nozzle Fee	\$534/79	\$ 58*	
(b)	REPLACEMENT OR ADDITION OF TANKS AT A PERMITTED PHASE II FACILITY			
	Fee Per Facility**	\$609	N/A	
(c)	FACILITIES WHERE ONLY PHASE I CONTROLS A REPLACEMENT)	ARE REQUIRED	(INCLUDES TANK	
	Fee Per Facility	\$461	\$104	

SCHEDULE 26: - Continued

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal	
	(1)	(2)	
(d) ADDITION OF NOZZLES AT PERMITTED FACILITIES WHERE PHASE II IS REQU			
Base Fee	\$652	N/A	
Fee Per Added Nozzle	\$ 83	N/A	
(e) NON RETAIL FACILITIES WITH 260-550 GALLON TANKS & NO OTHER NON-BULK GASOLINE DISPENSING PERMITS			
Fee Per Facility	\$173	\$ 68	

^{*} Fee per nozzle.

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds).

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(t)	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities where combined coating, adhesive, and cleaning solvent usage is <3 gallons per day and <100 gallons per year	T+RN	\$181	
(a)	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting < 10 tons/year organic compounds from Marine Coating Operations	\$2023	\$562	
(b)	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting 10 or more tons/year organic compounds from Marine Coating Operations	\$6096	\$4635	
(c)	Each additional Permit Unit for Marine Coating at existing permitted facilities.	T+RN	\$158	

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING (includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, adhesives, and manufacturing products with materials which contain volatile organic compounds, etc. where no more than one material is applied at any given time).

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
(d)	Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station Station w/o control equipment & not covered by other Fee Schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon per day of surface coatings or adhesives and emitting < 5 tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this	(1)	(2) \$211
	fee schedule		

^{**} This subschedule does not apply if nozzles are added to an existing facility at the same time tanks are replaced or added. Use Subschedule 26(d) instead.

SCHEDULE 27: - Continued

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
(e)	Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other Fee	(1)	(2)
	Schedules at facilities emitting 5 or more tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1872	\$974
(f)	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at facilities emitting < 10 tons/year organic compounds from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations	\$1142	\$306
(g)	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Process Line at facilities emitting 10 or more tons/year organic compounds from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations	\$2821	\$1984
(h)	RESERVED		
(i)	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment	T+RN	\$865
(j)	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting < 5 tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1576	\$404
(k)	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting 5 or more tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1942	\$770
(1)	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at a facility using > 500 gallons per year of wood products coatings and emitting < 5 tons/year organic compounds from Wood Products Coating Operations	\$567	\$305
(m)	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at a facility emitting 5 tons or more per year organic compounds from Wood Products Coating Operations	\$2259	\$1361
(n)	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	T+RN	\$217
(o)	Each Graphic Arts Operation at the Union Tribune Publishing Co. facility subject to Rule 67.16	T+RN	\$1597
(p)	Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station w/o control equipment except automotive painting where combined coating, adhesive, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon per day or 50 gallons per year	\$439	\$181
(q)	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using 500 gallons or less per year for wood products coating operations	\$505	\$244

SCHEDULE 27: - Continued

PART 3 - AUTOMOTIVE PAINTING

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(r)	Each facility applying 5 gallons or less of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) per day	\$1107	\$339	
(s)	Each facility applying more than 5 gallons of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) per day	\$1277	\$509	

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(a)	Each Vapor Degreaser with an Air Vapor Interfacial area > 5 square feet	\$1114	\$223	
(b)	Each Cold Solvent Degreaser with liquid surface area > 5 square feet	\$1070	\$251	
(c)	Each Corrosion Control Cart	T+RN	\$223	
(d)	Each Paint Stripping Tank	\$1017	\$188	
(e)	Each Vapor Phase Solder Reflow Unit	T+RN	\$314	
(f)	Remote Reservoir Cleaners	\$182	\$ 29	
(g)	Coating Application Equipment Cleanup Devices	\$195	\$ 41	
(h)	Vapor Degreaser with an Air-Vapor Interfacial area less than 5 square feet	\$342	\$216	
(i)	Cold Solvent Degreaser with a liquid surface area less than 5 square feet	\$175	\$ 49	
(j)	Metal Inspection Tanks	T+RN	\$ 31	
(k)	Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners with 100 or more units	T+RN	\$ 16	
(I)	Contract Service Cold Degreasers with a liquid surface area of less than 5 square feet	T+RN	\$ 16	
(m)	Each facility-wide solvent application operation	T+RN	T+M	
(n)	Contract Services Coating Application Equipment Cleanup Devices	\$195	\$ 41	

SCHEDULE	29:	Solder Levelers and Hydrosqueegees
----------	-----	------------------------------------

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Eacl Fee	n Solder Leveler or Hydrosqueegee not covered by other Schedules (except Vapor-Phase Solder Reflow Units)	T+RN	\$300
	SCHEDULE 30: Solvent and Extract Driers		
Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Kelı	and Biogum Products Solvent Drier	T+RN	\$7360
	SCHEDULE 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities		
Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
(-)	77-17-17-11-	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment	T+RN	\$430
(b)	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+RN	\$390
(c)	Each Facility using Solvents not required to install Control Equipment	T+RN	\$322
(d)	NTC Dry Cleaning Facility	T+RN	\$2321
	SCHEDULE 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper 1	Etching and Hot	Dip Galvanizin
Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Copper Etching Tank	T+RN	\$ 48
(b)	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+RN	\$180
(c)	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+RN	\$561
	SCHEDULE 33: Can and Coil Manufacturing and		ions
_	~~ 1		-
<u>Fee</u>	Unit	Fees	Renewal
Fee (a)	Unit Each Process Line applying 1000 gallons or more	(1) T+RN	Renewal (2) \$2081

Research and Development Coil Coating Line

Each Process Line applying <1000 gallons per year

per year

(b)

(c)

\$966

T+RN

\$432

\$303

SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
(a)	Each Cogeneration Engine with in-stack emission	(1)	(2)
(α)	controls	T+RN	\$2681
(b)	Each Cogeneration Engine with Engine Design Emission Controls	T+RN	\$2839
(c)	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$1473	\$ 265
(d)	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation	T+RN	\$1107
(e)	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane Operation with total engine horsepower equal to or greater than 200 HP	T+RN	\$1244
(f)	Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+RN	T+M
(g)	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation less than 200 horsepower	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 35: Bulk Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage Systems

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each System	T+RN	\$ 96

SCHEDULE 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Booth or Room	\$1222	\$144

SCHEDULE 37: Plasma Electric and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Application Station	T+RN	\$ 91

SCHEDULE 38: Paint, Stain, Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a) Each Process Line for Paint, Sta ing at facilities producing 10,00	ain or Ink Manufactur- O gallons or more per year	T+RN	\$843
(b) Each Can Filling Line		T+RN	\$ 85
(c) Each Process Line for Solder Pa Paste Manufacturing	aste or Dielectric	T+RN	T+M
(d) Each Paint, Stain or Ink Manufa producing <10,000 gallons per		T+RN	T+M
SCHEDULE 39: Precious	Metals Refining		
Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Each Process Line		T+RN	\$ 26
SCHEDULE 40: Asphalt l	Pavement Heaters/Recyclers		
Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Each Processor		T+RN	\$133
SCHEDULE 41: Perlite P.	rocessing		
Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Each Process Line		T+RN	\$468

SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing

Fee Unit_		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Process Line	T+RN	\$456
(b)	Each Screen Printing Operation	T+RN	\$180
(c)	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation, excluding Conformal Operation	T+RN	\$180
(d)	Each Conformal Coating Operation	T+RN	\$180
(e)	Each Facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Each	n Process Line	T+RN	\$262
	SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Proce	eccina Organic N	Natarials
	,,,	ssing Organic i	vialcitais
Fee '	Unit	Fees	Renewal
(a)	Evaporators and Driers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	(1) T+RN	(2) \$259
(b)	Solvent Recovery Stills with a rated capacity equal to or greater than 7.5 gallons	\$643	\$ 88
	SCHEDULE 45: Rubber Mixers		
Fee 1	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Each	n Rubber Mixer	T+RN	\$ 70
Fee 1	SCHEDULE 46: Reverse Osmosis Membrane Manu Unit	Fees	
	** *.	Ü	Renewal (2) \$763
	Unit	Fees (1)	
Each	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers	Fees (1) T+RN	(2) \$763
Each	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit	Fees (1)	(2)
Each	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers	Fees (1) T+RN Fees	(2) \$763 Renewal
Each Fee 1	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1)	(2) \$763 Renewal (2)
Each Fee	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138
Fee (a)	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Process	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN Fees	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138 T+M
Fee (a) (b)	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Proceunit	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN Fees (1)	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138
Fee (a) (b) Fee (a)	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Procunit Each Sanitary Landfill	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138 T+M
Fee (a) (b) Fee (a)	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Proceunit	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN Fees (1)	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138 T+M
Each Fee (a) (b) Fee (a) (c)	Unit Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Procunit Each Sanitary Landfill	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138 T+M Renewal (2) T+M
Fee (a) (b) (c) (d)	Process Line SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers Unit Each Organic Gas Sterilizer Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Procunit Each Sanitary Landfill Each Temporary Storage and/or Transfer Station	Fees (1) T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) \$763 Renewal (2) \$138 T+M Renewal (2) T+M T+M

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Von	-Operational Status Equipment	T+RN	\$120
	SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters		
Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
Eacl	h Coffee Roaster	T+RN	\$181
	SCHEDULE 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatme	ent	
Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Per Processing Line - Onsite	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Per Processing Line - Offsite	T+RN	T+M
<u>Fee</u>	SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation I	Fees	Renewal (2)
<u>Fee</u> (a)	Unit		(2)
		Fees (1)	
(a) (b)	Unit Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment	Fees (1) T+RN	(2) T+M
(a) (b)	Unit Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees	(2) T+M T+M
(a) (b) Fee	Unit Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1)	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2)
(a) (b) Fee (a)	Unit Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit Each Lens Casting Line	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2) T+M
(a) (b) Fee (a)	Unit Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1)	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2)
(a) (b) Fee (a)	Unit Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit Each Lens Casting Line	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2) T+M
(a) (b) Fee (a) (b)	Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit Each Lens Casting Line Each Lens Coating Line	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2) T+M
(a) (b) Fee (a) (b)	Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit Each Lens Casting Line Each Lens Coating Line SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2) T+M T+M
(a) (b) Fee (a) (b)	Air Stripping Equipment Soil Remediation Equipment SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit Each Lens Casting Line Each Lens Coating Line SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	Fees (1) T+RN T+RN Fees (1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) T+M T+M Renewal (2) T+M T+M Renewal

SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating and Chromic Acid Anodizing

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Emission Collection System serving one or more Plating and/or Anodizing Tank(s)	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Decorative Plating Tank(s) Only	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Hard Chrome Plating or Chromic Acid Tank	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Sewage Treatment Facility	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Wastewater Odor Treatment System that is not part of a Permitted Sewage Treatment Facility	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Sewage Sludge Composting Facility	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 57: Laundry Facilities Processing Material Containing Organic Compounds

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Laundry Facility	T+RN	\$646

SCHEDULE 58 THROUGH 90, RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous - Hourly Rates

The fee for the Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate and annual renewal for items not listed in the above fee schedules of this subsection shall be determined by the actual costs incurred by the Air Pollution Control District. The initial Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate and first year renewal (Column 1) fee shall be the sum of the annual renewal fee determined in Column (2) and the actual Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate evaluation cost determined by using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. The annual renewal fee (Column 2) shall be the sum of the emission related indirect cost and the cost determined using the permit related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Scheduled 94.

The applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of evaluation and inspection, including the first year's surveillance, before an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate is processed. If the actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District is less than the amount deposited, the difference shall be refunded to the applicant. If any deposit is insufficient to pay all the actual costs, the applicant shall pay an amount deemed sufficient by the Air Pollution Control Officer to complete the work in progress. If the applicant fails or refuses to pay such amount upon demand, the Air Pollution Control District may recover the same by action in any court of competent jurisdiction. Until such amount is paid in full, the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed. An Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate shall not be issued until all required fees are paid.

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Done by the District

Whenever the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that it is necessary for the Air Pollution Control District or a contractor hired by the District to make an analysis of the emission from any source for the purpose of more accurately quantifying emissions or determining whether a Permit to Operate or annual renewal of a Permit to Operate shall be issued, or where there is good reason to believe a source may not be in compliance with the District's Rules and Regulations the cost of collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the necessary reports, shall be added to the appropriate fee schedule herein. Source test fees shall be as determined in the following manner:

Fee	Unit	Fee
(a)	Each Particulate Matter Source Test	\$3703
(b)	Each Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test	\$1072
(c)	Each Oxides of Sulfur Source Test	T+M
(d)	Each Hydrocarbon Vapor Processor Source Test	T+M
(e)	Each Observation and Participation of Odor Panel Test	T+M
(f)	Each Carbon Monoxide Source Test with a Continuous Analyzer	T+M+\$115
(g)	Each Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test with a Continuous Analyzer	T+M+\$115
(h)	Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test	T+M
(i)	Each Ammonia Slippage Source Test	T+M
(j)	Continuous Emission Monitor Evaluation	T+M

The cost of testing not specified in Sections (a) through (j) or where a time and material (T+M) fee is indicated shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

SCHEDULE 93: Observations and Evaluations of Source Testing Performed by Private Companies

Whenever the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that it is necessary for the Air Pollution Control District to observe source testing performed by private companies for the purpose of determining whether a Permit to Operate or annual renewal of a Permit to Operate shall be issued, or where there is good reason to believe a source may not be in compliance with the District's Rules and Regulations the cost of the observation and the preparation of a report shall be added to the applicable fees of this rule.

When a test procedure review is requested by a private company and the Air Pollution Control Officer agrees that a review should be made, the cost of the review shall be paid by such private company.

