

Attendees

Present	Subcommittee Members	Agency	email
Y	Domingo Vigil (Co-chair)	SDAPCD Staff	domingo.vigil@sdapcd.org
Y	Larry Hofreiter (Co-chair)	Port Staff	lhofreiter@portofsandiego.org
Y	Sandy Naranjo	Port Commissioner	snaranjo@portofsandiego.org
Y	Liliana Nunez	CARB Staff	liliana.nunez@arb.ca.gov
Y	Danny Serrano	EHC Staff	dannys@environmentalhealth.org
Y	Joy Williams	EHC Staff	joy@environmentalhealth.org
Y	Keri Robison	SANDAG Staff	keri.robinson@sandag.org
Y	Maritza Contreras	Portside Resident	contrerasmaritza12@yahoo.com
N	John Alvarado	Good Neighbor Project	john@gnpsd.org
Y	Lydia Pellecer	BAE Systems	lydia.pellecer@baesystems.com
N	Ashley Valentin	Portside Resident	ashvalentin19@gmail.com
	Other Attendees		
	Massie Hatch	Hatch Consulting / IEA	massie.hatch@mshatch.com
	Tim Garret	SANDAG Staff	tim.garrett@sandag.org
	Heidi Gabriel	SDAPCD Staff	heidi.gabriel-pack@sdapcd.org

Agenda

I. Introductions

- Attendees briefly introduced themselves.

II. Ground Rules

- Domingo reviewed Ground Rules on Slide #2.
- Commissioner Naranjo – suggested we add “Learn from each other to strengthen the discussion” and “Be transparent” to the Ground Rules.

III. Purpose of Subcommittee

- Larry reviewed the purpose of the CERP / MCAS Implementation Subcommittee on Slide #3.

IV. Initial Priorities

- Larry and Domingo reviewed the initial priorities that the Port and SDAPCD would like to focus on, as discussed below.
 - a. Health Risk (Port and APCD)**
 - Completing a Health Risk Assessment (HRA) for the two marine cargo terminals (Port) and the larger AB 617 Portside Community (APCD/CARB) was identified in the CERP and MCAS.
 - Larry explained that based on emissions data, HRA’s estimate the duration of exposure, and take into account the geographical proximity of sensitive receptors (or residents) to the emission sources. Estimating how emission reduction efforts can reduce cancer risk

- can sometimes be a more meaningful measurement for communities, than simply counting emission reductions in lbs. or tons.
- Danny asked how is the Port going to respond to EHC's questions on the Port's HRA? He encouraged the Port to consider using something similar to the CEQA process, whereby stakeholders submit questions or comments on an environmental document, and lead agencies respond to those questions / comments in writing before decisionmakers take action on the project. He asked for the Port to post all the questions / comments it gets on the HRA to its website, and to also post all of the Port's responses to its website.
 - Larry said the CEQA process can sometimes be adversarial, and he'd like for the subcommittee to work together in a more supportive and collaborative manner. Ultimately, he needs to check with Port leadership on Danny's request, but he would generally be supportive of posting comment letters and questions on the Port's HRA to the Port's website. However, in lieu of having the Port post all of its responses on the website, he would just as soon address them in body of the updated HRA document. If something wasn't clear and/or needs more explanation, let's get that clarification in the body of the report.
 - Larry acknowledged that EHC submitted a list of 15 questions pertaining to the Port's HRA, and while he'd like to address some of them in the subcommittee forum, some of them are very technical. To be respectful of everyone's time, he may suggest having a separate follow-up meeting with EHC to address some of the specific technical questions.
 - Joy asked when CARB's Cumulative Health Risk will be done?
 - Liliana explained that CARB staff is meeting weekly with APCD and Port staff right now and efforts are underway.
 - Domingo said that APCD / CARB would like the Port to finalize their HRA first, because they want to try to incorporate as much of the Port's operations as possible when they do the larger cumulative HRA for the Portside Community.
 - Lydia asked what models are being used and is its being customized?
 - Larry explained that the Port and CARB are using two different models for two different purposes, and the results are going to be different. The Port is using Aeromod, which focuses on DPM; whereas CARB is using Calpuff (He thinks), which is more appropriate for regional modeling and will look at a variety of pollutants.
 - Liliana offered to follow-up with Lydia afterwards on any more specific question she may have. She clarified CARB will be using two different models in the chat: CARB's Toxic Modeling will use CMAQ – Dispersion Model, for DPM and metals, and Calpuff – Chemistry Model for Toxic Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs).

