## F110 - 4043, Flux Core Arc Welding (FCAW) Welding Process Emission Factors

	L. C.							
CALCULATION METHODS								
Annual Emissions: $Ea = Ua \times EF (lbs/lb rod) \times (1-e)$								
Hourly Emissions: $Eh = Uh \times EF (lbs/lb rod) \times (1-e)$								
Ea = Annual emissions of each listed toxic air contaminant per welding rod, (lbs/year) Eh = Maximum hourly emissions of each listed toxic air contaminant per welding rod, (lbs/hour) Ua = Annual usage of each welding rod, (lbs/year) Uh = Maximum hourly usage of each welding rod, (lbs/hour) EF = Emission Factor (lbs/lb rod)								
<ul> <li>Emission Factors:</li> <li>(1) Complete AP-42 information from Final Section 12.19 (1/95): EF = Trace Metal EF (Table 12.19-2)</li> <li>(2) Incomplete AP-42 Final Section 12.19 (1/95): EF = FGR (Table 12.19-1) x FCF x Ci (MSDS)</li> <li>(3) No AP-42 information but known welding process: EF = FGR (District Default) x FCF x Ci (MSDS)</li> <li>(4) District Study or AWMA information: EF = Trace Metal EF</li> <li>(5) Incomplete District Study information: EF = FGR (District Study) x FCF x Ci (MSDS)</li> <li>(*) Incomplete AP-42, District, or AWMA Hexavalent Chromium information: EF = Cr (Total Chromium in Fumes) EF x HCR</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>NOTES:</li> <li>Emission factors assume "uncontrolled" releases. Emission control methods and efficiencies reported are be applied within the emission calculations.</li> <li>Fume generation rates (FGR) are based on the following: <ul> <li>oEPA AP-42 Final Section 12.19 (1/95) Table 12.19-1 (PM10 EF)</li> <li>oTARB, Richard Bode: 0.01 (GMAW, TIG, MIG), 0.02 (SMAW, FCAW), 0.00005 (SAW), 0.05 (unspecified)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Fume Correction Factors (FCF) per District engineering discussions with Industry: <ul> <li>of0.5464 (GMAW, TIG, MIG), 0.2865 (SMAW, FCAW, SAW), 1.0 (unspecified)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Trace metal emission factors are based on the following: <ul> <li>oTAWMA Volume 59, 2009, Issue 5 (Pages 619-626) Table 2 and Table 3</li> <li>oTEPA AP-42 Final Section 12.19 (1/95) Table 12.19-2</li> <li>oTbistrict engineering estimates using rod compositions (Ci) from MSDS</li> </ul> </li> <li>Hexavalent chromium conversion rates (HCR) are per District engineering reviews of studies on welding: <ul> <li>of0.05 (GMAW, TIG, MIG), 0.55 (SMAW), 0.0005 (SAW), 0.10 (FCAW, unspecified)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
POLLUTANT	DISTRICT EMISSION FACTORS (lbs/lb rod)	REFERENCE DOCUMENT	FACTOR	(UNITS)	COMMENTS			
NOX								
CO								
SOX								
TOG								
VOC								
TSP	2.00E-02				Assume PM10 = TSP			
PM10	2.00E-02	CARB Welding Recommendations (1993)	0.02	lbs/lb rod	Assume PM10 = Fume Generation Rate (FGR)			
AI	5.29E-03	District Welding Study SDS - Arcos 4043	92.35	wt%	District Procedure (3) EF = FGR x FCF x Ci			
Al2O3								

Ве							
De							
Cd							
Со							
Cr							
Cr(VI)							
		District Welding Study SDS -			District Procedure (3)		
Cu	1.72E-05	Arcos 4043	0.3	wt%	$EF = FGR \times FCF \times Ci$		
Cu							
	2.87E-06	District Welding Study SDS - Arcos 4043	0.05	wt%	District Procedure (3) EF = FGR x FCF x Ci		
Mn							
Ni							
Р							
Pb							
Crystalling Silica							
Crystalline Silica							
V							
Zn							
REFERENCES: PA AP-42 Chanter 12 19: https	·//www.ena.gov/sites/pro	duction/files/2020-11/documents/c12	s19 ndf				
AWMA: https://www.tandfonlir			519.001				

Last Updated on 07/07/2022 by A.Weller