



San Diego County
**Air Pollution
Control District**

AB 617 Portside Community Steering Committee

Meeting Agenda + [NOTES](#)

11/15/2022

5:00 pm - 7:00 pm

Virtual Meeting via Zoom

[Video Recording Link](#) | [Click here for meeting materials](#)

Meeting Objectives

- Review and discuss the draft Portside Community Steering Committee (CSC) 2023 meeting calendar
- Learn about the proposed Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) Bulk Cement Warehouse and Loading Facility project from the Port of San Diego
- Vote on proposed letter to Port of San Diego from Environmental Health Coalition (EHC) regarding MCC project
- Receive update on the statewide Community Air Protection Program Blueprint 2.0 from the California Air Resources Board (CARB)

Meeting Action Items

- [Approval of 10/25/2022 meeting notes and November meeting agenda](#)
- [Vote on proposed letter from EHC regarding the MCC project over email](#)

Agenda

- I. Welcoming Remarks (Chuy Flores & Ana Cuevas-Flores, Co-Facilitators) 5:00 pm**
 - a. Review Meeting Objectives & Agenda
 - b. Roll call Community Steering Committee (CSC) members
 - c. General Updates
 - i. The San Diego Air Pollution Control District (SDAPCD) introduced Monique Lopez who will be joining the Office of Environmental Justice. Monique will be helping implement the Community Air Protection Program and collaborate with the Office of Environmental Justice. Monique will be reaching out soon to set up one-on-one meet-and-greet conversations with the CSC members.
 - ii. The Port of San Diego announced they will be hosting their annual South Bay Holiday Party at the National City Aquatic Center on Wednesday, December 7 from 5PM – 8PM. The Port will provide shuttle services to the event location from Paradise Creek Apartments and the National City Library.
- II. Approval of 10/25/2022 Meeting Notes and tonight's agenda 5:10 pm**
 - a. [Motion to approve October Meeting Notes with an amendment and tonight's agenda with no changes by Maritza Garcia.](#)
 - i. [Seconded by Sandy Naranjo.](#)
 - b. [MOTION PASSED unanimously](#)
- III. Portside CSC 2023 Meeting Calendar 5:15 pm**
 - a. [Link to presentation](#)
 - b. [Chuy Flores](#) reviewed the proposed 2023 meeting calendar based on the November survey results. Meetings will tentatively be held in-person (hybrid) once a quarter starting February 2023 with alternating locations between Barrio Logan and National City. All other meetings will



San Diego County
**Air Pollution
Control District**

remain virtual over Zoom.

c. Questions and Comments

- i. **Sara Giobbi** flagged that we have seen folks not showing up and suggested possibly checking in with folks as we go into the new year to ensure those who are interested are able to make the meetings.
(A) **Chuy Flores** suggested that this could be part of the work Monique and the facilitation team do as part of one-on-one check-ins with Steering Committee members.
- ii. A committee member asked if Zoom will still be available for the in-person meetings.
(A) **Chuy Flores** responded that for the hybrid meetings, the plan is to have a Zoom option available during the in-person meetings.
- iii. **Sara Giobbi** flagged if the translation services have been overcome for the hybrid meetings.
(A) **Chuy Flores** noted that this is still something the team will have to account for and SDAPCD will think through to how to make it work best.
- iv. **Domingo Vigil** added that they will take all considerations into account and work to make the meetings next year productive, especially for the hybrid transition. Folks are encouraged to continue to send feedback and suggestions to the team.