Fee Unit		Fees
(a) Obs	ervations	T+M
(b) Sou	rce Test Reports	T+M
(c) Tes	t Procedure Review	T+M

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates

Fee Unit	Fees
Engineering Technician	\$39/HR
Junior Engineer	\$42/HR
Assistant Engineer	\$46/HR
Associate Engineer	\$51/HR
Senior Engineer	\$59/HR
Air Quality Inspector I	\$43/HR
Air Quality Inspector II	\$48/HR
Air Quality Inspector III	\$45/HR
Assistant Air Resources Specialist	\$40/HR
Associate Air Resources Specialist	\$50/HR
Assistant Meteorologist	\$59/HR
Associate Meteorologist	\$59/HR
Senior Meteorologist	\$73/HR
Assistant Chemist	\$46/HR
Associate Chemist	\$50/HR
Senior Chemist	\$69/HR
Supervising Instrument Technician	\$60/HR
Instrument Technician I	\$46/HR
Instrument Technician II	\$46/HR
Source Test Technician	\$36/HR
Air Pollution Control Aide	\$32/HR
Student Worker II	\$15/HR
Student Worker III	\$24/HR
Application Related Indirect Cost Multiplier	1.83
Permit Related Indirect Cost Multiplier	1.53
Emission Related Indirect Cost Multiplier	116/Ton*

^{*} Annual emissions as determined by the Air Pollution Control Officer. Includes organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen, oxides of sulfur, carbon monoxide and particulates.

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis of Architectural Coatings

Whenever the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that it is necessary for the Air Pollution Control District to make an analysis of an architectural coating for the purpose of determining potential emissions from use of the coating and/or for the purpose of determining compliance with the District's Rules and Regulations, the cost of collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the necessary reports, shall be paid by the manufacturer of the coating. The cost shall be equal to the cost determined by the using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94. The total cost for each sample and analysis shall not exceed \$128.

SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs Incurred by the District

Whenever the Air Pollution Control District is required to provide consultation, testing or inspection services to a permittee beyond the average consultation, testing and inspection covered by the permit fees specified in the preceding schedules because the permittee's source is out of compliance with District Rules and Regulations, the cost of such consultation, testing and inspection shall be a fee in addition to the permit fees provided elsewhere in Rule 40. The cost of such consultation, testing

and inspection shall be determined using the permit related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. The permittee shall be billed for the additional fee for the consultation, testing and inspection, and shall remit such amount to the Air Pollution Control District within 30 days of being notified that such amount is due, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

2. RULE 42. HEARING BOARD FEES

- (a) Every applicant or petitioner in a proceeding before the Hearing Board shall pay to the Clerk of the Hearing Board, on filing, a filing fee for each petition in the amount shown below:
 - (1) For each application, including any associated application for an interim variance, for variance exceeding 90 days (in addition to any fee for emergency variance application), or for approval of a Schedule of Increments of Progress in accordance with §41703 of the Health & Safety Code, including applicants on behalf of a class of applicants, which meet the requirements of the Hearing Board Rules for a valid and proper class action for variance

......\$320

- (2) For each application, including any associated application for an interim variance, for variance not exceeding 90 days (in addition to any fee for emergency variance application), or to modify or extend variance or abatement order....... \$107
- (3) For each application for an emergency variance pursuant to the provisions of Rule 97 or Rule 98 \$53

In the event that a petition is withdrawn or a hearing is not held for any reason, the petitioner shall be entitled to a refund of 50 percent of the filing fee.

Any person who requests an emergency variance pursuant to the provisions of Rule 98 shall pay the filing fee to the Clerk of the Hearing Board no later than five working days after the request is considered by the Chairperson or designated members of the Hearing Board. It is hereby determined that the cost of administration of Article 2, Chapter 4, Part 4, Division 25, of the Health and Safety Code, and Rule 25 of these Rules and Regulations, exceeds the fees required by this rule.

- (b) Any person requesting a transcript of the hearing shall pay the cost of such transcript.
- (c) This rule shall not apply to petitions filed by the Air Pollution Control Officer.
- (d) Any person who certifies with factual presentation under penalty of perjury that payment of the foregoing Hearing Board fees will cause an unreasonable hardship, may be excused from payment of these fees by Order of the Hearing Board on that account when such findings are made by the Hearing Board.

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the subject amendments to Rules 40 and 42 of Regulation III shall take effect upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Air Pollution Control Board of the San Diego County Air Pollution Control District, State of California, this 28th day of July, 1992 by the following vote:

AYES: Members Bilbray, Bailey, Golding, Williams and MacDonald

NOES: Members None ABSENT: Members None

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)ss County of San Diego)

I, THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA, Clerk of the Air Pollution Control District, County of San Diego, State of California, hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing copy with the original resolution passed and adopted by said Board at a regular meeting thereof, at the time and by the vote therein stated, which original resolution is now on file in my office; that the same contains a full, true and correct transcript therefrom and of the whole thereof.

Witness my hand and the seal of the Air Pollution Control District, County of San Diego, State of California, this 4th day of August, 1992.

THOMAS J. PASTUSZKA

Clerk of the Air Pollution Control

District

(SEAL)

By Esther C. Ryan, Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY.
COUNTY COUNSEL

I m

DEPUTY

CHANGE COPY

Re Rules and Regulations of the)
Air Pollution Control District)
of San Diego County)

RESOLUTION AMENDING RULE 40 AND RULE 42 OF REGULATION III OF THE RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

On motion of Member	, seconded by Member	the
following resolution is adopted:		

WHEREAS, the San Diego County Air Pollution Control Board, pursuant to Section 40702 of the Health and Safety Code, adopted Rules and Regulations of the Air Pollution Control District of San Diego County; and

WHEREAS, said Board now desires to amend said Rules and Regulations; and

WHEREAS, notice has been given and a public hearing has been had relating to the amendment of said Rules and Regulations pursuant to Section 40725 of the Health and Safety Code.

NOW THEREFORE IT IS RESOLVED AND ORDERED by the San Diego County Air Pollution Control Board that the Rules and Regulations of the Air Pollution Control District of San Diego County be and hereby are amended as follows:

Amendments to Rule 40, Sections (a), (b), (c), (l), (m) and (q); Fee Schedules; and Rule 42 are to read as follows:

REGULATION III. FEES

1. RULE 40. PERMIT AND OTHER FEES

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND PERMIT TO OPERATE FEES

Every applicant for an Authority to Construct and/or a Permit to Operate for any article, machine, equipment or other contrivance shall pay an evaluation fee for each permit unit in the amount prescribed in Section (h), except as provided in Subsection (1) below. No application for Authority to Construct or Permit to Operate shall be considered received unless accompanied with the appropriate fee. If a permit applicant certifies to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction through declaration that it is unable to pay either the full fixed fee for fixed fee applications or the estimated application and first year renewal costs for time and material applications, the District may authorize the applicant to divide the cost into two payments with the second payment due not later than 90 days after filing of the Application for Authority to Construct or Permit to Operate. The applicant will be required to pay any additional administrative costs resulting from this authorization.

Other charges or refunds, if applicable, shall be as prescribed in Subsections (2) through (8) of this section.

(1) Reduced Fees for Similar Fee Units at a Single Location

Every applicant for Authorities to Construct and/or Permits to Operate for a series of similar fee units submitted concurrently and located at a single location shall pay the evaluation fee as prescribed in Section (h) for the first unit. For each additional unit, the fee shall be the annual renewal fee listed in Section (h), provided each unit will be operated independently, and the evaluation for an Authority to Construct for the first unit can be applied to the additional units because of similarity in design and operation. If all units cannot be evaluated and inspected for Permits to Operate at the same time the applicant shall pay a reinspection fee in accordance with Subsection (a)(7). The provisions of this subsection shall not apply to Fee Schedules 3 and 26.

(2) Review for Compliance with Rules 20.2, and 20.4, Regulation X and Regulation XI.

The actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District to determine compliance with Rule 20.2, Rule 20.4, Regulation X or Regulation XI shall be paid by the applicant, in addition to other applicable fees prescribed in this rule, if the District evaluation shows that such a determination is required. When notified that such a determination is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of the determination. The cost shall be determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

(3) Air Quality Analysis of Compliance with Rule 20.3

The actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District in preparing, processing, or reviewing an air quality analysis required by Rule 20.3 shall be paid by the applicant, in addition to other applicable fees prescribed in this rule. The cost shall be equal to a base fee of \$3,000 plus the cost determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. When notified that an analysis is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated by the District to cover the cost of the analysis. Any first level screening analysis required by the Air Pollution Control Officer under Rule 20.3(d) shall not require the base fee.

(4) Review for Compliance with Rule 51

The actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District to determine compliance with Rule 51 shall be paid by the applicant in addition to other applicable fees prescribed in this rule, if the basic evaluation shows that such a determination is required. When notified that such a determination is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated by the District to cover the cost of the determination. The cost shall be determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

(5) Amendments to an Authority to Construct Application

In accordance with Regulation II, an applicant may request written authorization to alter the proposed design and/or operational characteristics of a specified permit unit before work has begun on the Permit to Operate evaluation. The applicant shall pay the actual cost incurred by the District to evaluate the impact of the alteration not to exceed the value found in Column 1 of the applicable schedule of Section (h). When an additional fee is required, the applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of the additional evaluation. The estimate and the actual cost incurred by the District shall be

determined using the applicable indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. In addition, fees as specified in Subsections (a)(2), (3) and (4) shall be paid if appropriate.

(6) Alteration, Operational Change or Replacement Involving an Existing Permit Unit

Every applicant for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate involving the alteration of, an addition to, or a change in the permit conditions of any existing article, machine, equipment or other contrivance for which a Permit to Operate has been issued, shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of the evaluation. The estimate and the actual cost shall be determined by using the applicable indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94, not to exceed the value found in Column 1 of the applicable schedule of Section (h).

Replacement of non-identical permit units subject to fixed fees shall be charged the initial fee in Column (1) less Column (2) of the applicable schedule in Section (h), if there is a current permit on the equipment to be replaced. If the non-identical replacement involves an increase in renewal fees due to increased quantity or size, the applicant shall pay the incremental increase in the renewal fee. The increase shall be prorated from the effective date of the revised Permit to Operate until the renewal date established for the original equipment. In addition, fees as specified in Subsections (a)(2), (3) and (4) shall be paid if appropriate.

This subsection shall not apply where an entire permit unit is replaced or renovated.

(7) Reinspection Fees

If, during an inspection for a Permit to Operate, a permit unit cannot be evaluated, due to circumstances beyond the control of the Air Pollution Control District, the applicant shall pay the actual cost of a reinspection. The cost shall be determined using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

(8) Refunds, Forfeitures and Insufficient Payment of Fees

If an applicant withdraws an application before an engineering evaluation has been started, a full refund, less a \$33 processing and handling fee, shall be made to the applicant upon request.

If an Authority to Construct is denied or cancelled, or if an applicant withdraws an application before a Permit to Operate evaluation has been started, a refund at the rate of 50% of the initial application fee shall be made to the applicant upon request. A Permit to Operate evaluation shall be considered to have started on notification to the District that construction of the equipment has been completed. For portable and off the shelf equipment, the Permit to Operate evaluation shall be considered to have started when the contact has been made to schedule an inspection. This provision does not apply if the initial application fee is based upon the indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

A full refund of fees paid in conjunction with an application for an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate shall be made to the applicant if the article, machine, equipment or other contrivance stated on the application does not come within the purview of state law or these Rules and Regulations.

If the actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District in Subsections (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6) and (a)(7) and the applicable time and material (T&M) portions of Section (h) is less than the amount deposited, the difference shall be refundable to the applicant. If any deposit is insufficient to pay all actual costs, the applicant shall pay an amount deemed sufficient by the Air Pollution Control Officer to complete the work in progress. If the applicant fails or refuses to pay such amount upon demand, the Air Pollution Control District

may recover the same by action in any court of competent jurisdiction. Until such amount is paid in full, the Air Pollution Control District shall not further process the Authority to Construct application unless the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed. An Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate shall not be issued until all required fees are paid. The Air Pollution Control Officer shall cancel an application when an applicant fails or refuses to pay such amount upon demand and the provisions of Rule 18 require action be taken on the application.

Where fees were submitted in accordance with Subsection (a)(1) and the applicant is entitled to a refund, the refund for additional units is equal to the annual renewal fee.

An applicant may appeal, directly to the Air Pollution Control Officer, any fee based on actual costs in Subsections (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), (a)(6) and (a)(7) and the applicable time and material (T&M) portions of Section (h). Such appeal shall be in the form of a letter and shall specifically state the basis of the appeal.

If an applicant has not applied for a refund within six months after notification has been made of eligibility for a refund, all rights to such refund shall be forfeited.

(b) ANNUAL RENEWAL FEES

An annual renewal fee shall be paid in the amount prescribed in Section (h) by any person who is required to apply for annual renewal of a permit pursuant to Rule 10(h). In order to effect a staggered renewal schedule as authorized by Rule 10(h), Permits to Operate may be issued or renewed for periods less than twelve months in increments of one month. When the renewal date is changed the renewal fee shall be prorated.

If a permittee certifies to the Air Pollution Control Officer' satisfaction through declaration that payment in full of permit to operate renewal fees would result in undue financial hardship, the District may negotiate an amended fee payment schedule, provided that the amended schedule includes reimbursing the District for any increased costs of processing the extra payments. Failure to make any payments by any negotiated due date may result in penalties as otherwise authorized in Rule 40 and/or cancellation of the permit.

If the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that the activities of any one company would cause an increase of at least ten percent in any specific fee schedule, the Air Pollution Control Officer may delete the cost incurred as a result of that company from the cost data used to determine the fee schedule. A specific fee schedule for the company shall be developed, in this case, to recover the District cost in connection with that company's activities. The specific fee schedule developed in this case shall be submitted to the Air Pollution Control Board for consideration and adoption.

