



**BACT LOOK-UP TABLE**

**AUTOMOTIVE REFINISHING OPERATIONS (<5 gal/day)**

**Fee Schedule 27R**

Review the BACT Control Option listed below. The applicant must propose the Control Option listed or perform a Top-down BACT Analysis as described in Section 4 to justify the selection of another Control Option. The applicant will be required to provide documentation that the Control Option selected meets the requirements listed in the table.

	<b>VOC</b>	<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>SO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>PM</b>
<b>BACT Emission Rate Limit</b>	Not Determined	(N/A)	(N/A)	Not Determined
<b>BACT Control Option</b>	Compliance with Rule 67.20, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations (A/P)	(N/A)	(N/A)	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters. (A/P)

The applicant may choose to limit the Potential to Emit (PTE) from the equipment to less than 10 pounds per day for each pollutant in lieu of meeting the stated BACT requirement.
--

*(This table does not apply to operations applying, on average, 5 or more gallons of coating per day.)*

**BACT LOOK-UP TABLE**

**AUTOMOTIVE REFINISHING OPERATIONS**

**Fee Schedule 27S**

The BACT Control Options which have been determined to be technologically feasible (T/F - demonstrated but not necessarily proven in field application) or have achieved the BACT emission rate limits in practice (A/P - demonstrated in use for the specific equipment category) are listed below. The BACT Control Options are listed in descending order of control stringency. If the top-listed T/F control option is proposed, no further analysis is required. If the first T/F control option is not chosen, then the applicant must review and determine the cost-effectiveness of each T/F control option in the order listed. The first control option determined to be cost-effective must be installed to meet the BACT requirement. A control option is considered cost-effective if the annualized cost of implementing that control option is equal to or less than the reference cost-effectiveness value for the same pollutant shown in Table 2-4. If none of the T/F control options are determined to be cost-effective, the applicant must propose the A/P control option, propose an alternative technology that meets the BACT emission rate limit or perform a full Top-down BACT Analysis as described in Section 4. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that the installed equipment meets the specified BACT Emission Rate Limit. (See Section 2 for further guidance.)

	<b>VOC</b>	<b>NO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>SO<sub>x</sub></b>	<b>PM</b>
<b>BACT Control Option</b>	Collection System Vented to Carbon Adsorber or Afterburner with coatings complying with Rule 67.20, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations (T/F) <b>BACT Emission Rate Limit -</b> emissions controlled to overall capture/ destruction efficiency ≥ 90% by weight	(N/A)	(N/A)	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters. (A/P)
<b>BACT Control Option</b>	Compliance with Rule 67.20, Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Refinishing Operations (A/P)	(N/A)	(N/A)	Spray booth equipped with overspray filters. (A/P)

The applicant may choose to limit the Potential to Emit (PTE) from the equipment to less than 10 pounds per day for each pollutant in lieu of meeting the stated BACT requirement.