IV. Presentation: MCC Bulk Cement Warehouse and Loading Facility (Port of San Diego) 5:30 pm

- a. [Link to presentation](#)
- b. Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) staff and Port of San Diego Staff presented an overview of the proposed bulk cement warehouse and loading facility project and its alignment with the Maritime Clean Air Strategy (MCAS) and Portside Community Emission Reduction Plan (CERP) goals.
- c. Questions and Comments
 - i. **John Alvarado** noted that on behalf of himself and Steve, a long-term Barrio Logan resident, they support this project as it will provide jobs that the community needs. John believes MCC will abide by the rules and supports a project that provides core values, education, and good jobs.
 - ii. **Monique G. Lopez** asked if Mitsubishi's operations would decrease at the Victorville Plant if the project were to move forward and if there is a commitment from Mitsubishi to do so.
(A) **Austin Marshall** responded that the demand in California and Nevada right now far exceeds the supply, and the team is trying to catch up. They do have customers coming from San Diego to the high desert to pick up cement and they are trying to eliminate that traffic. In a way, this project would decrease operations, but it all depends on market conditions.
 - iii. **Monique G. Lopez** asked if Mitsubishi has been engaging with the Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to coordinate on the larger goals.
(A) **Marcia Baverman** responded that they have two sites, one for domestic which is located in the high desert and an international import site which is located in Long Beach.
 - iv. **Larry Emerson** agreed with John's earlier comment about local job creation. He also asked what plans Mitsubishi has for EV charging infrastructure for both its employees and heavy-duty vehicles coming in and out of the facility. Additionally, Larry asked what Mitsubishi is doing to help make green concrete.
(A) **Austin Marshall** responded that should they move forward with the facility, then they do plan to have EV charging stations for its employees, however, as for their customers driving the zero-emission trucks it will be up to them to install the



charging stations in their own plants. It wouldn't make sense for them to charge their trucks while loading the cement. As for the cement, Mitsubishi is currently producing cement that reduces carbon dioxide and they hope to implement something similar with their customers whom they receive cement from. Mitsubishi is also looking into solar projects involving waste heat recovery, which is where you're taking heat used to process the cement and turning that into steam that goes through turbines and makes electrons. The carbon injection into ready-mix is a twofold process that's more of their customers' responsibility because Mitsubishi doesn't make the concrete or ready-mix, just the cement. Mitsubishi is currently working on a years-long project to help use carbon dioxide and put it into materials that can sustain it. It's a large company goal that will require an agreement with its customers.

- v. **Philomena Marino** asked how Mitsubishi is working to enforce the truck route rules.
 - (A) **Austin Marshall** responded that although they are not tenants yet, Mitsubishi is being proactive and making sure they have good relationships with their customers to ensure enforcement of these rules.
 - (B) **Valerie Martinez** added that they are working with Councilwoman Moreno's office to figure out how Mitsubishi can partner in accessing funding to accelerate the Harbor 2.0 Truck Route as well as looking into additional funding resources.
 - (C) **Philomena Moreno** followed up by asking what Mitsubishi is doing to enforce that their employees use public transportation instead of using up residential parking.
 - a. **Austin Marshall** responded that this is not something Mitsubishi is aware of, and they are willing to look into it should they become tenants.
- vi. **Domingo Vigil** asked if the zero-emission goals Mitsubishi plans to incorporate have been shared already and if not when they plan to share those goals.
 - (A) **Michael Lafleur** responded that Mitsubishi has been working with the Port of San Diego to commit to the same goals and objectives as the Maritime Clean Air Strategies which include aggressive air emissions reductions.
 - (B) **Maya Grasse** added that those are the concepts that Mitsubishi and the Port have agreed on and the concepts they are incorporating include specific measures for the zero-emission MCAS goals. For example, one of those goals is the use of zero-emissions trucks when they're available. The MCAS recognizes that certain charging infrastructure needs to be ready for that to happen. Mitsubishi is in discussion with the Port on including language in the lease that include addition emissions reduction, so that even if there's not enough infrastructure for zero emission trucks, Mitsubishi will be required to achieve equal emissions reductions through other means.
 - (C) **Nicki Carlsen** added the goal of both Mitsubishi and the Port is to get that language out to the community well before December 13th.
- vii. **Domingo Vigil** asked if Mitsubishi plans to tie the Emissions Reduction Plan to the lease and what the timeline looks like if so.
 - (A) **Maya Grasse** responded that it will be incorporated into the lease and the lease will spell out the different ways by which concrete emissions reduction can be achieved.
- viii. **Tim Garrett** asked if the team has overcome the shore power requirement.
 - (A) **Marcia Baverman** responded that the shore power requirement is a limit of the dried-off breaker on the ship.
 - (B) **Austin Marshall** clarified that their cruise business is not using shore power but rather a dry dock breaker that runs like an extension cord versus a power line