If the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that a person has under-reported material usage, emissions or other information necessary for emissions inventory, and such under-reporting has led to a permit renewal fee less than what would have been due if correct usage, emissions or other information had been reported, then the person shall pay the difference between the original and corrected renewal fees plus a penalty equal to 30 percent of the difference. Such penalty shall not apply if the permittee demonstrates to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction that the under-reporting was the result of inadvertent error or omission which the permittee took all reasonable steps to avoid. If the amount due is not paid within 60 days of the due date, a penalty fee equal to 30 percent of the amount due shall be added, and an additional 10 percent added for each subsequent calendar month or portion thereof. In no case shall the penalty fee exceed 100 percent of the applicable fees.

(c) TRANSFER OF PERMITS

An applicant for the transfer of Permit(s) to Operate at a single location from one person to another or for inclusion or removal of any person(s) from the Permit(s) to Operate shall pay a fee of \$30 \$31, and shall supply proof of entitlement to operate provided no alteration, addition, or change in location has been made to the permit item on the application.

If, after an Authority to Construct has been issued and before a Permit to Operate has been granted, another person is designated to be the permittee, that person shall submit an application for Permit to Operate and pay the refundable portion of the initial application fee as determined from Subsection (a)(8) provided that construction will be made in accordance with the Authority to Construct that was previously issued.

(1) ASBESTOS DEMOLITION OR RENOVATION OPERATION PLAN

The owner or operator of a demolition or renovation operation to which Regulation XI Subpart M (NESHAPS) of the Rules and Regulations of the Air Pollution Control District apply, shall pay to the District a fee of \$84 for the evaluation of each required plan (Notice of Intention) to demolish or renovate and \$10 for each revision thereof.

The fees required by this rule shall be due at the time the asbestos control plan is received pursuant to Regulation XI Subpart M (NESHAPS). Plans or revisions thereof will not be considered received unless accompanied with the required fee. If the appropriate fee is not paid within 60 days of the due date, a penalty fee equal to 30 percent of the applicable fee shall be added to the fee. An additional penalty of 10 percent of the fee shall be added for each subsequent calendar month, or portion thereof.

(m) TOXIC HOT SPOTS

The owner or operator of a stationary source facility who is required by the Health and Safety Code §44300 et seq. to pay a fee participate in the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment program and to pay related fees, shall pay the required applicable fees, as specified below, to the District within 60 days of receipt of notice by the District of required fees. Failure to submit the fees within 60 days of the notice will result in a penalty fee equal to 30 percent of the applicable fees. An additional penalty fee of 10 percent of the applicable fees shall be added for each subsequent calendar month, or portion thereof, the payment of fees is late. In no case shall the penalty fee exceed 100 percent of the applicable fees.

(1) The owner or operator of a facility that has been identified by the District as falling into one of the following program phases shall pay an annual fee as follows:

Program Phase	Annual Fee (\$) /Facility
Phase I facilities	\$2021
Phase II facilities	\$ 763
Phase III facilities	\$ 57
U.S. Navy/Marine Corps Phase I facilities	\$6044
Municipal Waste Landfills	<u>\$ 235</u>

For the purposes of this section:

- (i) Phase I facilities are those facilities that emit, have emitted or have the potential to emit 25 tons per year or more of either total suspended particulates (TSP), oxides of nitrogen (NOx), oxides of sulfur (SOx) or total organic gases (TOG) and that are required to inventory emissions pursuant to Division 26, Part 6 of the Health and Safety Code,
- (ii) Phase II facilities are those facilities that emit, have emitted or have the potential to emit 10 tons per year or more, but less than 25 tons per year, of either TSP, NOx, SOx or TOG and that are required to inventory emissions pursuant to Division 26, Part 6 of the Health and Safety Code, and
- (iii) Phase III facilities are those facilities that emit, have emitted and have the potential to emit not more than 10 tons per year of either TSP, NOx, SOx or TOG and that are required to inventory emissions or provide production or materials usage data to the District pursuant to Division 26, Part 6 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (2) The owner or operator of a Phase I facility that is identified, pursuant to \$44360 of the Health and Safety Code, as being subject to the health risk assessment requirements of the program, or who volunteers to prepare such a risk assessment, shall pay a fee of \$3391 in addition to the applicable fee specified in Subsection (m)(1).

(q) RESERVED CERTIFICATION OF EOUIPMENT

Every applicant who applies for certification of equipment shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of review and certification. The estimate and the actual cost shall be determined by using the applicable indirect cost multipliers and labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

INDEX OF FEE SCHEDULES

SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths

- (a) Pot 100 Pounds Capacity or Larger with no Peripheral Equipment
- (b) Pot 100 Pounds Capacity or Larger Loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hopper
- (c) Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System
- (d) Spent Abrasive Handling System

SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths

- (a) Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth
- (b) Cabinet, Room or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System

SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt

- (a) Kettle or Tanker with Capacity Greater than 85 Gallons
- (b) Kettle or Tanker with Capacity Greater than 85 Gallons and Requiring Emission Control Equipment

SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plants

SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills

- (a) Drill with Water Controls
- (b) Drill with Controls other than Water

SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Screens, when not used as part of another permit item in these schedules

SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants

- (a) Crusher System
- (b) Screening System
- (c) Loadout System
- (d) Aggregate Drier System

SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers Over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo System.

- (a) Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated Base Plants)
- (b) Mixer over One Cubic Yard Capacity
- (c) Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another system requiring a permit
- (d) RESERVED
- (e) RESERVED

SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

SCHEDULE 10: Brick Manufacturing Plants

- Clay Batching and Extruding System
- Crusher-Screen System (b)
- (c) Kiln

SCHEDULE 11: Tire Buffers

SCHEDULE 12: Fish Canneries and Smoke Houses

- Dryer (also called Meal Drying and Grinding System)
- (b) Precooker
- Vat and Vibrating Screen System (c)
- (d) Scrap Cooker and Grinder System
- (e) Cooker
- Dry Pet Food Processing System (f)
- Digester Tank (g)
- (h)
- Smoke House Loadout System

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

- 1 MM BTU's/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU's/HR Input (a)
- 50 up to but not including 250 MM BTU's/HR Input (b)
- 250 MM BTU's/HR up to 1050 MM BTU's/HR Input or up to but not (c) including 100 Megawatt Gross Output whichever is Greater (Based on an Average Boiler Efficiency of 32.5%)
- (d) 100 Megawatt Gross Output or Larger (Based on an Average Boiler Efficiency of 32.5%)
- RESERVED (e)
- (f) 1 MM BTU's/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU's/HR Input at a Single Site where more than 5 such Units are Located
- Notice of Intention 250 MM BTU's/HR up to 1050 BTU's/HR or up to but not including 100 Megawatt Output
- (h) Notice of Intention - Each 100 Megawatt Output or Greater
- RESERVED (i)
- (i) RESERVED
- RESERVED (k)

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

- (a) Waste Burning Capacity up to and including 100 LBS/HR
- (b) Waste Burning Capacity Greater than 100 LBS/HR
- Burning Capacity up to and including 50 LBS/HR used exclusively for the Incineration or Cremation of Animals
- Incinerator at Kaiser Permanente
- **Emission Controls or Modifications** (e)
- **(f)** RESERVED

SCHEDULE 15: Burn Out Ovens

- (a) Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing
- (b) Wire Reclamation
- IC Engine Parts Refurbishing (c)

SCHEDULE 16: Core and Plastics Annealing/Softening Ovens

- (a) Oven
- (b) Plastic Annealing/Softening Ovens

SCHEDULE 17: Brake Debonders

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

- Sweat Furnace
- (b) Electric Arc Furnace
- (c) Pit or Stationary Crucible
- (d) Pot Furnace
- (d) Pot Furnace(e) Induction Furnace
- Cupola (f)
- Reverberatory Furnace (g)
- Brass Melting Furnace U.S. Navy

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

GAS TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET & TURBO FAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS

- (a) Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet, Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand
- (b) Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a Facility where more than one such Unit is located
- (c) Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Test Stand

GAS TURBINE ENGINES

- Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input
- (e) Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input
- (f) Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input
- Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation
- Standby Gas Turbines used for Emergency Power Generation

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

- (a) Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder
- (b) Metal Shredder
- (c) Garbage and Refuse Shredder
- (d) Air Classifier
- (e) Drier

SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants

- (a) Receiving System (includes Silos)
- (b) Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill
- (c) Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill
- (d) Mixer System
- (e) Truck Bulk Loading System

SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment

- (a) Receiving System (Railroad and Truck Unloading)
- (b) Storage Silo System
- (c) Loadout Station System
- (d) Belt Transfer Station

SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing and Detergent Spray Towers

- (a) Grain Mixing System (Includes Receiving, Transfer, Mixing, Blending, Storage, and Loadout Bagging)
- (b) Detergent Spray Tower
- (c) Dry Chemical Mixers with capacity over One-Half Cubic Yard

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities

PART 1 - BULK PLANTS AND BULK TERMINALS EQUIPPED WITH OR PROPOSED TO BE EQUIPPED WITH A VAPOR PROCESSOR

- (a) Per Tank
- (b) Tank Rim Seal Replacement
- (c) Per Truck Loading Head
- (d) Vapor Processor

PART 2 - BULK PLANTS NOT EQUIPPED WITH OR NOT PROPOSED TO BE EQUIPPED WITH A VAPOR PROCESSOR

- (e) Per Tank
- (f) Per Truck Loading Head
- (g) RESERVED

SCHEDULE 25 - CONTINUED

- PART 3 FACILITIES FUELING INTERMEDIATE REFUELERS (IR) FOR SUBSEQUENT FUELING OF MOTOR VEHICLES, BOATS OR AIRCRAFT
 - (h) Per IR Loading Connector
- SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities Subject to District Rules 61.0 thru 61.6
 - (a) Phase II Vapor Recovery Facility
 - (b) Addition of Tanks at a Permitted Facility
 - (c) Facilities where only Phase I controls are required
 - (d) Addition of Nozzles at Permitted Facilities where Phase II is required
 - (e) Non-Retail Facilities with 260-550 Gallon Tanks and no other Non-Bulk Gasoline Dispensing Permits
- SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds)

GENERAL

SMALL COATING AND ADHESIVE COATING OPERATIONS EXCLUDING OPERATIONS SUBJECT TO 27(n)

(p) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station without Control Equipment except automotive painting and not covered by other fee schedules using <5 gallons per day or 100 gallons per year

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

- (t) Marine Coating Application at Facilities where combined coating, adhesive and cleaning solvents usage is <3 gallons per day and <100 gallons per year
- (a) Marine Coating Application at Facilities emitting < 10 Tons/Year Organic Compounds from Marine Coating Operations
- (b) Marine Coating Application at Facilities emitting 10 or more Tons/Year Organic Compounds from Marine Coating Operations
- (c) Each additional Marine Coating Permit Unit

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS

- (d) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station using > 1 gallon/day without Control Equipment and not covered by other Fee Schedules at Facilities emitting < 5 Tons/Year
- (e) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station without Control Equipment and not covered by other Fee Schedules at Facilities emitting 5 or more Tons/Year
- (f) Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Application Station Process Line at Facilities emitting < 10 Tons/Year from these types of operations
- (g) Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Application Station Process Line at Facilities emitting 10 or more Tons/Year from these types of operations
- (h) RESERVED

SCHEDULE 27 - CONTINUED

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS

(i) Surface Coating Application Station requiring Control Equipment

(j) Surface Coating Application Station Subject to Rules 67.3 or 67.9 without Control Equipment at Facilities emitting < 5 Tons/Year

(k) Surface Coating Application Station Subject to Rules 67.3 or 67.9 without Control Equipment at Facilities emitting 5 or more Tons/Year

(l) Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at a Facility emitting < 5 Tons/Year and using > 500 Gallons/Year

(m) Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at a Facility emitting 5 or more Tons/Year

(n) Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts Facility subject t Rule 67.16

(o) Union Tribune Publishing Graphic Arts Operation

(p) Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station without Control

Equipment and not covered by other fee schedules using <1 gallon per day
or 50 gallons per year

(q) Wood Products Coating Application Station without Control Equipment at a facility using 500 gallons or less per year

PART 3 - AUTOMOTIVE PAINTING

(r) Facility applying 5 gallons or less of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) Per Day

(s) Facility applying more than 5 gallons of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) Per Day

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

(a) Vapor Degreaser > 5 sq. ft.

(b) Cold Solvent Degreaser > 5 sq. ft.