b. Outreach and Engagement (APCD): Domingo reviewed the four components of APCD's Outreach and Engagements efforts

- Incident response plan, which has been completed.
- HRA for Portside Community, which is currently underway as discussed earlier.
- Public Participation Plan, which is also underway. APCD has received some public input on the plan, and they have hired a consultant team that are currently preparing a draft public participation plan.
 - Commissioner Naranjo asked about the timeline?
 - Domingo explained that APCD hopes that the draft public participation plan can be made public in the next couple of weeks, and that its release will coincide with our next Implementation Subcommittee

meeting. They are looking at a 3-week comment period, that would include a workshop, and that they will be able to present it to the APCD Governing Board in April 2022.

- When doing public outreach, Commissioner Naranjo encouraged the group to always look around and ask who is missing from the discussion? As you identify who should be participating, you can then do more intentional recruitment to get better community engagement.
 - Domingo and the other attendees agreed.
- Massie suggested that public participation efforts also seek to get feedback from recipients on the clarity of the material? Not a time-consuming survey, but some quick and easy way to provide feedback (like an easy App), to establish a feedback loop. She emphasized that it must be quick and easy, so that adjustments can be made based on the feedback received.
 - Domingo and other attendees agreed.
- Framework for APCD's Office of Environmental Justice, which APCD is hoping to present this Spring 2022. Domingo explained that APCD definitely wants to get the Implementation Subcommittee's input on the framework.

c. ZE Truck Transition Plan (Port): Larry explained the other initial priority of the Port is the ZE Truck Transition Plan. The Board of Port Commissioners gave Port staff specific dates to return to them to show them how the Port's two marine cargo terminals will reach 40% Zero Emission Truck Trips by 2026 and 100% Zero Emission Truck by 2030. He thinks the Implementation Subcommittee will have good perspectives on the Transition Plan and that they could help make sure the information and data is presented in a clear and concise manner.

- Danny asked if the Port could share the Port's Zero Emission Truck Transition Plan earlier than the 72-hour standard?
 - Larry said probably yes for the Final ZE Truck Transition Plan, which is due to the Board in June 2022; but probably not for the Preliminary ZE Truck Transition Plan, which is going to the Board on March 8, 2022 because staff will be working its analysis and recommendations up until publication. That said, he would like to invite Phil Gibbons (Port staff) to the next Implementation Subcommittee meeting on March 3, 2022, to share what Port staff has learned and to get some initial feedback on the material.
- Danny asked what is the Port's public engagement plan on the ZE Truck Transition Plan? Will the Port be hosting public workshops?
 - Larry said that he'd have to check with Port leadership, but it probably makes sense to have a workshop before the transition plan is completed June 2022. He asked if people would prefer to have a workshop shortly before the hearing, once the study is near-complete, or would attendees prefer to have the workshop earlier in the process, so that there may be more opportunity to help shape it?
 - Danny said that they are not mutually exclusive, so why don't you do both?
 - Larry asked Sylvia and Martiza if staff could only do one, would they prefer to have a public workshop earlier or later in the process?
 - Maritza and Sylvia both said that they would prefer to have it earlier in the process.

- Maritza commented that sometimes residents feel more comfortable participating in workshop settings if they personally know the people who will be presenting.
 - Larry thanked Maritza for that input and said that while the Port would not want to abdicate its role as the lead agency, perhaps Sylvia and/or Maritza could play a role in a public workshop and/or subsequent community engagement efforts?
 - Maritza and Sylvia both expressed a willingness to help.

V. Subcommittee Priorities

- Domingo and Larry suggested that they would highlight some of the CERP / MCAS measures over the next couple of meetings, so that members can identify what topics they would like the Implementation Subcommittee to focus on.
 - Commissioner Naranjo suggested that the subcommittee discuss and address funding.
 - Danny suggested discussing how the MCAS affects individual project proposals.

VI. Public Comment / Non-Agenda Items

- *There were no non-agenda items discussed.*

VII. Next Meeting

- Thursday, 03/03/2022 at 9am via Microsoft Teams.