San Diego County
Air Pollution
Control District

- from the utility.
- ix. **Tim Garrett** asked if Mitsubishi could share more about the customer demonstration project.
 - (A) **Austin Marshall** indicated that they have some customers that have already stepped up to participate in the demonstration project which would show the trucks that are available along with use, distribution range, weight distribution, and more.
 - x. **Nick Paul** asked how Mitsubishi will enforce the use of trucks' newest vehicles and how many jobs they will bring to Barrio Logan.
 - (A) **Marcia Baverman** indicated that they currently keep a running ledger of the inventory to track and ensure that the vehicles stay within the restriction of five years or newer.
 - a. **Austin Marshall** added that the big customers typically don't have a fleet of over five years.
 - b. **Maya Grasse** added that in addition to keeping a ledge, there is also court oversight as part of the mitigation measure.
 - (B) **Valerie Martinez** indicated that bringing cleaner cement directly into the Port of San Diego will support hundreds of construction jobs as a result of having the local source of cement.
 - xi. **Paula Forbis** asked for clarification regarding the final release of the EIR and other actions that will be coming to the Port Board at the December 13th meeting.
 - (A) **Megan Hamilton** clarified that the actions that will be brought to the Board on December 13th include the final subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR), a draft CDP, project concept approval, and the lease terms. The EIR will be released on December 2nd prior to the Board meeting. The Port expects all other related documents to be released the Thursday before the Board meeting or earlier.

V. Action Item: Letter to Port Board of Commissioners (EHC)

5:45 pm

- a. Link to [proposed letter](#)
- b. [Link to Presentation](#)
- c. **Diane Takvorian** presented an overview of the key items of their proposed letter to the Port Board of Commissioners.
- d. Questions and Comments
 - i. **Joy Williams** commented that there was a lot of information presented and not a lot of firm commitment. Joy proposed a motion for the Steering Committee to approve the letter and oppose the MCC project at this time.
 - (A) Motion seconded by **Philomena Moreno**.
 - ii. **Phil Gibbons** clarified if the Steering Committee would have a meeting in December.
 - (A) **Chuy Flores** clarified that there will not be a CSC meeting held in December.
 - (B) **Phil Gibbons** suggested the CSC structure the motion to have members review the lease language once released before moving to a full opposition motion.
 - iii. **Jack Monger** opposed the motion and offered a substitute motion suggesting that the CSC hold a special meeting in December to discuss the missing documents or ask the Port to delay their consideration until the CSC has had a chance to review all the information once documents have been released.
 - (A) Substitute motion seconded by **John Alvarado**.
 - iv. **Margarita Moreno** commented that she also opposes the MCC project given the negative impact it will bring to the local community.
 - v. **Maritza Garcia** commented that Mitsubishi came two years ago with a similar



San Diego County
**Air Pollution
Control District**

proposition that was opposed and after two years it still seems like a lot of empty words. It is the reason why several community members oppose the project and stands in opposition.

- e. SUBSTITUTE MOTION (Jack Monger) and ORIGINAL MOTION (Joy Williams) both fail due to vote miscount and insufficient members present to meet quorum requirements.
- f. Due to time sensitive nature of project, Domingo shared that SDAPCD and facilitation team will route the motions via email for all CSC members to vote on.

VI. Presentation: Community Air Protection Program Blueprint 2.0 Update (CARB) 6:20 pm

- a. Due to time constraints, CARB agreed to skip their presentation for this meeting and send an email update instead.

VII. Public Comments (Chuy Flores, Co-Facilitator) 6:45 pm

- a. Reserved for comments on items not listed on the agenda
- b. Each speaker is limited to 3 minutes