(c) Corrosion Control Carts(d) Paint Stripping Tanks

(e) Vapor Phase Solder Reflow Unit

(f) Remote Reservoir Cleaners

(g) Coating Application Equipment Cleaners

(h) Vapor Degreaser (< 5 sq. ft)

(i) Cold Solvent Degreaser (< 5 sq. ft)

(j) Metal Inspection Tanks

(k) Contract Service Remote Reservoir Cleaners

(1) Small Contract Service Cold Degreasers

(m) Facility-Wide Solvent Application Operations

(n) Contract Services Coating Application Equipment Cleaners

SCHEDULE 29: Solder Levelers and Hydrosqueegees

SCHEDULE 30: Kelp and Biogum Products Solvent Dryer

SCHEDULE 31: Dry Cleaning Facilities

- (a) Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon Solvents required to install Control Equipment
- (b) Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents
- (c) Facility using Solvents not required to install Control Equipment
- (d) Naval Training Center Dry Cleaning Facility

SCHEDULE 32: Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing

- (a) Copper Etching Tank
- (b) Acid Chemical Milling Tank
- (c) Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank

SCHEDULE 33: Can and Coil Manufacturing and Coating Operations

- (a) Process Line Applying 1000 Gallons or More Per Year
- (b) Research and Development Coil Coating Line
- (c) Process Line Applying <1000 Gallons Per Year

SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines

- (a) Cogeneration Engine with In-Stack Emission Controls
- (b) Cogeneration Engine with Engine Design Emission Controls
- (c) Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee
- (d) Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation
- (e) Grouping of Engines (> 200 Horsepower) for Dredging or Crane Operation
- (f) Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer
- (g) Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation (< 200 Horsepower)

SCHEDULE 35: Bulk Flour, Powered Sugar and Dry Chemical Storage System

SCHEDULE 36: Grinding Booths and Rooms

SCHEDULE 37: Plasma Electric Are and Ceramic Deposition Spray Booths

SCHEDULE 38: Paint, Stain, and Ink, Solder Paste, and Dielectric Paste Manufacturing

- (a) Paint, Stain and Ink Manufacturing Lines Producing 10.000 Gallons
- (b) Can Filling Lines
- (c) Each Process Line for Solder Paste or Dielectric Paste Manufacturing
- (d) Paint. Stain and Ink Manufacturing Lines Producing < 10,000 Gallons

SCHEDULE 39: Precious Metals Refining

SCHEDULE 40: Asphalt Pavement Heaters/Recyclers

SCHEDULE 41: Perlite Processing

SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing

Electronic Manufacturing Operations (b) Electronic Manufacturing Screen Printing

Electronic Manufacturing Coating/Maskant Application (c)

Electronic Manufacturing Conformal Coating

Electronic Manufacturing Facility-wide Solvent Application

SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting

SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials

Evaporators and Driers

Solvent Recovery Stills

SCHEDULE 45: Rubber Mixers

SCHEDULE 46: Reverse Osmosis Membrane Manufacturing

SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers (a)

Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator

SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing

Sanitary Landfill

(b) Temporary Storage and/or Transfer Station

Landfill Gas Flare or Containment System (c)

Municipal Waste Incinerator

North County Resource Recovery

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters

SCHEDULE 51: Industrial Waste Water Treatment

- (a) Onsite
- (b) Offsite

SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping and Soil Remediation Equipment

- (a) Air Stripping Equipment
- (b) Soil Remediation Equipment

SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment

- (a) Lens Casting Equipment
- (b) Lens Coating Equipment

SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing

- (a) Pharmaceutical Manufacturing
- (b) Protein Synthesis Employing Solvents

SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating & Chromic Acid Anodizing

- (a) Emissions Collection System serving one or more plant and/or Anodizing Tank(s)
- (b) Facility with Decorative Plating Tank(s) Only
- (c) Hard Chrome Plating or Chromic Acid Tank

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

- (a) Sewage Treatment Facility
- (b) Wastewater Odor Treatment Equipment that is not part of a Permitted Sewage Treatment Facility
- (c) Sewage Sludge Composting Facility

SCHEDULE 57: Laundry Facilities Processing Material Containing Organic Compounds

SCHEDULE 58 through 90, RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous - Hourly rates

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Done by the District

- (a) Particulate Matter Source Test
- (b) Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test
- (c) Oxides of Sulfur Source Test
- (d) Hydrocarbon Vapor Processor Test
- (e) Observation and Reporting of Odor Panel Test
- (f) Carbon Monoxide Source Test (continuous analyzer)(g) Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test (continuous analyzer)
- (h) Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test
- (i) Ammonia Slippage Source Test

SCHEDULE 93: Observations and Evaluations of Source Testing Performed by Private Companies

- (a) Observations
- (b) Source Test Reports
- (c) Test Procedure Review

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T&M) Labor Rates

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis of Architectural Coatings

SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs incurred by Non-Compliance Sources

SCHEDULE 1: Abrasive Blasting Equipment Excluding Rooms and Booths

Any permit unit consisting of air hoses, with or without water lines, with a single pot rated at 100 pounds capacity or more of sand regardless of abrasive used, and a nozzle or nozzles. (Equipment not operated solely in Schedule 2 facilities).

			Init	ial	
Fee	Unit	A/C-	P/O Fees	Rene	wal
			(1)	(2	2)
(a)	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger with no Peripheral Equipment	\$348	\$347	\$146	<u>\$145</u>
(b)	Each Pot 100 pounds capacity or larger loaded Pneumatically or from Storage Hoppers	T+l	RN	\$146	<u>\$145</u>
(c)	Each Bulk Abrasive Blasting Material Storage System	\$950	<u>\$957</u>	\$121	<u>\$120</u>
(d)	Each Spent Abrasive Handling System	T+1	RN	\$121	\$120

SCHEDULE 2: Abrasive Blasting Cabinets, Rooms and Booths

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
	The second second	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Abrasive Blasting Cabinet, Room or Booth	\$1375	\$112 <u>\$111</u>
(b)	Each Cabinet, Room, or Booth with an Abrasive Transfer or Recycle System	T+RN	\$112 <u>\$111</u>

SCHEDULE 3: Asphalt Roofing Kettles and Tankers used to Store, Heat, Transport, and Transfer Hot Asphalt

Fee	Unit	Fee	S	Renewal
	OLE MEDICAL THEORY CANADA LAND	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gallons.	\$620	<u>\$619</u>	\$151
(b)	Each Kettle or Tanker with capacity greater than 85 gals. & requiring emission control equipment.	T+R	N	\$151

SCHEDULE 4: Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Batch Plant

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	days no differential manage (1)	(2)
Each Plant	T+RN	\$5880 <u>\$4382</u>

SCHEDULE 5: Rock Drills

Fee I	<u>Jnit</u>	Fees	Renev	val
		(1)	(2)	
(a)	Each Drill with water controls	\$751 <u>\$750</u>	\$149	<u>\$143</u>
(b)	Each Drill with controls other than water	T+RN	\$149	<u>\$143</u>

SCHEDULE 6: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Screens, when not used in conjunction with other Permit Items in these Schedules

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal	
	(1)	(2)	
Each Screen Set	\$1794 <u>\$2115</u>	\$726 \$1046	

SCHEDULE 7: Sand, Rock, and Aggregate Plants

Fee	Unit	Fees	Ren	ewal
		(1)	(2	2)
(a)	Each Crusher System (involves one or more primary crushers forming a primary crushing system or, one or more secondary crushers forming a secondary crusher system and each serving a single process line).	T+RN	\$1408	<u>\$2049</u>
(b)	Each Screening System (involves all screens serving a given primary or secondary crusher system).	T+RN	\$ 754	<u>\$1074</u>
(c)	Each Loadout System (a loadout system is a set of conveyors chutes and hoppers used to load any single rail or road delivery container at any one time).	T+RN	\$ 818	<u>\$1137</u>
(d)	Each Aggregate Drier System	T+RN	\$1027	\$1347

SCHEDULE 8: Concrete Batch Plants, Concrete Mixers over One Cubic Yard Capacity and Separate Cement Silo Systems

Fee	Unit	Fees	Re	newal	
		(1)		(2)	ī
(a)	Each Concrete Batch Plant (including Cement-Treated-Base Plants)	T+RN	\$660	<u>\$591</u>	
(b)	Each Mixer over One Cubic Yard Capacity	T+RN	\$506	\$437	
(c)	Each Cement or Fly Ash Silo System not part of another System requiring a Permit	T+RN	\$602	<u>\$533</u>	
(d)	RESERVED				
(e)	RESERVED				

SCHEDULE 9: Concrete Product Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Plant	T+RN	\$759 <u>\$774</u>

SCHEDULE 10: Brick Manufacturing Plants

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
	600	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Clay Batching and Extruding System	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Crusher-Screen System	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Kiln	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 11: Tire Buffers

Fee Unit	Fees	Ren	ewal
	(1)		2)
Each Buffer	T+RN	\$242	\$233

SCHEDULE	12:	Fish Canneries and Smoke	Houses

<u>Fee</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Dryer (Meal Drying and Grinding System)	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Precooker	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Vat and Vibrating Screen System	T+RN	T+M
(d)	Each Scrap Cooker and Grinder System	T+RN	T+M
(e)	Each Cooker	T+RN	T+M
(f)	Each Dry Pet Food Processing System	T+RN	T+M
(g)	Each Digester Tank	T+RN	T+M
(h)	Each Smoke House	T+RN	\$168
(i)	Each Loadout System	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 13: Boilers and Heaters

<u>Fee</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Fee	S	Rene	wal
		(1		(2	
(a)	Each 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input.	\$1321	<u>\$1328</u>	\$293	\$299
(b)	Each 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR	T+R	N	\$2422	\$2531
(c)	Each 250 MM BTU/HR up to 1050 MM BTU/HR input or up to but not including 100 Mega-watt gross output whichever is greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%).	T+R	N : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	\$4 92	<u>\$497</u>
(d)	Each 100 Megawatt output or greater (based on an average boiler efficiency of 32.5%)	T+R	N	\$100,558	\$82,511
(e)	RESERVED				
(f)	Each Unit 1 MM BTU's/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU's/HR input at a single site where more than 5 such units are located.	\$1147	<u>\$1155</u>	\$119	<u>\$126</u>
(g)	Each 250 MM BTU/HR up to 1050 MM BTU/HR input or up to but not including 100 Megawatt gross output, whichever is greater, where a Notice of Intention has been filed with the California Energy Commission.	T+R	N	T+1	M
(h)	Each 100 Megawatt gross output or greater where a Notice of Intention has been filed with the California Energy Commission	T+R	N	T +1	М
(i)	RESERVED				

- (i) RESERVED
- (k) RESERVED

SCHEDULE 14: Non-Municipal Incinerators

Fee	<u>Unit</u>	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Waste burning capacity up to & including 100 lbs/hr*.	T+RN	\$3103 <u>\$3100</u>
(b)	Waste burning capacity greater than 100 lbs/hr.	T+RN	\$1259
(c)	Burning capacity up to and including 50 lbs/hr used exclusively for the incineration or cremation of animals.	T+RN	\$280
(d)	Incinerator at Kaiser Permanente	T+RN	\$26,391 <u>\$26,015</u>
(e)	Emission Controls or Modification for ATCM	T+RN	N/A
(f)	RESERVED		

^{*}Excluding incinerators of 50 lbs/hr capacity or less used exclusively for incineration or cremation of animals.

SCHEDULE 15: Burn-Out Ovens

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
	(1		(2)
(a)	Each Electric Motor/Armature Refurbishing Oven	T+RN	\$196 \$19 <u>3</u>
(b)	Each Wire Reclamation Oven	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each IC Engine Parts Refurbishing Unit	T+RN	\$224 <u>\$221</u>

SCHEDULE 16: Core and Plastics Annealing/Softening Ovens

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
	Fig. 865-9 MOI+1 Bittle to the	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Core Oven	T+RN	\$ 96
(b)	Each Plastic Annealing/Softening Ovens	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 17: Brake Debonders

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
Paris, 1965; MERS	(1)	(2)
Each Brake Debonder	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 18: Metal Melting Devices

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Sweat Furnace	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Electric Arc Furnace	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Pit or Stationary Crucible	T+RN	\$130 <u>\$129</u>
(d)	Each Pot Furnace	T+RN	\$130 <u>\$127</u>
(e)	Each Induction Furnace	T+RN	\$122 <u>\$121</u>
(f)	Each Cupola	T+RN	T+M
(g)	Each Reverberatory Furnace	T+RN	T+M
(h)	Brass Melting Furnace - U.S. Navy	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 19: Oil Quenching and Salt Baths

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Tank	T+RN	\$ 75 \$ 74

SCHEDULE 20: Gas Turbine Engines, Test Cells and Test Stands

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal	
GAS TUR	TURBINE, TURBOSHAFT, TURBOJET AND RBOFAN ENGINE TEST CELLS AND STANDS	(1)	(2)	
(a)	Each Aircraft Propulsion Turbine, Turboshaft, Turbojet or Turbofan Engine Test Cell or Stand	T+RN	\$1491 <u>\$1521</u>	
(b)	Each Aircraft Propulsion Test Cell or Stand at a facility where more than one such unit is located	T+RN	\$1233 <u>\$1264</u>	
(c)	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Test Cell or Stand	T+RN	\$ 336 <u>\$ 343</u>	
GAS	TURBINE ENGINES			
(d)	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 1 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 50 MM BTU/HR input	T+RN	\$3615 <u>\$4067</u>	
(e)	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 50 MM BTU/HR up to but not including 250 MM BTU/HR input	T+RN	\$8470 <u>\$9044</u>	
(f)	Each Non-Aircraft Turbine Engine 250 MM BTU/HR or greater input	T+RN	\$17,177 <u>\$3440</u>	
(g)	Each Unit used solely for Peak Load Electric Generation	T+RN	\$351 <u>\$362</u>	
(h)	Each Standby Gas Turbine used for Emergency Power Generation	T+RN	\$107	

SCHEDULE 21: Waste Disposal and Reclamation Units

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Paper or Wood Shredder or Hammermill Grinder	T+RN	\$195 <u>\$194</u>
(b)	Each Metal Shredder	T+RN	\$623 <u>\$621</u>
(c)	Each Garbage & Refuse Shredder	T+RN	T+M
(d)	Each Air Classifier	T+RN	T+M
(e)	Each Dryer	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 22: Feed and Grain Mills and Kelp Processing Plants

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	a self of Best	(2)
(a)	Each Receiving System (includes Silos)	T+RN	\$186	\$185
(b)	Each Grinder, Cracker, or Roll Mill	T+RN	\$ 51	
(c)	Each Shaker Stack, Screen Set, Pelletizer System, Grain Cleaner, or Hammermill	T+RN	\$ 63	<u>\$ 62</u>
(d)	Each Mixer System	T+RN	\$ 59	\$ 58
(e)	Each Truck or Rail Loading System	T+RN	\$ 70	

SCHEDULE 23: Bulk Terminal Grain and Dry Chemical Transfer and Storage Facility Equipment

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal		y
		(1)		(2)	_
(a)	Each Receiving System (Railroad, Ship and Truck Unloading)	T+RN	\$256	\$252	
(b)	Each Storage Silo System	T+RN	\$153	\$149	
(c)	Each Loadout Station System	T+RN	\$457	<u>\$454</u>	
(d)	Each Belt Transfer Station	T+RN	\$119	\$117	

SCHEDULE 24: Dry Chemical Mixing and Detergent Spray Tower

Fee	transfer, mixing or blending, storage, and loadout bagging). (b) Each Detergent Spray Tower. (c) Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over	Fees	Renewal	
		(1)	(2)	
(a)	transfer, mixing or blending, storage, and	T+RN	\$106	
(b)	Each Detergent Spray Tower.	T+RN	T+M	
(c)	Each Dry Chemical Mixer with capacity over one-half cubic yard.	T+RN	\$ 46 <u>\$ 45</u>	

SCHEDULE 25: Volatile Organic Compound Terminals, Bulk Plants and Intermediate Refueler Facilities

Fee	Unit	el Cram Mills and ICs to Processions Plants	Fees	Rei	newal
Action 1			(1)		(2)
1.		k Plants and Bulk Terminals equipped with or posed to be equipped with a vapor processor:			
	(a)	Per Tank	T+RN	\$ 557	\$ 547
	(b)	Tank Rim Seal Replacement	T+RN	N,	/A
	(c)	Per Truck Loading Head	T+RN	\$ 441	\$ 431
	(d)	Per Vapor Processor	T+RN	\$2491	<u>\$2473</u>
2.		k Plants not equipped with or not proposed to be ipped with a vapor processor:			
	(e)	Per Tank	T+RN	\$ 76	\$ 75
	(f)	Per Truck Loading Head	T+RN	\$129	<u>\$128</u>
	(g)	RESERVED			

"Vapor Processor" means a device which recovers or transforms volatile organic compounds by condensation, refrigeration, adsorption, incineration, or any combination thereof.