VIII. Closing Remarks 6:55 pm

- a. Committee feedback on meeting, future agenda topics

IX. Adjourn 7:00 pm

Next scheduled meeting is 12/6/2022 Tentatively Virtual Meeting via Zoom

Portside Community Steering Committee Attendance and Vote Sheet

Date: November 15, 2022

Name	Affiliation	Present	Primary/ Alternate	Voting Item: Motion to approve the position letter proposed by EHC as is	Voting Item: Sub. Motion to postpone voting on the position letter, hold meeting on Dec 6, and request the Port postpone consideration
1. Keith Corry	City of San Diego				
a. Shelby Buso	City of San Diego				
2. Jack Monger	Industry (IEA)	X	P		Aye
a. Massie Hatch	Industry (Hatch Consulting)	X	A		
3. Sara Giobbi	Industry (NASSCO)	X	P		Aye
a. Lydia Pellecer	Industry (BAE)				
4. Sandy Naranjo	Port of San Diego	X	P		
a. Phillip Gibbons	Port of San Diego	X	A		Abstain
5. Tim Garret	SANDAG	X	P		Abstain
a. Mariela Rodriguez	SANDAG	X	A		
6. Joy Williams	EHC	X	P		Nay
a. Nick Paul	EHC	X	A		
7. Martin Reeder	National City				
a. David Welch	National City	X	A		
8. Roman Partida-Lopez	The Greenlining Institute				
9. Stephanie Yoon	Medical Expert	X	P		
a. Alejandro Z. Diaz	Medical Expert				
10. Diane Vermeulen	CALTRANS				
11. Samantha Lui	U.S. Navy	X	P		
a. Helen K. Haase	US Navy	X	A		
12. Dinah Willier	SDG&E	X	P		Aye
a. Liana Rios	SDG&E				
13. Philomena Marino	Community	X	P		Nay
14. Janice Luna Reynoso	Mothers Out Front				
15. John Alvarado	Community	X	P		Aye
16. Hilary Medina	Community				
17. Alicia Sanchez	Community				

Portside Community Steering Committee Attendance and Vote Sheet

Date: November 15, 2022

Name	Affiliation	Present	Primary/ Alternate	Voting Item: Motion to approve the position letter proposed by EHC as is	Voting Item: Sub. Motion to postpone voting on the position letter, hold meeting on Dec 6, and request the Port postpone consideration
18.Margarita Moreno	Community	X	P		Nay
19.Nahomi Sanchez	Community				
20.Vanessa Contreras	Community	X	P		
21.Salvador Razo Abrica	Union Representative				
22.Monserrat Hernandez	Community				
23.Silvia Calzada	Community				
24.Ashley Valentin Gonzalez	Community	X	P		
25.Josephine Talamantez	Community				
26. Maritza Garcia	Community	X	P		Nay
	Total Primary (Total Alternate)	14 (6)			
	Hand Vote Aye				
	Hand Vote Nay				
	Hand Vote Abstain				
	Roll Call Vote Aye				4
	Roll Call Vote Nay				4
	Roll Call Vote Abstain				2
				Fail due to quorum not met	Fail due to tie and quorum not met

AB 617 Portside CSC Email Correspondence re: MCC position letter (November 2021)

Correspondencia por correo electrónico del Comité Directivo de la Comunidad Portside AB 617 sobre la carta de posición de MCC (2022 de noviembre)

From: [Chuy Flores](#)
Cc: [Ana Cuevas-Flores](#); [Joanny Leyva](#); ["Vigil, Domingo"](#); ["Lopez, Monique"](#)
Bcc: Portside Community Steering Committee members
Subject: RE: ACTION REQUESTED: AB 617 Portside CSC Nov 15 meeting motions | ACCIÓN SOLICITADA: AB 617 Comité Directivo Portside Mociones de la reunión del 15 de noviembre
Date: Monday, November 21, 2022 5:55:00 PM
Attachments: [Portside CSC Nov 2022 Voting Results.pdf](#)
[Portside CSC MCC Comment Letter \(English\).pdf](#)
[Portside CSC MCC Comment Letter \(Spanish\).pdf](#)

Good evening Portside Community Steering Committee,

Thank you for submitting your vote on the following motions. The majority have decided to move forward with Motion 1. Motion 2 did not receive the sufficient votes necessary to move forward. Attached you will find the summary table with everyone's vote.

MOTION 1 (Original made by Joy Williams, seconded by Philomena Marino): Approve the position letter proposed by EHC as is for submittal on behalf of the CSC to the Port of San Diego Board of Commissioners.

- **PASSED** with 15 Ayes, 5 Nays, and 3 Abstentions

MOTION 2 (Substitute made by Jack Monger, seconded by John Alvarado): Hold off from submitting the proposed position letter, meet again on December 6, AND send a formal request to the Port of San Diego to postpone the Port's Board of Commissioners' consideration of the proposed Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) project EIR, Lease Agreement, and other project details to a later date in January.

- **DOES NOT PASS** with 7 Ayes, 14 Nays, and 2 Abstentions

On behalf of the Portside CSC, the facilitation team will submit the attached letter to the Port of San Diego. The San Diego County Air Pollution Control District will also work with Port of San Diego staff to provide updates related to the proposed Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) project.

Thank you again and wishing everyone a restful holiday break.

Buenas noches Comité Directivo de la Comunidad Portside,
Gracias por enviar su voto sobre las siguientes mociones. La mayoría ha decidido seguir adelante con la Moción 1. La Moción 2 no recibió los votos suficientes necesarios para seguir adelante. Adjunto encontraréis el cuadro resumen con el voto de todos.

MOCIÓN 1 (Original hecho por Joy Williams, secundada por Philomena Marino): Aprobar la carta de posición propuesta por EHC tal como está para presentarla en nombre del Comité Directivo a la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto de San Diego.

- **APROBADO** con 15 Sí, 5 No y 3 Abstenciones

MOCIÓN 2 (Sustituto hecho por Jack Monger, secundado por John Alvarado): Poner en espera el envío de la carta de posición para una fecha posterior, programar una reunión para el 6 de diciembre, Y enviar una solicitud formal al Puerto de San Diego para posponer la consideración de la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto sobre el Reporte Impacto Ambiental (EIR por sus siglas en inglés) del proyecto propuesto de Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC), el contrato de arrendamiento y otros detalles del proyecto a una fecha más adelante en enero.

- **NO APRUEBA** con 7 Sí, 14 No y 2 Abstenciones

En nombre del Comité Directivo de Portside, el equipo de facilitación enviará la carta adjunta al Puerto de San Diego. El Distrito de Control de la Contaminación del Aire del Condado de San Diego también trabajará con el personal del Puerto de San Diego para proporcionar actualizaciones relacionadas con el proyecto propuesto de Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC).

Gracias de nuevo y deseando a todos unas vacaciones tranquilas.

Best,
Chuy, Ana, & Joanny

Chuy Flores
Senior Associate
Estolano Advisors
chuy@estolanoadvisors.com

Direct: 213.612.4545 ext. 116
Office: 213.612.4545
EstolanoAdvisors.com

From: Chuy Flores

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2022 2:54 PM

Cc: Ana Cuevas-Flores <Ana@estolanoadvisors.com>; Joanny Leyva <joanny@estolanoadvisors.com>; Vigil, Domingo <Domingo.Vigil@sdapcd.org>; Lopez, Monique <Monique.Lopez@sdapcd.org>

Subject: RE: ACTION REQUESTED: AB 617 Portside CSC Nov 15 meeting motions | ACCIÓN SOLICITADA: AB 617 Comité Directivo Portside Mociones de la reunión del 15 de noviembre

Importance: High

Good afternoon Portside Community Steering Committee,

PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE HAS BEEN A CORRECTION TO MOTION 2 TO BETTER REFLECT THE RECORD. IF YOU HAVE VOTED ALREADY, PLEASE CAST YOUR VOTE AGAIN FOR BOTH MOTIONS. WE APOLOGIZE FOR THE INCONVENIENCE AND FOR ANY CONFUSION.

Thank you for attending last night's meeting. We appreciate everyone's constant participation and commitment to improving air quality in the Portside communities. During yesterday's meeting, the Committee didn't reach the votes or quorum necessary to take action on two motions related to Environmental Health Coalition's (EHC) position letter to the Port Board of

Commissioners (see attached). The Steering Committee members in attendance determined that the best path forward was to hold the vote over email. For those who were not in attendance at yesterday's meeting, we have attached both presentations related to the position letter. You can also watch the [video recording here](#).

The two motions that are up for consideration as follows. A motion requires a simple majority of voting members to pass. **Please provide your vote for both motions. If Motion 2 receives more "Yes" votes than "No" votes, then Motion 1 does not move forward and only Motion 2 will proceed.**

MOTION 1 (Original made by Joy Williams, seconded by Philomena Marino): Approve the position letter proposed by EHC as is for submittal on behalf of the CSC to the Port of San Diego Board of Commissioners.

MOTION 2 (Substitute made by Jack Monger, seconded by John Alvarado): Hold off from submitting the proposed position letter, meet again on December 6, AND send a formal request to the Port of San Diego to postpone the Port's Board of Commissioners' consideration of the proposed Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) project EIR, Lease Agreement, and other project details to a later date in January.