3. Facilities fueling intermediate refuelers (IR's) for subsequent fueling of motor vehicles, boats, and aircraft.

(h) Per IR Loading Connector T+RN \$ 82

If a facility falls into Parts 1, 2 or 3 above and is equipped with dispensing nozzles for which Phase II vapor controls are required, additional fees equivalent to the "per nozzle" fees for Schedule 26(a) shall be assessed for each dispensing nozzle.

SCHEDULE 26: Non-Bulk Volatile Organic Compound Dispensing Facilities Subject to District Rules 61.0 through 61.6

Fee	Unit	Fees	11 34415	Renewal	
		(1)		(2)	
(a)	INITIAL INSTALLATIONS AND TO CONTROLS ARE REQUIRED (INCL)		HERE PH	ASE I & PHASE II	
	Base Fee/Per Nozzle Fee	\$534/7	9	\$ 58*	
(b)	REPLACEMENT OR ADDITION OF	TANKS AT A PERMITTE	ED PHASE	E II FACILITY	
	Fee Per Facility**	\$610	<u>\$609</u>	N/A	
(c)	FACILITIES WHERE ONLY PHASE I REPLACEMENT)	I CONTROLS ARE REQ	UIRED (IN	NCLUDES TANK	
	Fee Per Facility	\$462	<u>\$461</u>	\$104	
(d)	ADDITION OF NOZZLES AT PERMITTED FACILITIES WHERE PHASE II IS REQUIRED				
	Base Fee	\$653	\$652	N/A	
	Fee Per Added Nozzle	\$ 86	\$ 83	N/A	
(e)	NON RETAIL FACILITIES WITH 260 OTHER NON-BULK GASOLINE DIS		& NO		
	Fee Per Facility	\$174	\$173	\$ 68	

^{*} Fee per nozzle.

SCHEDULE 27: Application of Materials Containing Organic Solvents (includes coatings, adhesives, and other materials containing volatile organic compounds).

GENERAL

SMALL COATING AND ADHESIVE COATING OPERATIONS EXCLUDING OPERATIONS SUBJECT TO 27(n)

Fee Unit	rees	Renewal
(p) Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station w/o control equipment except automotive painting where combined coating, adhesive, and cleaning solvent usage is <5 gallons per day or 100 gallons per year	<u>\$460</u>	<u>\$190</u>

^{**} This subschedule does not apply if nozzles are added to an existing facility at the same time tanks are replaced or added. Use Subschedule 26(d) instead.

SCHEDULE 27: - Continued

PART 1 - MARINE COATINGS

Fee	Unit	Fee	es_	Ren	newal
<u>(t)</u>	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating appli-	(1)		(2)
	cation at facilities where combined coating, adhesive, and cleaning solvent usage is <3 gallons per day and <100 gallons per year	<u>T+</u>	RN	<u>\$1</u>	81
(a)	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting < 10 tons/year organic compounds from Marine Coating Operations	\$2042	\$2023	\$583	<u>\$562</u>
(b)	First Permit to Operate for Marine Coating application at facilities emitting 10 or more tons/year organic compounds from Marine Coating Operations	\$6324	<u>\$6096</u>	\$4865	<u>\$4635</u>
(c)	Each additional Permit Unit for Marine Coating at existing permitted facilities.	T+	RN	\$1	58

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING (includes application stations for coatings such as paint spraying and dip tanks, printing, adhesives, and manufacturing products with materials which contain volatile organic compounds, etc. where no more than one material is applied at any given time).

Fee	<u>Unit</u>	Fe	es 1)	Re	newal	-
(d)	Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station w/o control equipment & not covered by other Fee Schedules at facilities using > 1 gallon per day of surface coatings or adhesives and emitting < 5 tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$472	\$473	\$208	\$211	
(e)	Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station w/o control equipment and not covered by other Fee Schedules at facilities emitting 5 or more tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1916	\$1872	\$1024	<u>\$974</u>	
(f)	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Application Station Process Line at facilities emitting < 10 tons/year organic compounds from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations equipment in this fee schedule	\$1283	\$1142	\$447	<u>\$306</u>	
(g)	Each Fiberglass, Plastic or Foam Product Application Station Process Line at facilities emitting 10 or more tons/year organic compounds from fiberglass, plastic or foam products operations equipment in this fee schedule	\$2821	\$2821	\$2071	<u>\$1984</u>	

(h) RESERVED

SCHEDULE 27: - Continued

PART 2 - INDUSTRIAL MATERIAL APPLICATIONS AND MANUFACTURING

Fee	Unit	Fe		Rei	newal
(i)	Each Surface Coating Application Station requiring	A me de	(1)	of spor list	(2)
(1)	Control Equipment	T+	RN	\$876	\$865
(j)	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting < 5 tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1582	<u>\$1576</u>	\$410	<u>\$404</u>
(k)	Each Surface Coating Application Station subject to Rule 67.3 or 67.9 w/o Control Equipment at facilities emitting 5 or more tons/year organic compounds from equipment in this fee schedule	\$1933	<u>\$1942</u>	\$795	<u>\$770</u>
1)	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at a facility using > 500 gallons per year of wood products coatings and emitting < 5 tons/year organic compounds from Wood Products Coating Operations	\$580	<u>\$567</u>	\$316	<u>\$305</u>
m)	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station w/o Control Equipment at a facility emitting 5 tons or more per year organic compounds from Wood Products Coating Operations	\$2323	<u>\$2259</u>	\$1423	<u>\$1361</u>
(n)	Each Press or Operation at a Printing or Graphic Arts facility subject to Rule 67.16	T+	RN	\$218	\$217
(o)	Each Graphic Arts Operation at the Union Tribune Publishing Co. facility subject to Rule 67.16	T+	RN	\$1575	\$1597
<u>(p)</u>	Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station w/o control equipment except automotive painting where combined coating, adhesive, and cleaning solvent usage is < 1 gallon per day or 50 gallons per year	\$445	<u>\$439</u>	\$183	<u>\$181</u>
(q)	Each Wood Products Coating Application Station of coatings and stripper w/o control equipment at a facility using 500 gallons or less per year for wood products coating operations	\$511	<u>\$505</u>	\$249	<u>\$244</u>

PART 3 - AUTOMOTIVE PAINTING

Fee	Unit	Fee	es	Ren	ewal
		(1)	(2	2)
(r)	Each facility applying 5 gallons or less of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) per day	\$1108	\$1107	\$33	39
(s)	Each facility applying more than 5 gallons of Coating Materials (as applied or sprayed) per day	\$1285	\$1277	\$516	\$509

SCHEDULE 28: Vapor and Cold Solvent Cleaning Operations and Metal Inspection Tanks

Fee	Unit		Fee	es	Re	newal
			(1)		(2)
(a)	Each Vapor Degreaser area > 5 square feet	with an Air Vapor Interfacial	\$1120	<u>\$1114</u>	\$226	<u>\$223</u>
(b)	Each Cold Solvent De surface area > 5 square		\$1080	<u>\$1070</u>	\$257	<u>\$251</u>
(c)	Each Corrosion Contro	ol Cart	T+	RN	\$230	<u>\$223</u>
(d)	Each Paint Stripping T	ank	\$1023	<u>\$1017</u>	\$190	<u>\$188</u>
(e)	Each Vapor Phase Sol	der Reflow Unit	T+	RN	\$321	\$314
(f)	Remote Reservoir Cle	aners	\$183	<u>\$182</u>	\$ 2	.9
(g)	Coating Application E	quipment Cleanup Devices	\$:	195	\$ 4	41
(h)	Vapor Degreaser with area less than 5 square	an Air-Vapor Interfacial feet	\$339	\$342	\$213	<u>\$216</u>
(i)	Cold Solvent Degrease area less than 5 square	er with a liquid surface feet	\$1	75	\$ 4	49
(j)	Metal Inspection Tank	S Table 1 Table 1 Table 1	T+	RN	\$ 30	\$ 31
(k)	Contract Service Reme with 100 or more units		T+	T+RN		16
(1)	Contract Service Cold surface area of less tha	Degreasers with a liquid in 5 square feet	Т+	T+RN		16
<u>(m)</u>	Each facility-wide solv	ent application operation	<u>T+</u>	RN	<u>T+M</u>	
<u>(n)</u>	Contract Services Coa Cleanup Devices	ting Application Equipment	<u>\$</u>	195	<u>\$_4</u>	<u>41</u>
	SCHEDULE 29:	Solder Levelers and Hydroso	ueegees			
Fee	Unit		Fee	es	Rei	newal
			(1)	mikida ke	(2)
Each Fee	n Solder Leveler or Hyd Schedules (except Vapo	rosqueegee not covered by othe or-Phase Solder Reflow Units)		·RN	\$30 4	\$300
	SCHEDULE 30:	Solvent and Extract Driers				
Fee	Unit	A SOLITON AND THE PERSON AND THE PER	Fee	es	Res	newal
		pullens of		1)	-	(2)
Kelp	and Biogum Products	Solvent Drier	T+	RN	\$7198	\$7360

SCHEDULE 31:	Dry Cleaning Facilities
Unit	ness beautimost mans hembwost, n
Olik	

Fee	<u>Unit</u>	Fees	Rer	newal
(a)	Each Facility using Halogenated Hydrocarbon	(1)	((2)
(4)	Solvents required to install Control Equipment	\$1241 <u>T+RN</u>	\$442	\$430
(b)	Each Facility using Petroleum Based Solvents	T+RN	\$396	\$390
(c)	Each Facility using Solvents not required to install Control Equipment	T+RN	\$334	\$322
(d)	NTC Dry Cleaning Facility	T+RN	\$2282	\$2321

SCHEDULE 32:	Acid Chemical Milling, Copper Etching and Hot Dip Galvanizing
--------------	---

Fee Unit		Fees	Rer	newal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Copper Etching Tank	T+RN	\$ 49	\$ 48
(b)	Each Acid Chemical Milling Tank	T+RN	\$182	\$180
(c)	Each Hot Dip Galvanizing Tank	T+RN	\$564	<u>\$561</u>

SCHEDULE 33: Can and Coil Manufacturing and Coating Operations

Fee	Unit	Fee	S	Rer	newal
	The result	(1	l)	(2)
(a)	Each Process Line applying 1000 gallons or more per year	T+1	RN	\$2649	<u>\$2081</u>
(b)	Research and Development Coil Coating Line	\$ 987	\$966	\$ 432	\$432
(c)	Each Process Line applying <1000 gallons per year	T+R	N	\$3	03

SCHEDULE 34: Piston Type Internal Combustion Engines

Fee	Unit	Fees		newal
(a)	Each Cogeneration Engine with in-stack emission	(1)	((2)
()	controls	T+RN	\$2407	<u>\$2681</u>
(b)	Each Cogeneration Engine with Engine Design Emission Controls	T+RN	\$2841	<u>\$2839</u>
(c)	Each Emergency Standby Engine (for electrical or fuel interruptions beyond control of Permittee)	\$1454 <u>\$1473</u>	\$ 264	\$ 265
(d)	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation	T+RN	\$1134	\$1107
(e)	Each Grouping of Engines for Dredging or Crane			
	Operation with total engine horsepower equal to or greater than 200 HP	T+RN	\$1276	<u>\$1244</u>
(f)	Each Diesel Pile-Driving Hammer	T+RN	T+	-M
<u>(g)</u>	Each Engine for Non-Emergency and Non-Cogeneration Operation less than 200 horsepower	<u>T+RN</u>	<u>T+</u>	<u>-M</u>

SCHEDULE 35: Bulk	Flour, Powdered Sugar and Dr	y Chemical Storage Systems
-------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------