We ask that you please submit your vote using the link below **no later than this Monday, November 21 at 5pm.** If we do not receive your vote by then, your vote will be considered abstained. We will send a spreadsheet with everyone's votes at the end of the week.

Voting Link: <https://forms.gle/LhG9o9mmfGgdNWHV9>

As always, please reach out to Domingo and me if you have any questions.

Buenas tardes, Comité Directivo de la Comunidad Portside,

FAVOR DE NOTAR QUE HUBO UNA CORRECCIÓN A LA MOCIÓN 2 PARA CONCORDAR CON EL RECORD. SI USTED YA HABÍA VOTADO, POR FAVOR VOTE NUEVAMENTE POR AMBAS MOCIONES. POR FAVOR ACEPTÉ NUESTRAS DISCULPAS POR LA INCONVENIENCIA Y CONFUSIÓN.

Gracias por asistir a la reunión de anoche. Agradecemos la constante participación y compromiso de todos para mejorar la calidad del aire en las comunidades de Portside. Durante la reunión de ayer, el Comité no alcanzó los votos o el quórum necesarios para tomar acción sobre dos mociones relacionadas con la carta de posición de la Coalición de Salud Ambiental (EHC por sus siglas en inglés) a la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto (ver adjunto). Los miembros del Comité Directivo que asistieron determinaron que el mejor camino a seguir era realizar la votación por correo electrónico. Para aquellos que no asistieron a la reunión de ayer, adjuntamos ambas presentaciones relacionadas con la carta de posición. También puede ver [la grabación de video aquí](#).

Las dos mociones que se someten a consideración son las siguientes. Una moción requiere una mayoría simple de los miembros con derecho a voto para ser aprobada. **Favor de dar su voto para ambas mociones. Si la Moción 2 recibe más votos "Sí" que votos "No",**

entonces la Moción 1 no avanza y solo la Moción 2 procederá.

MOCIÓN 1 (Original hecho por Joy Williams, secundada por Philomena Marino): Aprobar la carta de posición propuesta por EHC tal como está para presentarla en nombre del Comité Directivo a la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto de San Diego.

MOCIÓN 2 (Sustituto hecho por Jack Monger, secundado por John Alvarado): Poner en espera el envío de la carta de posición para una fecha posterior, programar una reunión para el 6 de diciembre, Y enviar una solicitud formal al Puerto de San Diego para posponer la consideración de la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto sobre el Reporte Impacto Ambiental (EIR por sus siglas en inglés) del proyecto propuesto de Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC), el contrato de arrendamiento y otros detalles del proyecto a una fecha más adelante en enero.

Le pedimos que envíe su voto utilizando el enlace a continuación **a más tardar este lunes 21 de noviembre a las 5 p.m.** Si no recibimos su voto para entonces, su voto se considerará abstenido. Enviaremos una hoja de cálculo con los votos de todos al final de la semana.

Enlace de votación: <https://forms.gle/9minFL4LKXJKgkbc6>

Como siempre, comuníquese con Domingo y conmigo si tiene alguna pregunta.

Best,
Chuy, Ana, and Joanny

Chuy Flores
Senior Associate
Estolano Advisors
chuy@estolanoadvisors.com

Direct: 213.612.4545 ext. 116
Office: 213.612.4545
EstolanoAdvisors.com

From: Chuy Flores

Sent: Wednesday, November 16, 2022 5:31 PM

Cc: Ana Cuevas-Flores <Ana@estolanoadvisors.com>; Joanny Leyva <joanny@estolanoadvisors.com>; Vigil, Domingo <Domingo.Vigil@sdapcd.org>; Lopez, Monique <Monique.Lopez@sdapcd.org>

Subject: ACTION REQUESTED: AB 617 Portside CSC Nov 15 meeting motions | ACCIÓN SOLICITADA: AB 617 Comité Directivo Portside Mociones de la reunión del 15 de noviembre

Importance: High

*****Mensaje en español abajo*****

Good afternoon Portside Community Steering Committee,

Thank you for attending last night's meeting. We appreciate everyone's constant participation and commitment to improving air quality in the Portside communities. During yesterday's

meeting, the Committee didn't reach the votes or quorum necessary to take action on two motions related to Environmental Health Coalition's (EHC) position letter to the Port Board of Commissioners (see attached). The Steering Committee members in attendance determined that the best path forward was to hold the vote over email. For those who were not in attendance at yesterday's meeting, we have attached both presentations related to the position letter. You can also watch the [video recording here](#).