Fee Un	<u>it</u>		Fees	Renewal
			(1)	(2)
Each Sy	ystem		T+RN	\$ 96
S	CHEDULE 36:	Grinding Booths and Rooms		
Fee Un	it		Fees	Renewal
			(1)	(2)
Each B	ooth or Room		\$1222	\$145 <u>\$144</u>
S	CHEDULE 37:	Plasma Electric Are and Ceramic	Deposition Spra	ay Booths
Fee Un	it	49-1	Fees	Renewal
I de Ale	1373	7.92	(1)	(2)
Each A	pplication Station		T+RN	\$ 92 <u>\$ 91</u>
		Paint, Stain, and Ink, Solder Pas		
Fee Un	it	AND THE SECOND POST AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	Fees (1)	Renewal (2)
(a)	Each Process Line	for Paint, Stain or Ink acilities producing 10,000 r year		
(a)	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa	acilities producing 10,000 r year	(1)	(2)
(a) (b) (c)	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fagallons or more per Each Can Filling Li	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric	(1) T+RN	(2) \$873 <u>\$843</u>
(a) (b) (c) (d)	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Li Each Process Line Paste Manufacturin	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility	(1) T+RN T+RN	\$873 \$843 \$87 \$ 85
(a) (b) (c) (d)	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Li Each Process Line Paste Manufacturin Each Paint, Stain or	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility	(1) T+RN T+RN <u>T+RN</u>	\$873 \$843 \$873 \$85 T+M
(a) (b) (c) (d)	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Each Process Line Paste Manufacturin Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39:	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year	(1) T+RN T+RN <u>T+RN</u>	\$873 \$843 \$873 \$85 T+M
(a) (b) (c) (d)	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Each Process Line Paste Manufacturin Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39:	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year	(1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN	\$873 \$843 \$873 \$843 \$87 \$ 85 T+M T+M
(a) (b) (c) (d) So	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Each Process Line Paste Manufacturin Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39:	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year	(1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) \$873 \$843 \$-87 \$ 85 T+M T+M Renewal
(a) (b) (c) (d) So	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Paste Manufacturin Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39:	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year	(1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN (1)	(2) \$873 \$843 \$87 \$ 85 T+M T+M Renewal (2)
(a) (b) (c) (d) Fee Un Each Pr	Each Process Line Manufacturing at fa gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Paste Manufacturin Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39:	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year	(1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) \$873 \$843 \$87 \$ 85 T+M T+M Renewal (2)
(a) (b) (c) (d) Fee Un Each Pr	Each Process Line Manufacturing at far gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Paste Manufacturing Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39: it	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year Precious Metals Refining	(1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN Clers	(2) \$873 \$843 \$-87 \$ 85 T+M T+M Renewal (2) \$ 26
(a) (b) (c) (d) Fee Un Each Pr	Each Process Line Manufacturing at far gallons or more per Each Can Filling Line Paste Manufacturing Each Paint, Stain or producing <10,000 CHEDULE 39: it	r year ine for Solder Paste or Dielectric g r Ink Manufacturing facility gallons per year Precious Metals Refining	(1) T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN T+RN	(2) \$873 \$843 \$87 \$ 85 T+M T+M Renewal (2)

SCHEDULE	41:	Perlite	Processing
-----------------	-----	---------	------------

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Process Line	T+RN	\$488 <u>\$468</u>

SCHEDULE 42: Electronic Component Manufacturing

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
<u>(a)</u>	Each Process Line	T+RN	\$4 62 \$456
<u>(b)</u>	Each Screen Printing Operation	T+RN	\$180
<u>(c)</u>	Each Coating/Maskant Application Operation. excluding Conformal Operation	<u>T+RN</u>	<u>\$180</u>
<u>(d)</u>	Each Conformal Coating Operation	<u>T+RN</u>	<u>\$180</u>
<u>(e)</u>	Each Facility-wide Solvent Application Operation	<u>T+RN</u>	<u>T+M</u>

SCHEDULE 43: Ceramic Slip Casting

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal	
Mary market	(1)	(2)	
Each Process Line	T+RN	\$268 <u>\$262</u>	

SCHEDULE 44: Evaporators, Dryers, & Stills Processing Organic Materials

Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
	AND AND ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF TH	(1)	(2)
(a)	Evaporators and Driers [other than those referenced in Fee Schedule 30 (a)] processing materials containing volatile organic compounds	T+RN	\$258 <u>\$259</u>
(b)	Solvent Recovery Stills with a rated capacity equal to or greater than 7.5 gallons	\$648 <u>\$643</u>	\$ 88

SCHEDULE 45: Rubber Mixers

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Rubber Mixer	T+RN	\$ 70

SCHEDULE	46:	Reverse Osmosis Membrane Manufacturing
----------	-----	--

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal (2)	
	(1)		
Each Process Line	T+RN	\$789 <u>\$763</u>	

SCHEDULE 47: Organic Gas Sterilizers

<u>Fee</u>	Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Organic Gas Sterilizer	T+RN	\$140 <u>\$138</u>
(b)	Each Stand Alone Organic Gas Aerator	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 48: Municipal Waste Storage and Processing

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Sanitary Landfill	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Temporary Storage and/or Transfer Station	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Landfill Gas Flare or Containment System	T+RN	T+M
(d)	Each Municipal Waste Incinerator	T+RN	T+M
(e)	North County Resource Recovery	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 49: Non-Operational Status Equipment

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Non-Operational Status Equipment	T+RN	\$122 <u>\$120</u>

SCHEDULE 50: Coffee Roasters

Fee Unit	Fees	Renewal
	(1)	(2)
Each Coffee Roaster	T+RN	\$183 \$181

SCHEDULE 51:	Industrial Waste Water Treatment
--------------	----------------------------------

Fee	Unit Control of the C	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Per Processing Line - Onsite	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Per Processing Line - Offsite	T+RN	T+M
	SCHEDULE 52: Air Stripping & Soil Remediation	Equipment	
Ess		• •	D 1
ree	Unit	Fees (1)	Renewal (2)
(a)	Air Stripping Equipment	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Soil Remediation Equipment	T+RN	T+M
	AND SHOE MART		
Fee	SCHEDULE 53: Lens Casting Equipment Unit	Fees	Renewal
		(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Lens Casting Line	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Lens Coating Line	T+RN	T+M
	SCHEDULE 54: Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	alle latence to Constr alle latence tipe	
Fee	Unit	Fees	Renewal
	lines out guitar yet benimment meet magnetives are neg 2 of	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Process Line	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Protein Synthesis Process Line Employing Solvents	T+RN	T+M
	SCHEDULE 55: Hexavalent Chromium Plating	; and Chromic Acid	l Anodizing
Fee	Unit Control of the C	Fees	Renewal
	and I us of the mercal many steer that some	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Emission Collection System serving one or	m. Day	
(L)	more Plating and/or Anodizing Tank(s)	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Decorative Plating Tank(s) Only	T+RN	T+M

Each Hard Chrome Plating or Chromic Acid Tank

T+RN

T+M

SCHEDULE 56: Sewage Treatment Facilities

Fee Unit		Fees	Renewal
	7.5	(1)	(2)
(a)	Each Sewage Treatment Facility	T+RN	T+M
(b)	Each Wastewater Odor Treatment System that is not part of a Permitted Sewage Treatment Facility	T+RN	T+M
(c)	Each Sewage Sludge Composting Facility	T+RN	T+M

SCHEDULE 57: Laundry Facilities Processing Material Containing Organic Compounds

Rer	newal	
1)	(2)	
.RN \$648	\$646	
	RN \$648	

SCHEDULE 58 THROUGH 90, RESERVED

SCHEDULE 91: Miscellaneous - Hourly Rates

The fee for the Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate and annual renewal for items not listed in the above fee schedules of this subsection shall be determined by the actual costs incurred by the Air Pollution Control District. The initial Authority to Construct, Permit to Operate and first year renewal (Column 1) fee shall be the sum of the annual renewal fee determined in Column (2) and the actual Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate evaluation cost determined by using the application related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. The annual renewal fee (Column 2) shall be the sum of the emission related indirect cost and the cost determined using the permit related indirect cost multiplier and labor rates specified in Scheduled 94.

The applicant shall deposit with the Air Pollution Control District the amount estimated to cover the cost of evaluation and inspection, including the first year's surveillance, before an Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate is processed. If the actual cost incurred by the Air Pollution Control District is less than the amount deposited, the difference shall be refunded to the applicant. If any deposit is insufficient to pay all the actual costs, the applicant shall pay an amount deemed sufficient by the Air Pollution Control Officer to complete the work in progress. If the applicant fails or refuses to pay such amount upon demand, the Air Pollution Control District may recover the same by action in any court of competent jurisdiction. Until such amount is paid in full, the Air Pollution Control Officer determines that it is in the best interest of all parties concerned to proceed. An Authority to Construct and/or Permit to Operate shall not be issued until all required fees are paid.

SCHEDULE 92: Source Testing Done by the District

Whenever the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that it is necessary for the Air Pollution Control District or a contractor hired by the District to make an analysis of the emission from any source for the purpose of more accurately quantifying emissions or determining whether a Permit to Operate or annual renewal of a Permit to Operate shall be issued, or where there is good reason to believe a source may not be in compliance with the District's Rules and Regulations the cost of collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the necessary reports, shall be added to the appropriate fee schedule herein. Source test fees shall be as determined in the following manner:

Fee	Fee		
(a)	Each Particulate Matter Source Test	\$3703	
(b)	Each Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test	\$1072	
(c)	Each Oxides of Sulfur Source Test	T+M	
(d)	Each Hydrocarbon Vapor Processor Source Test	T+M	
(e)	Each Observation and Participation of Odor Panel Test	T+M	
(f)	Each Carbon Monoxide Source Test with a Continuous Analyzer	T+M+\$115	
(g)	Each Oxides of Nitrogen Source Test with a Continuous Analyzer	T+M+\$115	
(h)	Each Incinerator Particulate Matter Source Test	T+M	
(i)	Each Ammonia Slippage Source Test	T+M	
(j)	Continuous Emission Monitor Evaluation	T+M	

The cost of testing not specified in Sections (a) through (j) or where a time and material (T+M) fee is indicated shall be determined using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94.

SCHEDULE 93: Observations and Evaluations of Source Testing Performed by Private Companies

Whenever the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that it is necessary for the Air Pollution Control District to observe source testing performed by private companies for the purpose of determining whether a Permit to Operate or annual renewal of a Permit to Operate shall be issued, or where there is good reason to believe a source may not be in compliance with the District's Rules and Regulations the cost of the observation and the preparation of a report shall be added to the applicable fees of this rule.

When a test procedure review is requested by a private company and the Air Pollution Control Officer agrees that a review should be made, the cost of the review shall be paid by such private company.

Fee Unit	Fees		
(a) Observations	T+M		
(b) Source Test Reports	T+M		
(c) Test Procedure Review	T+M		

SCHEDULE 94: Time and Material (T+M) Labor Rates

e Unit	Fees
Engineering Technician	\$39/HR
Junior Engineer	\$42/HR
Assistant Engineer	\$46/HR
Associate Engineer	\$51/HR
Senior Engineer	\$59/HR
Air Quality Inspector I	\$43/HR
Air Quality Inspector II	\$48/HR
Air Quality Inspector III	\$45/HR
Assistant Air Resources Specialist	\$40/HR
Associate Air Resources Specialist	\$50/HR
Assistant Meteorologist	\$59/HR
Associate Meteorologist	\$59/HR
Senior Meteorologist	\$73/HR
Assistant Chemist	\$46/HR
Associate Chemist	\$50/HR
Senior Chemist	\$69/HR
Supervising Instrument Technician	\$60/HR
Instrument Technician I	\$46/HR
Instrument Technician II	\$46/HR
Source Test Technician	\$36/HR
Air Pollution Control Aide	\$32/HR
Student Worker II	\$15/HR
Student Worker III	\$24/HR
Application Related Indirect Cost Multiplier	1.83
Permit Related Indirect Cost Multiplier	1.53
Emission Related Indirect Cost Multiplier	116/Ton*

^{*} Annual emissions as determined by the Air Pollution Control Officer. Includes organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen, oxides of sulfur, carbon monoxide and particulates.

SCHEDULE 95: Sampling and Analysis of Architectural Coatings

Whenever the Air Pollution Control Officer finds that it is necessary for the Air Pollution Control District to make an analysis of an architectural coating for the purpose of determining potential emissions from use of the coating and/or for the purpose of determining compliance with the District's Rules and Regulations, the cost of collection and analysis of samples, including preparing the necessary reports, shall be paid by the manufacturer of the coating. The cost shall be equal to the cost determined by the using the labor rates specified in Schedule 94. The total cost for each sample and analysis shall not exceed \$128.

SCHEDULE 96: Additional Costs Incurred by the District

Whenever the Air Pollution Control District is required to provide consultation, testing or inspection services to a permittee beyond the average consultation, testing and inspection covered by the permit fees specified in the preceding schedules because the permittee's source is out of compliance with District Rules and Regulations, the cost of such consultation, testing and inspection shall be a fee in addition to the permit fees provided elsewhere in Rule 40. The cost of such consultation, testing and inspection shall be determined using the permit related indirect cost

multiplier and labor rates specified in Schedule 94. The permittee shall be billed for the additional fee for the consultation, testing and inspection, and shall remit such amount to the Air Pollution Control District within 30 days of being notified that such amount is due, unless prior arrangements for payment have been approved by the Air Pollution Control Officer.

2. RULE 42. HEARING BOARD FEES

- (a) Every applicant or petitioner in a proceeding before the Hearing Board shall pay to the Clerk of the Hearing Board, on filing, a filing fee for each petition in the amount shown below:
 - (1) For each application, including any associated application for an interim variance, for variance exceeding 90 days (in addition to any fee for emergency variance application), or for approval of a Schedule of Increments of Progress in accordance with §41703 of the Health & Safety Code, including applicants on behalf of a class of applicants, which meet the requirements of the Hearing Board Rules for a valid and proper class action for variance

\$320

(2) For each application, including any associated application for an interim variance, for variance not exceeding 90 days (in addition to any fee for emergency variance application), or to modify or extend variance or abatement order....... \$107

Plus for each 2 hours, or any portion thereof, of hearing in addition to the first hearing day necessary to dispose of said appeal\$186

In the event that a petition is withdrawn or a hearing is not held for any reason, the petitioner shall be entitled to a refund of 50 percent of the filing fee.

Any person who requests an emergency variance pursuant to the provisions of Rule 98 shall pay the filing fee to the Clerk of the Hearing Board no later than five working days after the request is considered by the Chairperson or designated members of the Hearing Board. It is hereby determined that the cost of administration of Article 2, Chapter 4, Part 4, Division 25, of the Health and Safety Code, and Rule 25 of these Rules and Regulations, exceeds the fees required by this rule.

- (b) Any person requesting a transcript of the hearing shall pay the cost of such transcript.
- (c) This rule shall not apply to petitions filed by the Air Pollution Control Officer.
- (d) Any person who certifies with factual presentation under penalty of perjury that payment of the foregoing Hearing Board fees will cause an unreasonable hardship, may be excused from payment of these fees by Order of the Hearing Board on that account when such findings are made by the Hearing Board.

	IT	IS I	FUI	RTHE	RES	SOLVE	DAND	ORDER	RED	that the	subject	amendments	to
Rules	40	and	42	of Reg	ılatior	ı III shall	take ef	fect upon	ado	ption.			

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Air Pollution Control Board of the	he San Diego
County Air Pollution Control District, State of California, this	day of
, 1992 by the following votes:	Managaran El v

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO RULE 40 - PERMIT AND OTHER FEES, AND RULE 42- HEARING BOARD FEES

WORKSHOP REPORT

A workshop notice was mailed to all District permit holders. Notices were also sent to Chambers of Commerce, Economic Development Corporations, the District Advisory Committee members, and other interested parties. Approximately 5,200 notices were sent. The workshop was held on April 27, 1992 and approximately 33 people attended.

District staff explained that the methodology used to develop the proposed permit fees for Fiscal Year 1992-93 is the same as has been used for the past several years. A portion of the permit renewal fees are based on the direct labor associated with specific types of equipment, and part is based on the emissions from that equipment. It was explained that aside from the Consumer Price Index (CPI) adjustment, fluctuations in the permit renewal fee for a specific fee schedule reflects changes in the emissions based portion of the fee caused by shifts in emissions from the equipment within that fee schedule.

The following are the specific comments made and District responses.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

In some fee schedules very low emitters are grouped with larger emitters. Their fees are unfairly high. What can the District do for small marine coating companies, fiberglass or plastic or foam processing lines, surface coating application stations, paint manufacturers and dry cleaners to address this problem?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The District has reviewed the fees schedules in Rule 40 and is making the following changes to address low emitting operations:

- Fee Schedule 27(p) is moved to the beginning of Schedule 27 (before Part 1). It will be identified as Small Materials Coatings and Adhesive Coating Operations and will apply to "Each Surface Coating or Adhesive Application Station without Control Equipment (except automotive painting) where combined coating, adhesive, and cleaning solvent usage is less than 5 gallons per day and less than 100 gallons per year". The initial application fee will be \$460 and the renewal fee will be \$190.
- Fee Schedule 33(a) is amended to limit it to Can and Coil process lines applying more than 1000 gallons of can and coil coatings per year. There will be no change in the fee proposed for this fee schedule.
- Fee Schedule 33(c) is added to address regulated Can and Coil Process lines applying 1000 gallons per year or less. The initial application fee will be based on the actual time spent to process the application plus the first year's and renewal fee; the renewal fee will be \$317.
- Fee Schedule 38(a) is amended to apply to each paint and stain manufacturing facility producing 10,000 gallons per year or more, and to all ink manufacturers.

• Fee Schedule 38(d) is added for each paint and stain manufacturing facility producing less than 10,000 gallons per year. The application fee will be based on the actual time spent to process the application plus the first year's and renewal fee; the renewal fee will be \$87.

Consideration of small dry cleaners will be deferred to the triennial fee process which begins this summer because the current method of establishing the emissions based portion of this fee schedule does not provide sufficient data to enable a distinction between large and small emitting facilities.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

During these hard economic times, payment of District fees all at once is difficult for some businesses. Would it be possible to spread payment of application and renewal fees out over time?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The District is now proposing that the following language be added to Rule 40(a) Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate Fees:

"If a permit applicant certifies to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction through declaration that it is unable to pay either the full fixed fee for fix fee applications or the estimated application and first year renewal costs for time and material applications, the District may authorize the applicant to divide the cost into two payments with the second payment due not later than 90 days after filing of the Application for Authority to Construct or Permit to Operate. The applicant will be required to pay any additional administrative costs resulting from this authorization."

The District is also recommending the following language be added to Rule 40(b) Annual Renewal Fees:

"If a permittee certifies to the Air Pollution Control Officer's satisfaction through declaration that payment in full of permit to operate renewal fees would result in undue financial hardship, the District may negotiate an amended fee schedule, provided that the amended schedule includes reimbursing the District for any increased costs of processing the extra payments. Failure to make any payments by any negotiated due date may result in penalties as otherwise authorized in Rule 40 and/or cancellation of the permit."

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Would it be possible to issue only one bill per year for a facility? This would include permit renewal fees, toxic hot spot and other District fees.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

When an expanded data base is established in the District's new business computer in FY 93-94, all permit renewal fees sharing the same facility identification number will have the same renewal date. New permits will be assigned this same date for their first renewal billing as well. The District will also investigate combining other fee billing dates to the extent this is economically and administratively feasible.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

The District should not charge companies fees for improving the environment. For example, a company doing soil remediation should not be charged fees.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

Such operations must be reviewed for compliance with federal, state and District rules, regulations and requirements. Board policy on setting fees requires that all new permitting costs be borne by the permittee. Any exemption to this would require either a waiver of or a change to this policy. It would also require that other permittees bear the cost of subsidizing the District's review of these operations.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Companies performing asbestos abatement for the Navy file the required asbestos removal plans and pay the plan fees. If the company then subcontracts for the actual removal, the subcontractor files an amended plan indicating that they will be doing the work. The subcontractor pays the plan amendment fee. Can this procedure be documented?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

Navy asbestos abatement contracts present unique circumstances for contractors. Navy contractors have been utilizing a process to address this situation and are requesting the District to document this process. This is not a Rule 40 issue. It will, however, be considered in light of proposed amendments to the asbestos program.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Why is it that emission reductions by a large emitter cause fees to increase for other permit holders? This doesn't seem fair. If emissions go down then goals are being met and the revenues from emission fees should go down. Others shouldn't be paying more because someone else has reduced emissions. Instead the District should reduce its budget.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

State Health and Safety Code, Section 42311 authorizes charging fees to cover the costs of programs related to permitted stationary sources that are not otherwise funded. The current fee setting methodology was developed by a special committee established by the District Board in 1987 and was subsequently adopted as policy by the Board. It is comprised of two basic factors.

The first is a labor factor, which is applied to both application and renewal fees, and contains direct salaries, benefits and other costs which can be directly related to a specific fee schedule based on the hours of direct labor required to support the permits for equipment within that fee schedule.

The second factor is the emissions portion which were established to ensure that small emitters do not pay as much in fees as large emitters. The emissions portion of the fee enables the District to recover eligible costs associated with the permit system but not readily attributable to a specific fee schedule. Prior to Board direction that the District recover these types of costs through fees, they were funded by County General Fund contributions. An example of one such cost is the District's monitoring

system which tracks pollutant levels irrespective of the specific company responsible for the pollution. It cannot be related to a specific fee schedule based on service.

Emissions fees are set each year. Eligible District costs are divided by the total tons of inventoried emissions to establish a cost per ton of emissions. This cost per ton is then multiplied times the average tonnage in a fee schedule to set the emission fee portion of the fee schedule. Changes in the numbers of tons of emissions by a single company or within a fee schedule results in their having fewer tons of emissions against which the cost per ton is applied. Changes in the total numbers of tons inventoried by the District changes the divisor in the fee setting process and causes fluctuations in the emissions based portion of the fee.

In the example discussed at the workshop, one company changed its operations to use "cleaner" equipment in 1990 (the inventory year used to prepare the proposed FY 92-93 fees). The company will enjoy reduced emission fees. Some workshop attendees argued that this creates an unfair burden on smaller companies because they will be required to pay higher fees based on their now higher percentage of the total inventoried emissions.

The District's position is that no "unfair burden" is being created. The smaller companies are still paying for their share of the total pollution, in accordance with Board policy.

Some attendees suggested that with reduced emissions the overall revenues from the emission fees should also be reduced. Under the current fee methodology, this is not an option since the reduction of emissions by one company does not reduce the District's cost of maintaining the air quality monitoring system and performing other emissions fee funded activities. By Board policy these costs must be financed through District revenues, and the emission fee is the presently approved method.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

What can be done to make it easier for industry to project their fees into the future?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

With the exception of some non-complying sources which pay for labor separately, the labor based portion of the fees are generally stable and predictable for all but one in every three years when labor is recalculated. Most of the fluctuations in fees are caused by changes in emission patterns, the grouping of individuals with others having similar but not identical emissions profiles, and a two-year delay in having fees reflect the emissions inventoried.

Now that the District has acquired a new business computer, it is investigating methods for improving its emissions inventorying capabilities. It is believed that the new computer will enable the District to bill for emissions by facility rather than fee schedule and to provide more timely emissions data. Although companies would continue to pay their fair share of the emission related costs, the severe fluctuations within fee schedules would be eliminated. In addition companies could realize the benefits of reducing their emissions in one year or less instead of waiting two years and would no longer share the fee reduction with others in their fee schedules. The District anticipates having a new emission inventory/emission fee process ready for review in time for the FY 93-94 fees.

It should be noted that this new process will not avoid fee changes resulting from state and federal requirements or rule revisions.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

The fee setting process seems to be unable to be responsive to industry changes. There are too many delays. The emissions are based on two-year old data. Labor is based on information that is one to three years old with inflation adjustments. This doesn't adequately reflect the changes industry is going through. Current operations are not the same as they were two years ago. Funding is not the same as it was two years ago.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The current fee setting methodology was adopted in 1987 as the best option, given the resources available for implementation. Since that time it's implementation has grown increasingly cumbersome as new mandated activities have been forced to fit into either the labor or emissions fee structure.

The FY 92-93 fees represent the third and final year of the triennial fee process. As the District begins the next triennial process this summer, it will take the opportunity to review the fee development process. This will include investigating improved and more equitable emission fee allocation methods, reevaluating cost centers to be included in both emissions and labor base fees and developing methods of minimizing time lags. Labor costs and indirect costs will also be updated and evaluated.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Can the District issue periodic financial reports so that permittees can see what their money is being used for? Identification of mandated activities over which industry has no control, similar to the way the toxics program is split out would be helpful. It will let business know when to voice their objections to their legislators. This will also let us understand why your fees keep going up?

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The District will issue a financial statement with future fee revision workshop notices. The District encourages local businesses to monitor state and federal legislative activities that may impose new programs on the District without the necessary funds to implement. In such cases, District fees must be increased to provide funds for mandated program implementation.

WORKSHOP COMMENT:

Can the District get together with other regulatory agencies and issue a single facility permit covering air, water, health and other issues? This should eliminate some redundancy and reduce the costs for all the agencies.

DISTRICT RESPONSE:

The District is exploring options with other local regulatory agencies.

Because of the District's historical link with the County of San Diego's Department of Health Services there have in the past been combined enforcement activities for some industries such as dry cleaners. The District and the Hazardous Material Unit in Health Services are discussing reestablishing the combined inspection program. It will be evaluated further and implemented if practicable.

AB2588 TOXICS "HOT SPOTS" PROGRAM FEES APRIL 16, 1991

WORKSHOP REPORT

A workshop notice was mailed to each company in San Diego County subject to the AB2588 program. Notices were also sent to the District Community Advisory Committee, Economic Development Corporations, Chambers of Commerce and other interested parties on the District workshop mailing list.

The workshop was held on April 16, 1992 and was attended by forty-five people. The District introduced the fee regulation to be discussed and explained recent District billings associated with unpaid FY90/91 AB2588 program fees. There were a number of comments and questions regarding past program fees. These comments were related to District billing practices and assessment of late fees and were not directly relevant to the proposed fee regulation that was the subject of the workshop. Those comments are not included in this workshop report. The comments received that related to the proposed FY91/92 program fees and District responses are as follows.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

I represent a Phase II facility and paid the fee (for FY90/91). Am I going to get a refund?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

No. AB2588 fees paid in 1991 were to recover fiscal year 1990/91 AB2588 program costs. This is an ongoing program. There will be a fee each year as long as the program continues. The fees being proposed are to recover program costs incurred during the District's fiscal year 1991/92 (July 1, 1991 to June 30, 1992). Because of the schedules under which facilities in this program must submit their initial emissions inventory plans, reports and risk assessments and their biennial updates, many facilities will have activities associated with the program every year. The fee for a Phase II facility is proposed to be reduced from \$2000 to \$763.

WORKSHOP GOMMENT

What provisions or allowances are being made for facilities that either eliminated their source of emissions or greatly reduced their emissions and would be either reclassified or eliminated from the program?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Few facilities will be eliminated from the program. Only those with no toxic air contaminant emitting equipment would fall out of the program.

The proposed fees are intended to recover District and state program costs associated with each grouping of facilities under the program during FY1991/92 and are not directly related to current toxic air contaminant emissions. In addition, the District is proposing fees that are related to the program group (phase) a facility falls is in rather than a facility's emissions of criteria pollutants. If a facility is reclassified in the future from the Phase I group (criteria pollutant emissions above 25 tons per year) to Phase II (less than 25 tons per year), its future fees will reflect that change. For facilities

currently identified in Phase I, II or III, the District is already incurring the costs associated with Phase I, II and III facilities, respectively.