The two motions that are up for consideration as follows. A motion requires a simple majority of voting members to pass. Please provide your vote for both motions. If Motion 2 receives more "Yes" votes than "No" votes, then Motion 1 does not move forward and only Motion 2 will proceed.

MOTION 1 (Original made by Joy Williams, seconded by Philomena Marino): Approve the position letter proposed by EHC as is for submittal on behalf of the CSC to the Port of San Diego Board of Commissioners.

MOTION 2 (Substitute made by Jack Monger, seconded by John Alvarado): Postpone the vote on the position letter to a later date, AND send a formal request to the Port of San Diego to postpone the Port's Board of Commissioners' consideration of the proposed Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) project EIR, Lease Agreement, and other project details to a future date to allow sufficient time for public input.

We ask that you please submit your vote using the link below **no later than this Friday, November 18 at 5pm**. If we do not receive your vote by then, your vote will be considered abstained. We will send a spreadsheet with everyone's votes at the end of the week.

Voting Link: <https://forms.gle/LhG9o9mmfGgdNWHV9>

As always, please reach out to Domingo and me if you have any questions.

Buenas tardes, Comité Directivo de la Comunidad Portside,

Gracias por asistir a la reunión de anoche. Agradecemos la constante participación y compromiso de todos para mejorar la calidad del aire en las comunidades de Portside. Durante la reunión de ayer, el Comité no alcanzó los votos o el quórum necesarios para tomar acción sobre dos mociones relacionadas con la carta de posición de la Coalición de Salud Ambiental (EHC por sus siglas en inglés) a la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto (ver adjunto). Los miembros del Comité Directivo que asistieron determinaron que el mejor camino a seguir era realizar la votación por correo electrónico. Para aquellos que no asistieron a la reunión de ayer, adjuntamos ambas presentaciones relacionadas con la carta de posición. También puede ver [la grabación de video aquí](#).

Las dos mociones que se someten a consideración son las siguientes. Una moción requiere una mayoría simple de los miembros con derecho a voto para ser aprobada. Favor de dar su voto para ambas mociones. Si la Moción 2 recibe más votos "Sí" que votos "No", entonces la Moción 1 no avanza y solo la Moción 2 procederá.

MOCIÓN 1 (Original hecho por Joy Williams, secundada por Philomena Marino):

Aprobar la carta de posición propuesta por EHC tal como está para presentarla en nombre del Comité Directivo a la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto de San Diego.

MOCIÓN 2 (Sustituto hecho por Jack Monger, secundado por John Alvarado):

Posponer la votación sobre la carta de posición para una fecha posterior, Y enviar una solicitud formal al Puerto de San Diego para posponer la consideración de la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto sobre el Reporte Impacto Ambiental (EIR por sus siglas en inglés) del proyecto propuesto de Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC), el contrato de arrendamiento y otros detalles del proyecto a una fecha futura para permitir tiempo suficiente para la opinión del público.

Le pedimos que envíe su voto utilizando el enlace a continuación **a más tardar este viernes 18 de noviembre a las 5 p.m.** Si no recibimos su voto para entonces, su voto se considerará abstenido. Enviaremos una hoja de cálculo con los votos de todos al final de la semana.

Enlace de votación: <https://forms.gle/9minFL4LKXJKgkbc6>

Como siempre, comuníquese con Domingo y conmigo si tiene alguna pregunta.

Best,
Chuy, Ana, and Joanny

Chuy Flores
Senior Associate

Estolano
ADVISORS

213.612.4545 (office) | 213.612.4545 ext. 116 (direct)
801 S Grand Ave, Suite 200, Los Angeles, CA 90017
EstolanoAdvisors.com

Portside Community Steering Committee: November 2022 Motions Results
Comite Directivo de la Comunidad Portside: Resultados de las mociones de noviembre 2022

Name Nombres	Affiliation Afiliación	Motion 1 Moción 1	Motion 2 Moción 2	Time Stamp Marca de tiempo
1. Keith Corry	City of San Diego	Yes	No	11/21/2022 16:53
a. Shelby Buso	City of San Diego			
2. Jack Monger	Industry (IEA)	No	Yes	11/17/2022 15:26
a. Massie Hatch	Industry (Hatch Consulting)			
3. Sara Giobbi	Industry (NASSCO)	No	Yes	11/21/2022 15:29
a. Lydia Pellecer	Industry (BAE)	No	Yes	11/18/2022 7:50
4. Sandy Naranjo	Port of San Diego	N/A	N/A	
a. Phillip Gibbons	Port of San Diego			
5. Tim Garret	SANDAG	Abstain	Abstain	11/17/2022 15:18
a. Mariela Rodriguez	SANDAG			
6. Joy Williams	EHC	Yes	No	11/17/2022 15:38
a. Nick Paul	EHC	Yes	No	
7. Martin Reeder	National City			
a. David Welch	National City	Abstain	Abstain	11/21/2022 14:12
8. Roman Partida Lopez	The Greenlining Institute	Yes	No	11/18/2022 16:49
9. Stephanie Yoon	Medical Expert	Yes	No	11/18/2022 16:20
a. Alejandro Z. Diaz	Medical Expert			
10. Diane Vermeulen	CALTRANS	Abstain	Yes	11/21/2022 12:52
11. Samantha Lui	U.S. Navy	Non-voting	Non-voting	
a. Helen K. Haase	US Navy	Non-voting	Non-voting	
12. Dinah Willier	SDG&E	No	Yes	11/21/2022 14:18
a. Liana Rios	SDG&E			
13. Philomena Marino	Community	Yes	No	11/17/2022 20:36
14. Janice Luna Reynoso	Mothers Out Front	Yes	No	11/17/2022 16:59
15. John Alvarado	Community	No	Yes	11/17/2022 23:46
16. Hilary Medina	Community	Yes	No	11/18/2022 8:21
17. Alicia Sanchez	Community	Yes	No	11/18/2022 16:01
18. Margarita Moreno	Community	Yes	No	11/17/2022 14:56
19. Nahomi Sanchez	Community			
20. Vanessa Contreras	Community	No	Yes	11/17/2022 16:46
21. Salvador Razo Abrica	Union Representative	Yes	No	11/18/2022 16:47
22. Monserrat Hernandez	Community	Yes	No	11/17/2022 17:28
23. Silvia Calzada	Community	Yes	No	11/17/2022 20:06
24. Ashley Valentin Gonzalez	Community	Yes	No	11/17/2022 15:28
25. Josephine Talamantez	Community	Yes	Yes	11/21/2022 16:13
26. Maritza Garcia	Community	Yes	No	11/18/2022 7:52
	TOTAL COUNTS (One vote per organization/ community member)	23	23	
	Vote Aye	15	7	
	Vote Nay	5	14	
	Vote Abstain	3	2	
	RESULT:	Yes	No	

MOTION 1 (Original made by Joy Williams, seconded by Philomena Marino): Approve the position letter proposed by EHC as is for submittal on behalf of the CSC to the Port of San Diego Board of Commissioners.

· PASSED with 15 Ayes, 5 Nays, and 3 Abstentions

MOTION 2 (Substitute made by Jack Monger, seconded by John Alvarado): Hold off from submitting the proposed position letter, meet again on December 6, AND send a formal request to the Port of San Diego to postpone the Port's Board of Commissioners' consideration of the proposed Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC) project EIR, Lease Agreement, and other project details to a later date in January.

· DOES NOT PASS with 7 Ayes, 14 Nays, and 2 Abstentions

MOCIÓN 1 (Original hecho por Joy Williams, secundada por Philomena Marino): Aprobar la carta de posición propuesta por EHC tal como está para presentarla en nombre del Comité Directivo a la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto de San Diego.

· APROBADO con 15 Sí, 5 No y 3 Abstenciones

MOCIÓN 2 (Sustituto hecho por Jack Monger, secundado por John Alvarado): Poner en espera el envío de la carta de posición para una fecha posterior, programar una reunión para el 6 de diciembre, Y enviar una solicitud formal al Puerto de San Diego para posponer la consideración de la Junta de Comisionados del Puerto sobre el Reporte Impacto Ambiental (EIR por sus siglas en inglés) del proyecto propuesto de Mitsubishi Cement Corporation (MCC), el contrato de arrendamiento y otros detalles.

del proyecto a una fecha más adelante en enero.

· NO APRUEBA con 7 Sí, 14 No y 2 Abstenciones