The District will be reviewing and revising the fee schedule each year to reflect current costs. The District will consider whether permanent reductions in toxic air contaminant emissions should be reflected immediately in future year's fees.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

I work at a facility that uses solvents, but we have completely eliminated that process and therefore have no emissions.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

If a facility no longer emits any of the toxic air contaminants listed by the state under this program and that fact can be documented, the District will work with the facility to remove it from the program.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Is a close-loop dry cleaning facility exempt from this program?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

No. Such equipment does emit quantities of perchloroethylene, a toxic compound required to be studied under the AB2588 program.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

How does the District incur its costs? Can more detail be provided about that? Also, why are the Navy and Marine Corps Phase I facilities being charged fees more than three times that of other Phase I facilities. Is this reflected in other District fees?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The majority of District AB2588 program costs are related to staff that work on the program. District staff monitors the compliance of facilities with program deadlines, meets with facilities to discuss how to do inventory plans, inventory reports and health risk assessments, reviews and approves inventory plans and reports, makes corrections if appropriate, reviews protocols for doing health risk assessments and reviews and approves the health risk assessments. Many of the toxic air contaminant emissions estimates required to be reported are based on source testing. Such testing requires District review and approval of protocols, and observation of testing. District staff also have frequent communications with facilities subject to the program, providing guidance on program requirements, deadlines and technical issues. As provided in state law, risk assessments are also being reviewed by the state Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessments, and those costs are part of the state costs that are included in the proposed fees for this program.

The District has found it necessary to have frequent and detailed communications with Navy and Marine Corps facilities and their many consultants. This is due in part to the complexity of these facilities and in part because of the difficulty that the Navy and Marine Corps have had in meeting the requirements of the program, especially with regard to submittal of appropriate and acceptable plans, reports, protocols and risk assessments. On most phases of the program the Navy and Marine Corps facilities have had difficulties in meeting deadlines and submitting materials that meet the standards of the program. This has resulted in significantly higher District costs associated with those facilities.

Beginning in FY1990/91, the District has been tracking the costs associated with Navy and Marine Corps facilities separately. The proposed fees reflect those past and future projected costs. Separating out Navy and Marine Corps facilities is consistent with other District fees (Rule 40) that provide for facility-specific fees if individual facilities cause District costs significantly greater than other facilities in a fee group. Navy and Marine Corps facilities have been given their own separate fee schedules rather than spreading those extraordinary costs over all of industry.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Is that information available to Navy and Marine Corps facilities?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The District's labor tracking records associated with these fees are available for review upon request.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

This is an annual fee and future costs will not remain at three times the average. Given this, the proposed Navy/Marine Corps facility fee seems high.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The proposed fees recover actual costs incurred by the District for Navy and Marine Corps facilities. As noted previously, each year the District will reevaluate these fees, based on actual and projected costs for each fee group. Adjustments to the fees will be proposed, as appropriate. If the Navy and Marine Corps improve their efforts to meet program requirements, the fees will be adjusted accordingly.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Do the proposed fees reflect the staff costs you've already incurred?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The proposed fees recover District and state costs associated with the AB2588 program for fiscal year 1991/92 (July 1, 1991 to June 30, 1992). The fees are based on actual costs incurred to date plus costs projected for the remainder of the fiscal year. These fees will be collected at the beginning of the next fiscal year (shortly after July 1, 1992). This is similar to the District's Rule 40 permit fee program where fees are based on actual costs incurred in previous fiscal years.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

When you're projecting what you are going to have to do this year, are you also including what you've already done?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes. The fees that are being proposed are based on actual costs that were recorded during the first half of FY1991/92. The District then projected future program costs through the remainder of FY1991/92. The proposed fees are a combination of the two. The proposed fees also include credit for any excess revenues from last fiscal year, i.e. revenues that exceeded actual program costs for last year, specific to each fee group.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Are any allowances for the different sizes of Navy and Marine Corps facilities? For example small facilities such as the Navy hospital. It seems like this hasn't been done.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

This has been addressed in the proposed fee schedule. The separate Navy and Marine Corps facilities fee will apply only to the large Navy facilities that are in Phase I of the program. Navy and Marine Corps facilities that are in Phase II or Phase III of the program would be charged the normal Phase II or Phase III fees.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

What are the District's estimated 1992 costs for this program? How many staff are working on this program.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The projected District AB2588 program costs for fiscal year 1991/92 are approximately \$530,000. There are six engineering staff, three source testing staff and one air quality modeling section staff member working on this program. In addition, there is a share of state costs that the District is required to recover. This year's share of state costs for San Diego County facilities is approximately \$130,000.

WORKSHOP REPORT

Are airports exempted from this program.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Equipment at airports that requires permits from the District is included in the program. Aircraft operations are not included in the District's part of the AB2588 program. Aircraft operations are considered mobile sources. The ARB prepares the air toxics emissions inventory for mobile sources, area sources and natural sources.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Is the County of San Diego tracking their own emissions and are they paying the fees for the services associated with this program or are these costs to be absorbed by other facilities' fees?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

County facilities that are included in the program are primarily municipal waste landfills. They are being assessed a fee commensurate with the level of District effort that has been associated with those facilities. Under state law, if a landfill operator had done toxic emissions testing under a previous program, the facility is not required to prepare an AB2588 emissions inventory plan or inventory report. Accordingly, the District did not incur the same level of costs for those facilities as was incurred for other Phase I facilities. The District felt it was appropriate to separate out the landfills and charge them a lower fee. This applies to all landfills, not just those owned/operated by the County of San Diego.

The County also operates medical and printing facilities. These facilities are included in either Phase II or Phase III of the program and they will be assessed the same fees that private facilities in the same groups are required to pay.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Why are the fees termed annual fees? In particular, Subsection (m)(2) of the proposed fee rule refers to payment of an annual fee for risk assessments. The risk assessment is submitted once. Why would an annual fee be required?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The proposed fee is intended to recover current fiscal year 1991/92 costs associated with receipt, review and approval of risk assessment protocols and risk assessment reports. Costs associated with the Phase I facility risk assessments will likely carry over, in part, to next fiscal year which starts July 1, 1992. Phase I risk assessments are currently being submitted. There will be a 4 to 6 month period for the state to complete their review of the risk assessments, and for the District to finalize its review and approval. The fee next fiscal year for Phase I facilities that are doing risk assessments will reflect those future costs.

The District anticipates next year's fee proposal will have a Phase I risk assessment fee and a separate Phase II risk assessment fee. The District is tracking those expenditures separately and there may be a significant difference between the two.

The District agrees that the proposed language implies that Phase I facilities preparing risk assessments would have to pay this same fee every year. As explained above, that is not the case. The word "annual" in Subsection (m)(2) will be deleted from the proposal.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

At a meeting in Los Angeles, the ARB indicated that they have planned a fee to support their end of the program. Is that built into the District's proposed fee? Is part of this going to ARB for their part of the program?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes. The state does incur costs associated with the AB2588 program. These costs include activities of the ARB and the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. The state distributes their costs among air districts using a formula based on criteria air contaminant emission quantities. The air districts are required to collect fees sufficient to recover their own costs and the state costs. The share of this year's state costs for facilities in San Diego County was approximately \$130,000. The District has included these state costs in the proposed fee rule.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

If a Phase I, Category A facility will pay a fee of \$2,021, what fee will a Category B or Category C Phase I facility pay?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Every Phase I facility will pay a fee of \$2,021. A Category A, Phase I facility is one that is required to, or has volunteered to, prepare a public health risk assessment for their toxic air contaminant emissions. Category A, Phase I facilities will be charged an additional fee of \$3,391, or a total fee of \$5,412. Category B and Category C Phase I facilities are not currently being required to prepare public health risk assessments under the AB2588 program. Accordingly, these latter facilities would not be charged the additional \$3,391 fee associated with preparing a risk assessment and would only pay the \$2,021 Phase I facility fee.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

We have not received an invoice for these fees. Can you an estimated date be provided when I should expect to receive the invoice?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The District will not send out invoices for these fees until after the Air Pollution Control Board has approved the proposed fee rule. The District expects to send out invoices for these fees by July or August, 1992 if they are approved by the Board.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

The District has discussed the Navy being classified separately for fees because it has taken the District more time working with them than for other Phase I facilities. We feel it's not good business practice to pay bills just because they came to your door and you don't know what they're about. I am not with the Navy but I have spent a lot of time either writing letters or talking on the phone with District staff. Is there a limit to the amount of District staff time spent on my facility before I will be tracked separately and have to pay extra?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

There is a general level of District effort for all facilities. From the experience of working with all the facilities, the District has a good idea how much that general level of effort is. If the District's level of

effort for <u>any</u> facility is well above the norm, the District will recognize this and begin to track its costs separately for that facility.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Are the changes being discussed today specific only to the AB2588 program and not part of the changes to be discussed at the Rule 40 workshop on April 27, 1992?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes. The workshop on April 27, 1991 will be about initial permit application and permit renewal fees.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Will facilities be notified of annual fees in the same manner as permit renewal fees? What will the invoice look like?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The AB2588 fee notification will be different than the permit renewal fee notification format currently in use. The notification will be an invoice that states at the top it is for the AB2588 "Hot Spots" Program.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

How can facilities find out if they are subject to the AB2588 program and if they should have received an invoice?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The District's Air Toxics Engineering Section should be contacted regarding applicability of the AB2588 program to a given facility. The District's Accounting Section should be contacted regarding invoices. In general, all dry cleaners, gasoline service stations and auto body repair shops are subject to the program as well as many other types of facilities.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

How have facilities been notified of AB2588 program requirements?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The District provided written notification to facilities of both the AB2588 program requirements and submittal of program fees. Each facility was provided with a synopsis of the program and those facilities subject to full requirements were given a copy of the AB2588 Criteria and Guidelines established by the state Air Resources Board.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

If I paid my fee last year am I subject to a penalty.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

No, if a facility paid its fee last year, it is not subject to any penalty.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Is there another fee for this year?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

As noted earlier, the AB2588 program is an on-going program. Most facilities should expect to pay a fee each year. The amount of the fee may vary from year to year because the District's program costs may vary. The proposed fees being discussed are for this year and will likely be billed in July or August if approved by the Air Pollution Control Board. The proposed fees are actually going down for most facilities.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Will Phase II facilities subject to risk assessment requirements be required in the future to pay the fee of \$3391 proposed in these amendments?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Phase II facilities required to prepare risk assessments will be subject to a risk assessment review fee. With regard to the fee amount, the District cannot predict exactly what the fees may be one or more years from now. The District is tracking labor expenditures associated with the Phase I and Phase II facilities required to do risk assessments and will continue to do so in the future. During next year, the District will determine a fee for those facilities.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Would \$3,000 be a good estimate of the next year's risk assessment fee for Phase II facilities?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

A fee for the review of risk assessments for Phase II facilities must be developed by the District. The District will not have a good estimate of what this fee will be until approximately October of this year. Businesses which need an estimate of the risk assessment fee before October can use \$3,000 as the best available estimate.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

When do you anticipate a workshop for next year's fees?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

A similar workshop for next year's fees will likely occur early in 1993.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Our budgetary restraints are established in October of this year for 1993. DISTRICT RESPONSE

By October of this year, the District should be able to provide a better estimate of the approximate cost and projected fees.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

Will facilities be invoiced for the AB2588 program fees and health risk assessment fees at the same time?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Yes.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

What are the health risk assessment fees for?

DISTRICT RESPONSE

Health risk assessment fees recover District costs associated with reviewing and approving or correcting risk assessment protocols, and reviewing and approving risk assessment reports. Also included are expenditures incurred by the Cal/EPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment during their review of the risk assessment reports.

WORKSHOP COMMENT

The proposed definitions for Phase I, Phase II, and Phase III facilities should be revised so that facilities are designated each year according to their current criteria pollutant emissions rather than on past emissions or future potential to emit. This would make the fee rule consistent with the threshold trigger requirements of AB2588 and would recognize facilities that implement programs to reduce their criteria pollutant emissions.

DISTRICT RESPONSE

The enabling program legislation, specifically Section 44322 of the Health and Safety Code, established the threshold levels for facilities that would be included in the program, and the program schedule for each group. It provides that the threshold levels would be based upon actual or potential emissions. In 1988, Phase I facilities were first brought into the program based on actual emissions of equal to or greater than 25 tons per year of specified criteria air contaminants. Similarly, in 1989,

Phase II facilities were brought into the program based on actual criteria pollutant emissions of 10 tons per year or more but less than 25 tons per year. Phase III facilities were brought into the program in 1990.

The date when these facilities were initially brought into the program established the schedules for facilities to submit inventory plans, inventory reports, risk assessments and biennial updates. Phase II facilities are on a program cycle one year later than Phase I facilities. Phase III facilities are on a program cycle one year later than Phase II facilities. Because of the need to maintain an appropriate sequencing of program steps such as the plans, reports and updates, it makes more sense to have a facility remain in Phase I if that was its original designation.

District expenditures under the AB2588 Program are controlled by two factors: facility size, which determines the program phase, and the date when a facility became subject to the program. The District's program costs are primarily a function of the program activities (e.g. plan review, report review, protocol review) occurring, which are dictated by the program phase, not the quantity of criteria pollutant emissions from individual facilities. Accordingly, the District believes it appropriate to establish a single flat fee for all facilities within a group rather than base fees on facility emissions, as was done in the past by ARB.

Generally, Phase I facilities are larger and more complex than other facilities in the program. Thus, District expenditures are substantially different for those facilities relative to Phase II and Phase III facilities. Another factor which affects fees is the cyclic nature of the AB2588 program that requires biennial updates to inventory plans, inventory reports, and risk assessments. For Phase I facilities, which were the first group of facilities subject to requirements of AB2588, these updates were required on August 1, 1991. Phase II facilities must provide updates on August 1, 1992. The District's costs for each group of facilities will vary with this update schedule regardless of their criteria pollutant emissions. Although a Phase I facility may reduce its criteria pollutant emissions, this may not directly affect toxic pollutant emissions nor the District's costs associated with that facility's participation in the AB2588 program.